1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 2 ORLANDO DIVISION 3 Docket No. 6:13-cv-1426 4 5 JOAN JARA, in her individual : 6 capacity and in her capacity : as the personal representative : 7 of the Estate of Victor Jara, • et al. • 8 Orlando, Florida : June 20, 2016 Plaintiffs : 9 9:04 a.m. : v. 10 PEDRO PABLO BARRIENTOS NUNEZ : 11 : Defendant • 12 . : • 13 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL, VOLUME VI 16 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROY B. DALTON, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 Amie R. First, RDR, CRR, CRC, CPE Court Reporter: AmieFirst.CourtReporter@gmail.com 23 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. 25 Transcript produced by Computer-Aided Transcription.

APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiffs: Mark D. Beckett Richard S. Dellinger L. Kathleen Roberts Daniel McLaughlin Christian Urrutia Amy Belsher For the Defendant: Sean W. Landers Luis F.Calderon

PROCEEDINGS 1 ***** 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning. We're back on the record in Jara versus Barrientos Nunez, 6:13-civil-1426. 4 5 Court notes all counsel and parties are present. I hope you all had an enjoyable weekend. Welcome back. 6 7 Is plaintiff ready to proceed? 8 MR. BECKETT: We are, sir. 9 THE COURT: Is defense ready to proceed? 10 MR. CALDERON: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Is the jury available, Mr. Carter? 12 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: All right. Let's bring them in, 14 please. 15 Is the interpreter going to need to be sworn this 16 morning? 17 MR. BECKETT: Not at the present time. But we'll 18 have an interpreter for the second witness. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 (Jury entered the courtroom at 9:05 a.m.) 21 THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies, Mr. Codner. Ι 22 hope you all had a pleasant weekend. I hope you all 23 celebrated your fathers. 24 Mr. Codner, I hope you were celebrated 25 appropriately over the weekend.

Were all of you able to follow my instructions not 1 2 to discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone else, 3 or to avoid any media exposure? JURY: 4 Yes. THE COURT: Is the plaintiff ready to proceed? 5 6 MR. BECKETT: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Call your next witness. MR. BECKETT: Plaintiffs call Professor Steve 8 9 Stern to the stand. 10 THE COURT: Professor, if you'll come forward, 11 please. Right over here, please. And raise your right 12 hand to be sworn. 13 (Witness sworn.) THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 14 15 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please take the witness stand. 16 THE INTERPRETER: We're having a problem. 17 THE COURT: Let Miss Flick take a look and perhaps 18 she can sort it out. 19 Professor, if you would adjust your chair and 20 adjust that microphone so that you're speaking directly 21 into it, please, sir. 22 THE WITNESS: About here? 23 THE COURT: That's perfect. 24 Would you tell us your full name and spell your 25 last name for the court reporter, please.

1	THE WITNESS: Steve. Plain old Steve, not Steven.
2	J. Stern, S-T-E-R-N.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	You may inquire, Mr. Beckett.
5	MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION ON VOIR DIRE
7	BY MR. BECKETT:
8	Q Good morning.
9	A Good morning.
10	Q Are you presently employed?
11	A Yes. I'm a professor at the University of Wisconsin
12	in Madison, in the history department.
13	Q And what's your full title?
14	A The Alberto Flores Galindo and Hilldale Professor of
15	History.
16	Q Okay.
17	Okay if I call you Professor Stern?
18	A You can call me whatever you'd like.
19	Q How long have you been a professor?
20	A I have taught there since January of 1979, so it's
21	been 38 years. I've gone away from time to time for
22	research projects and for some teaching, but it's been the
23	home base for 38 years.
24	Q Have you been at the University of Wisconsin for that
25	entire time?

