201 300 -5 .2 3:27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

BASHE ABDI YOUSUF, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 1:04-CV-1360 (LMB/JFA)
MOHAMED ALI SAMANTAR,)	•
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF POTENTIAL PARTICIPATION BY THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The parties in this action dispute whether Defendant is immune from the Court's jurisdiction. The United States hereby respectfully notifies the Court that it is actively considering whether to participate in this litigation as allowed by 28 U.S.C. § 517. That statute authorizes the Attorney General of the United States to send any officer of the Department of Justice to "attend to the interests of the United States in a suit pending in a court of the United States, or in a court of a State, or to attend to any other interest of the United States." *Id*.

This suit against a former, high-level foreign official raises significant issues that require further consideration by a number of senior officials in the Executive Branch before a final decision can be made about the United States' participation in this matter. The United States did not learn the identity of Defendant's newly retained counsel until November 12, 2010, after which the State Department promptly requested meetings with counsel for both parties in order to solicit their views on the potential application of immunity principles. The United States is in

the process of carefully reviewing the arguments made by the parties in the context of the renewed motion to dismiss. See Def.'s Mem., at 7-13, Doc. #139 (Nov. 29, 2010); Pls.' Opp'n, at 2-11, Doc. #143 (Dec. 14, 2010), Def.'s Reply, at 6-11, Doc. #144 (Dec. 22, 2010). The United States is simultaneously reviewing developments in other cases that have raised similar questions concerning immunities. See, e.g., Abu Jaoudi & Azar Trading Corp. v. CIGNA Worldwide Ins. Co., 2:91-cv-06758 (E.D. Pa.); Ahmed v. Magan, 2:10-cv-342 (S.D. Ohio).

While recognizing the importance of the suit proceeding expeditiously, the United States respectfully requests that any decision that addresses the immunity of a foreign official be deferred until it has had the opportunity to complete its deliberations. As this Court is aware, the United States participated in this case in the Supreme Court, and the Supreme Court recognized the determinative role the State Department plays in deciding whether foreign government officials should be immune from suit. The Court observed that before the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act was enacted, courts surrendered jurisdiction over a case when the State Department suggested that a foreign sovereign defendant was immune from suit. See Samantar v. Yousuf, 130 S. Ct. 2278, 2284 (2010). And if the Executive Branch did not participate in the litigation, district courts had to consider whether a foreign sovereign or foreign official defendant was entitled to immunity under "the established policy of the [State Department]." Id. (quotation marks and citation omitted). In concluding that the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act does not control the immunity of foreign officials, the Court found "no reason to believe that Congress saw as a problem, or wanted to eliminate, the State Department's role in determinations regarding individual official immunity." Id. at 2291. Thus, the Court recognized that the Executive Branch continues to determine the applicable principles of foreign official immunity.

The United States currently expects to complete its consideration by February 14, 2011, and will advise the Court of its determination by that date. We regret any delay to the Court and to the parties.

Dated: January 5, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

TONY WEST Assistant Attorney General

NEIL H. MACBRIDE United States Attorney

VINCENT M. GARVEY Deputy Branch Director

LAUREN A. WETZLER

Assistant United States Attorney
Justin W. Williams U.S. Attorney's Building
2100 Jamieson Ave.

Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel: (703) 299-3752 Fax: (703) 299-3983

Lauren. Wetzler@usdoj.gov

ERIC J. BEANE

Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Room 7124

Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 616-2035

Fax: (202) 616-8470 Eric.Beane@usdoj.gov

Counsel for the United States

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, this 5th day of January, 2011, that a true copy of the foregoing was sent via U.S. Mail and electronic mail to the following counsel of record in this matter:

Joseph W. Whitehead
Counsel for Plaintiffs
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 887-4000
jwhitehead@akingump.com

Joseph Peter Drennan
Counsel for Defendant
218 North Lee Street
Third Floor
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 519-3773
joseph@josephpeterdrennan.com

LAUREN A. WETZLER

Assistant United States Attorney

Justin W. Williams U.S. Attorney's Building

2100 Jamieson Ave. Alexandria, VA 22314

Tel: (703) 299-3752 Fax: (703) 299-3983

Lauren. Wetzler@usdoj.gov