ſ	
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. What is your field of study, Professor?
3	A I study Latin American history.
4	Q And do you have a particular area of expertise within
5	that subject?
6	A Since 1996, most of my work has been on the history of
7	modern Chile, specifically the period from the coup of
8	1973, the Pinochet dictatorship, and the legacies or
9	aftermath of that dictatorship during the democratic
10	transition beginning in 1990.
11	Q Okay. And have you written any books on Chile?
12	A I've written a few books.
13	Q Tell us a little bit about the books you've written on
14	Chile.
15	A Well, the ones that I'm most known for are a trilogy
16	or trio of books that look very closely at, at the topic
17	that I've just mentioned, the nature of the coup, the life
18	under Pinochet, and life under the democratic transition.
19	Q And when you write these books, could you tell us
20	about the research that you conduct?
21	A Sure. I'm an historian, so I I visit archives. So
22	state archives, including the Truth Commission archive;
23	church archives, particularly the Catholic Church; archives
24	of nongovernmental organizations; media archives, as well
25	as reading the media itself. Lots of interviews and focus
L	·

1	groups So I go ofter a let of different kinds of sources
	groups. So I go after a lot of different kinds of sources,
2	which is how historians work.
3	Q Have your books received, the books about Chile, the
4	trilogy that you referred to, has that received any awards?
5	A It's received four awards.
6	Q Have you received any honors from any learned
7	societies?
8	A Yes. In 2012, I was elected to the American Academy
9	of Arts and Sciences.
10	Q And what is the American Academy of Arts and Sciences,
11	for those who may not know?
12	A Well, I describe it as like being elected to a hall of
13	fame no one's heard of.
14	But seriously, it goes back to the era of the Founding
15	Fathers as the oldest learned society in the United States,
16	going back to 1780. And it honors people who are
17	considered you can't apply for it or nominate yourself
18	for it; it just happens.
19	And it's intended to honor people who are considered
20	distinguished leaders in business, philanthropy, academia,
21	public policy, and the arts.
22	Q And what year were you elected to that body?
23	A 2012.
24	MR. BECKETT: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I
25	would like to proffer this witness as an expert. He's

1	already been, I think, accepted as an expert. At least
2	there have been no objections.
3	The specific areas on which we would like to
4	proffer him as an expert are: The role of misinformation
5	and suppression of evidence during and after military rule
6	in Chile; the rule of the military base of Tejas Verdes and
7	the violence and misinformation; and the violence and
, 8	misinformation under military rule and the origins and
9	leadership of the secret police, and Chilean history and
10	politics around the time of the coup from 1970 to 1973.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Calderon, do you wish to voir dire
12	the witness?
13	MR. CALDERON: No, Your Honor. We have no
14	objection.
15	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentleman,
16	Professor Stern is going to be permitted under our rules to
17	offer opinion testimony.
18	As I think I have mentioned to you previously,
19	occasionally, as permitted under the rules, it allows the
20	witness to be examined and to offer opinion testimony in
21	areas which follow within his or her area of expertise.
22	As I've mentioned to you also previously, you're
23	to give that testimony the same consideration that you
24	would with respect to any other testimony. In other words,
25	you're required to listen to the evidence, but you're not

-	
1	necessarily required to accept it. Just as with any other
2	witness, you may believe it in whole, in part.
3	And I'll give you some more instructions about
4	that at the time the case is concluded.
5	You may continue, Mr. Beckett.
6	MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. BECKETT:
9	Q So Professor Stern, let me turn you back to Chile
10	around the time of 1970 to 1973. What parties or
11	coalitions were contending for election in the 1970 Chilean
12	presidential election?
13	A The easiest way to understand it and I'll be
14	brief is to think of three thirds. It's a multiparty
15	competitive electoral system on the Right and Center-Right.
16	That group was led by the, what was called the National
17	Party for the 1970 elections.
18	In the Center, the Christian Democrats were the major
19	force there.
20	And on the Left there was a coalition of parties
21	called the Popular Unity led by the candidate was
22	Salvador Allende.
23	In the Chilean system, as it worked at that time, if
24	you think of it as three thirds, the group that wins the
25	biggest third wins the presidential election.

ſ	
1	Q So in 1970, what percentage of the electorate was on
2	the Center or the Left?
3	A About two-thirds.
4	Q And I think you mentioned Salvador Allende and the
5	Popular Unity government. Was the Communist Party part of
6	the Popular Unity coalition?
7	A Yes, absolutely.
8	Q Briefly, what was the platform of the Chilean
9	Communist Party in 1970?
10	A The Communist Party aligned with the Popular Unity
11	platform, and so they focused on the same issues as Allende
12	himself.
13	The three most important issues were:
14	Agrarian reform in the countryside to increase equity
15	and modernize the countryside.
16	Social assistance to migrants from the countryside to
17	the city. It was an era in Latin America of great
18	migrations to the cities, leading to shantytown
19	communities.
20	And the third area of focus was workers, increasing
21	bettering their working conditions and increasing their
22	wages.
23	Q Just tell us a little bit about agrarian reform. What
24	was the system of farming at that time and what was the
25	reform plan?
L	-

-	
1	A Well, actually the leaders, in kicking off, if you
2	will, a major agrarian reform were the Christian Democrats
3	when they were elected to lead to the presidency in 1964.
4	The agrarian scene in Chile was a scene in many areas
5	of extremely large landed estates in which the peasants or
6	rural neighbors were tenants. The Chilean term was
7	inquilinos often.
8	And the tenants would have access to some land on
9	which to produce their food. And they would work for the
10	landowner of the great estate, not for wage, but for a
11	daily quota of bread, and for the good graces of the
12	landlord in case they needed help with, let's say, a sick
13	child or something like that.
14	Q Did the Communist Party of Chile believe in the use of
15	violence or in armed revolution?
16	A No. They had been historically since the 1930s part
17	of an electoral system. So they got politically socialized
18	into that kind of a system.
19	Q And did the Communist Party participate and support
20	democratic elections for the country?
21	A Yes, they did. One of the things that was important
22	in Allende's platform, aside from the specific issues I
23	mentioned, was the idea of major social reform, and what
24	Allende conceptualized as a transition to socialism through
25	constitutional legal means within the competitive

ſ	
1	multiparty system of Chile. And they subscribed to that
2	vision.
3	Q Can you briefly describe for us the country conditions
4	in Chile around 1973?
5	A Well, you had the convergence of two issues really.
6	On the one hand, there were economic problems. The Allende
7	government increased purchasing power in the lower sectors
8	of society in 1971. The economy was not running at
9	100 percent, so it was able to absorb that increased
10	purchasing power pretty easily.
11	But by 1972, that strategy began to run into problems
12	because the economy was not growing fast enough, was not
13	productivity growth was not that strong. So you start to
14	get sharpening economic difficulties.
15	At the same time, by 1973, the political conflicts of
16	the era have also sharpened. And in March of 1973
17	important congressional elections, which many Chileans had
18	hoped would resolve some of the difficulties and chart a
19	path forward with a wide enough base, led to a mixed result
20	without a clear resolution.
21	So by 1973, you get very significant economic problems
22	alongside very significant political problems and without a
23	clear path forward.
24	Q Let me take you to a time around September of 1973.
25	Were there any democratic alternatives, alternatives within
-	

1	the democratic system to a forcible overthrow of the
2	government by the military?
3	A There were two that major political leaders considered
4	seriously.
5	The first was the idea of achieving an accord or a
6	political agreement on future direction of policy between
7	the Center and the Left, bearing in mind that they
8	accounted for two out of three votes in the presidential
9	elections.
10	So Allende pushed very hard in collaboration with
11	people in his own coalition and people in the Center to try
12	to see whether a Center-Left accord could be achieved.
13	The second idea for a democratic exit to the problems
14	was the idea of what Chileans called the plebiscite, what
15	we would call here a referendum, in which the president
16	would put forth a proposal for the future direction of the
17	country. The citizenry would vote on it up or down.
18	Allende expected to lose, but it would enable him to
19	step down democratically while preserving an electoral
20	system because new elections would be called.
21	Q Do you know if a plebiscite had been planned by the
22	Allende government around the time of September 1973?
23	A Yes, I do. In fact, I, among my interviews, I spoke
24	with the last Minister of Justice of the Allende government
25	who was the person charged with drafting the proposal and
L	

1	getting it ready.
2	
3	to the public?
4	A They worked on that proposal in the week of
5	September 4th to 8th, ran into difficulties in
6	getting the language tight and making sure that all of the
7	political bases were touched.
8	So it got delayed. Allende had hoped to announce it
9	on the 10th. It got delayed a little bit more. And
10	then the announcement date was set for the 11th.
11	Q And how does that date relate to the date of the coup?
12	A It's the same day.
13	Q In your expert opinion, Professor, were there large
14	numbers of armed Cubans in Chile around the time of the
15	coup in September of 1973?
16	A No.
17	Q In your expert opinion, were there large weapons
18	caches or stockpiles that had been hidden around the
19	country for use in some sort of armed conflict or
20	insurrection around September of 1973?
21	A No. Now, the one exception that I would add is that
22	in the Cuban Embassy there were armed Cubans and there were
23	arms.
24	Q About how many armed Cubans were there, if you know,
25	about that time?

ſ	
1	A It would not be more than a dozen.
2	Q Okay. I'm going to turn you now to a different topic.
3	I'm going to talk to you about Tejas Verdes.
4	Are you familiar with the Tejas Verdes regiment?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And physically where is that regiment located or where
7	was it located in 1973?
8	A It is in the community of San Antonio, which is on the
9	central coast of Chile, and very close to Santiago.
10	Because Chile is a long, thin country. And Valparaiso and
11	San Antonio were the two important cities on the coast.
12	San Antonio was the port. It was an important
13	lifeline to the central valleys where Santiago was located
14	and where two-thirds of the national population live. So
15	it was a pretty strategic area.
16	Q Give us a sense of the size of that union, if you
17	could. About how many troops and by that, I mean troops
18	at all levels, soldiers and officers were in Tejas
19	Verdes in 1973?
20	A It was a small military community, about 500.
21	Q Who was the commanding officer of Tejas Verdes in
22	1973?
23	A That would be Lieutenant Colonel Manuel Contreras.
24	Q And when did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras assume that
25	position?
L	

ſ	
1	A At the beginning of 1973, in January.
2	Q I want you to step back just one moment. Who was the
3	commander of the military junta at the time of the coup?
4	A That would be General Augusto Pinochet.
5	Q And what was General Pinochet's position just prior to
6	the coup?
7	A He was, he was a high general. But the general who
8	proceeded him is the commander of the Army. The general of
9	the Army was a person named Carlos Prats who was pushed out
10	in late August.
11	Q What, if any, was Lieutenant Colonel Contreras'
12	relationship to General Pinochet?
13	A It was a protegee relationship. They had met at the
14	War Academy around 1962 where Pinochet taught. The War
15	Academy was an area of high-level instruction on command
16	and strategy for officers.
17	Pinochet was impressed with him. They developed a
18	relationship that was close personally as well as
19	professionally. Pinochet was the godfather of his child.
20	And it was Pinochet who requested that Manuel
21	Contreras be transferred from a post in the far south of
22	Chile to San Antonio, much closer to Santiago. And that
23	request was made in 1972.
24	Q Focusing on the period from 1970 to 1973, was
25	Contreras known within the military for having a philosophy
L	

1	or theories about national security and intelligence?
2	A Yes. He first put forth such a theory to the Army in
3	1970, then put it forth again in midyear of 1973 and then
4	again around the time of the coup.
5	That theory said that you needed to think about
6	national security in the way that cut across all the
7	different military divisions and branches, a kind of
8	supreme intelligence authority that would take care of
9	intelligence and security issues.
10	And it defined the most important security issue as
11	the internal enemy, subversion, troublemakers, who would
12	bring disorder to the society rather than the traditional
13	military definition of focusing on external conflicts,
14	border problems of that sort.
15	So it was a kind of supreme authority that cut across
16	the divisions. And he proposed himself to lead it.
17	Q Did Contreras have any views about the use of violence
18	by the state?
19	A In his view, the portion of the enemy excuse me
20	the citizenry defined as an internal enemy required an
21	underground war, a different kind of war where the normal
22	rules of operation, normal expectations of citizens would
23	not apply.
24	So they would set the rules and not feel themselves to
25	be constrained by normal expectations of liberties and
-	

1	rights.
2	Q You talk about the normal expectations of citizens and
3	how Contreras believed they wouldn't apply.
4	Can you describe what you mean by the normal
5	expectations of citizens at the time?
6	A Well, that means that in his view, the people who are
7	defined as the enemy were part of a war in which even
8	prisoners of war rules did not apply. And that meant that
9	if you had to interrogate them in ways that were very rough
10	and ways that we would define as torture, that was okay.
11	It was an irregular war, in his perspective, in which
12	the supreme agency would set its own rules and do whatever
13	it would take.
14	Q So let's go to January 1973 when you've told us that
15	Lieutenant Colonel Contreras was assigned to Tejas Verdes.
16	At that point in time just as he's arriving, what is
17	the function of Tejas Verdes?
18	A It's a school of military engineers focused on issues
19	such as construction, ammunitions, explosives, that sort of
20	thing.
21	Q Can you tell us in your expert opinion why an
22	individual so focused on security and intelligence would
23	then be assigned to an engineering, an engineering
24	regiment?
25	A Well, if you look at the command structure of the

1Army, which is the most important force in the country, the2various divisions of the Army are geographically anchored.3And actually, it's schools that enabled you to cut across4the various divisions, to meet people from the various5divisions.6And schooling is something that military officers who7sought promotions to step up would need to go through.8So the support commands, rather than the traditional9commands, were the only ones that cut across all the10divisions, let's say, medical, medical command,11telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in12strategic training.13So schools would be the logical place actually for14that vision.19Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other16positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973?17A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra,18War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he19had a foot in that school as well and would later be20promoted to head that school in January of 1974.21Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in23A Yes.24Q What kind of torture was practiced at Tejas Verdes in	E C	
 And actually, it's schools that enabled you to cut across the various divisions, to meet people from the various divisions. And schooling is something that military officers who sought promotions to step up would need to go through. So the support commands, rather than the traditional commands, were the only ones that cut across all the divisions, let's say, medical, medical command, telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	1	Army, which is the most important force in the country, the
the various divisions, to meet people from the various divisions. And schooling is something that military officers who sought promotions to step up would need to go through. So the support commands, rather than the traditional commands, were the only ones that cut across all the divisions, let's say, medical, medical command, telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes.	2	various divisions of the Army are geographically anchored.
5divisions.6And schooling is something that military officers who sought promotions to step up would need to go through.7So the support commands, rather than the traditional commands, were the only ones that cut across all the divisions, let's say, medical, medical command,10divisions, let's say, medical, medical command,11telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training.12So schools would be the logical place actually for14that vision.15Q16positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973?17A18War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974.12Q13San Antonio around the time of the coup?14A15A16promoted to head that school in January of 1974.17A18San Antonio around the time of the coup?19A19San Antonio around the time of the coup?10San Antonio around the time of the coup?13A14Yes.	3	And actually, it's schools that enabled you to cut across
6And schooling is something that military officers who7sought promotions to step up would need to go through.8So the support commands, rather than the traditional9commands, were the only ones that cut across all the10divisions, let's say, medical, medical command,11telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in12strategic training.13So schools would be the logical place actually for14that vision.15Q16positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973?17A18War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he19had a foot in that school as well and would later be20promoted to head that school in January of 1974.21Q23A23A24Yes.	4	the various divisions, to meet people from the various
 sought promotions to step up would need to go through. So the support commands, rather than the traditional commands, were the only ones that cut across all the divisions, let's say, medical, medical command, telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	5	divisions.
 So the support commands, rather than the traditional commands, were the only ones that cut across all the divisions, let's say, medical, medical command, telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	6	And schooling is something that military officers who
 commands, were the only ones that cut across all the divisions, let's say, medical, medical command, telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	7	sought promotions to step up would need to go through.
 divisions, let's say, medical, medical command, telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	8	So the support commands, rather than the traditional
11 telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in 12 strategic training. 13 So schools would be the logical place actually for 14 that vision. 15 Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other 16 positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? 17 A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, 18 War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he 19 had a foot in that school as well and would later be 20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes.	9	commands, were the only ones that cut across all the
 strategic training. So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	10	divisions, let's say, medical, medical command,
 So schools would be the logical place actually for that vision. Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	11	telecommunications, engineering, the War Academy in
14 that vision. 15 Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other 16 positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? 17 A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, 18 War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he 19 had a foot in that school as well and would later be 20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes.	12	strategic training.
 Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he had a foot in that school as well and would later be promoted to head that school in January of 1974. Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes. 	13	So schools would be the logical place actually for
16 positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973? 17 A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, 18 War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he 19 had a foot in that school as well and would later be 20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes.	14	that vision.
 17 A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra, 18 War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he 19 had a foot in that school as well and would later be 20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes. 	15	Q Did Lieutenant Colonel Contreras hold any other
 18 War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he 19 had a foot in that school as well and would later be 20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes. 	16	positions around the time of the coup in September of 1973?
19 had a foot in that school as well and would later be 20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes.	17	A He was the, an instructor in the Academia de Guerra,
20 promoted to head that school in January of 1974. 21 Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in 22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes.	18	War Academy that I referred to earlier in Santiago. So he
Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio around the time of the coup? A Yes.	19	had a foot in that school as well and would later be
22 San Antonio around the time of the coup? 23 A Yes.	20	promoted to head that school in January of 1974.
23 A Yes.	21	Q Was torture practiced by soldiers at Tejas Verdes in
	22	San Antonio around the time of the coup?
24 Q What kind of torture was practiced at Tejas Verdes in	23	A Yes.
	24	Q What kind of torture was practiced at Tejas Verdes in
25 San Antonio around the time of the coup in 1973?	25	San Antonio around the time of the coup in 1973?

1	A Well, there are a lot of different let me just
2	focus on some of the most important. One kind of torture
3	that was important was what you might think of as
4	low tech/high pain. For example, something that was called
5	telephone, which was a very hard clap, double clap on the
6	ears to break eardrums.
7	Probably the most important in the realm of
8	low tech/high pain would be using the force of gravity to
9	generate acute pain by tying prisoners by the wrist or by
10	the ankles or both and then just waiting for the force of
11	gravity to produce acute pain.
12	Q Excuse me. Let me just ask you a question for
13	clarification purposes.
14	A I'm sorry.
15	Q When you say they are tied by the wrists or their
16	ankles, are they lying on the floor?
17	A No, no, they're hanging. They're hanging, so that's
18	why the force of gravity is producing that effect.
19	Q Hanging from the ceiling?
20	A Or rafters.
21	Q I'm sorry. Continue. You were talking
22	A Oh, yes. Well, in addition to that sort of thing, you
23	did have electric shock that was used with the electricity
24	applied to sensitive areas of the body, sometimes with the
25	use of metal cuffs. And there was also sexual violence and

1	rape.
2	Q Are you aware of any steps that were taken by the
3	leadership of Tejas Verdes in San Antonio in September of
4	1973 or around that time that were in anticipation or
5	anticipation of or in preparation for the coup?
6	A Yes. There are two steps that were important.
7	Q Please tell us what those steps are.
8	A The first occurred in July of 1973 where Tejas Verdes,
9	under Contreras' leadership, organized a show of force.
10	They didn't declare martial law but produced conditions of
11	a kind of local temporary state of martial law by which I
12	mean they took over the City of San Antonio.
13	They raided the, and arrested the committees that were
14	charged with distributing rationed goods to the civilian
15	population, requisitioning goods for Tejas Verdes.
16	They shut down radio stations and radio programs.
17	They paralyzed traffic leading indirectly to the death of a
18	child in an ambulance who couldn't get to the hospital.
19	And it offered them an opportunity both to get used to
20	the idea of setting aside rules and setting aside civilian
21	authorities but equally important to assess the degree to
22	which that kind of show of force would produce either
23	resistance or submission in the civilian populations. So
24	that was a first major step.
25	Q You talked about a second major step that was done in

preparation of the coup. Can you tell us about that? 1 2 Sure. A little closer to the coup, in the days in the Α 3 runup to the coup, the Tejas Verdes also organized what was called prisoners camp number two along the north shore of 4 5 the Rio Maipo, which spills into the Pacific Ocean right there, and set up prisoner barracks or camps and began 6 7 arresting people possibly as early as September 7th, 8 certainly September 10th, and with notable uptick from 9 the 11th on. 10 I should add that they also established a close 11 liaison with the jail in San Antonio for overflow, because 12 the prisoner barracks at Tejas Verdes itself were not 13 sufficient to handle all of the prisoners and used 14 refrigerated trucks to transport prisoners from the camp or the jail to the underground chambers for interrogation at 15 16 the -- there were underground chambers at the casino, the 17 dining hall of officers in Tejas Verdes just a couple of 18 kilometers away. 19 Let me stop you because I'm not sure that's entirely 20 clear. So you were describing a chamber beneath the 21 casino. 22 What was that chamber used for? 23 That was where torture took place. Α 24 And was torture taking place around the time that Q 25 you're describing just before the coup?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And you mentioned some refrigerated trucks. What role
3	did they play, and where did they come from?
4	A Well, you're on the coast. So you have the fishing
5	industry. So those trucks were refrigerated trucks that
6	were requisitioned from the fishing industry.
7	Q And you've heard the term DINA before, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Could you describe for the jury what DINA is?
10	A Sure. DINA is an acronym, D-I-N-A, stands for
11	Direccion de Inteligencia Nacional, the National
12	Intelligence Directorate.
13	And it was the secret police force that came to embody
14	or realize the vision that Contreras had been promoting all
15	the time of a supreme secret police service that could set
16	its own rules.
17	Q Let's talk a little bit about the chronology of DINA.
18	And I want to focus you on the period specifically from the
19	date of the coup on September 11th, 1973, through
20	September 15th, 1973.
21	Did DINA exist at that time?
22	A No. What existed was Tejas Verdes, which was the
23	embryo of DINA, a kind of small-scale prototype that could
24	grow into a much larger national scale force.
25	So that's what you had in those early days of
-	

1	September.
2	Q You've told us that Lieutenant Colonel Contreras was
3	head of Tejas Verdes at the time. Did Contreras play a
4	role in the creation and operation of DINA?
5	A Absolutely. It was his idea.
6	And in November of 1973, Pinochet brought him in for a
7	secret session of the junta to propose the idea of a
8	commission to establish the DINA or DINA director.
9	And what that meant in the establishment of DINA was
10	that, specifically was that that session authorized
11	Contreras to ramp up the operation and to borrow troops
12	across all the branches of the military in the Carabineros,
13	from the Army, the Air Force, the Navy, and the militarized
14	national police known as the Carabineros.
15	So that was the launching point for being able to
16	transition from an embryonic kind of emerging DINA to a
17	truly emerging DINA as a national scale operation.
18	Q Did DINA practice torture?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did DINA practice what we would refer to as
21	extrajudicial killing, killing without process of law?
22	A Yes. And they also, if I may add, because it's
23	related, they also practiced what came to be called
24	disappearances.
25	Q Tell us what you mean by disappearances. We've heard

1that term. Perhaps the jury would benefit from your2explanation.3A Disappearances referred to the idea that the state4would detain someone or abduct someone or a person in hire5of the state and that then would lose track of that person6as if the person had disappeared, had vanished, almost as7if they had never existed.8And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people9whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They10were permanently gone.11Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the12military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture13civilians in the days following the coup?14A Yes, thousands.15Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military16target?17A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of18people who were targeted, but let me try just a few19priorities, let's say.10The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well11as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for12them.13They also targeted the leadership of the political14aparties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	-	
 A Disappearances referred to the idea that the state would detain someone or abduct someone or a person in hire of the state and that then would lose track of that person as if the person had disappeared, had vanished, almost as if they had never existed. And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They were permanently gone. Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture civilians in the days following the coup? A Yes, thousands. Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military target? A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	1	that term. Perhaps the jury would benefit from your
 would detain someone or abduct someone or a person in hire of the state and that then would lose track of that person as if the person had disappeared, had vanished, almost as if they had never existed. And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They were permanently gone. Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture civilians in the days following the coup? A Yes, thousands. Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military target? A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	2	explanation.
 of the state and that then would lose track of that person as if the person had disappeared, had vanished, almost as if they had never existed. And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They were permanently gone. Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture civilians in the days following the coup? A Yes, thousands. Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military target? A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	3	A Disappearances referred to the idea that the state
 as if the person had disappeared, had vanished, almost as if they had never existed. And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They were permanently gone. Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture civilians in the days following the coup? A Yes, thousands. Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military target? A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	4	would detain someone or abduct someone or a person in hire
 if they had never existed. And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They were permanently gone. Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture civilians in the days following the coup? A Yes, thousands. Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military target? A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	5	of the state and that then would lose track of that person
8And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people9whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They10were permanently gone.11Q12Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the13military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture13civilians in the days following the coup?14A15Q16target?17A18well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of19priorities, let's say.20The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well21as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for22them.23They also targeted the leadership of the political24parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	6	as if the person had disappeared, had vanished, almost as
 whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They were permanently gone. Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture civilians in the days following the coup? A Yes, thousands. Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military target? A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	7	if they had never existed.
 10 were permanently gone. 11 Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the 12 military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture 13 civilians in the days following the coup? 14 A Yes, thousands. 15 Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military 16 target? 17 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of 18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	8	And so it became a new vocabulary to describe people
 11 Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the 12 military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture 13 civilians in the days following the coup? 14 A Yes, thousands. 15 Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military 16 target? 17 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of 18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	9	whose fate one had a very, very hard time tracing. They
12 military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture 13 civilians in the days following the coup? 14 A Yes, thousands. 15 Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military 16 target? 17 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of 18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	10	were permanently gone.
13 civilians in the days following the coup? 14 A Yes, thousands. 15 Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military 16 target? 17 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of 18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	11	Q Let me turn you to the coup now itself. Did the
14AYes, thousands.15QAnd if you could tell us briefly, who did the military16target?17AWell, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of18people who were targeted, but let me try just a few19priorities, let's say.20The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well21as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for22them.23They also targeted the leadership of the political24parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	12	military arrest, detain, and interrogate and torture
 15 Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military 16 target? 17 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of 18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	13	civilians in the days following the coup?
<pre>16 target? 17 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of 18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they</pre>	14	A Yes, thousands.
 A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of people who were targeted, but let me try just a few priorities, let's say. The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they 	15	Q And if you could tell us briefly, who did the military
18 people who were targeted, but let me try just a few 19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	16	target?
19 priorities, let's say. 20 The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well 21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	17	A Well, I'm mindful of briefly. There were a lot of
The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for them. They also targeted the leadership of the political parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	18	people who were targeted, but let me try just a few
<pre>21 as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for 22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they</pre>	19	priorities, let's say.
22 them. 23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	20	The leadership of the Popular Unity government as well
23 They also targeted the leadership of the political 24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	21	as its midlevel leadership were obvious priorities for
24 parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they	22	them.
	23	They also targeted the leadership of the political
25 viewed as troublemakers or subversives.	24	parties that were part of the Popular Unity and who they
	25	viewed as troublemakers or subversives.

r	
1	They targeted people they considered troublemakers
2	because they were activists, let's say, in labor unions, or
3	in agrarian reform mobilizations.
4	They also targeted sites that they thought
5	concentrated people who would be very sympathetic to some
6	of the social reform ideals of the era or to that Allende
7	government itself.
8	Universities were important, some universities in that
9	regard, including the Technical State University in
10	downtown Santiago.
11	And then, finally, they certainly wanted to monitor
12	people who might have had a pastoral or guiding role for
13	young people, such as priests and teachers.
14	Q You mentioned, I think, the State Technical
15	University. Is this the university also known by the
16	Spanish acronym as UTE?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And why were the students and faculty at UTE a target
19	for the military?
20	A Well, that was an area where the students, as part of
21	the idealism, social reform climate of the era, there were
22	many students who were drawn to those issues and many
23	students who sympathized with Allende and participated in
24	the social movements of the era.
25	So from the point of view of the military regime, that

1	
1	was a natural target of the kinds of citizens who were
2	already or could become the internal enemy that would
3	undermine order in Chile.
4	Q Let me turn you to Chile Stadium during the period of
5	September 11th to September 15th, 1973.
6	Did torture occur at Chile Stadium during that period?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did, what I referred to previously as extrajudicial
9	killing, killing without process of law, occur at Chile
10	Stadium during that period?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Was Victor Jara tortured and killed at Chile Stadium?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And what's the basis for your conclusion as to Victor
15	Jara?
16	A Well, I've researched the Truth Commission that was
17	set up at the outset of the democratic transition in 1990
18	to 1991. Its mandate was to rigorously document and
19	certify those cases of extrajudicial execution or permanent
20	disappearances in which the commissioners could reach a
21	conclusion beyond doubt that the state had been responsible
22	for the events.
23	They were very meticulous. I've researched their
24	archives as well. And they looked into the case of Victor
25	Jara and certified, certified him as one of the victims.

1	Q Tell us a little bit about the Truth Commission
2	briefly. Who sat on the Truth Commission, and what was its
3	process?
4	A There were eight members of the Truth Commission,
5	equally distributed between the Center-Right and the
6	Center-Left, people known to have a high civic integrity so
7	they could put aside political issues.
8	Their internal rules were rules in which they required
9	unanimity to certify a case. If someone had a doubt on the
10	case, then they would put it in the group of cases that
11	they, that they would not certify.
12	They noted that some of those cases might prove to be
13	real cases, but they weren't going to certify them as
14	beyond a doubt for the commissioners.
15	And so it's a very careful process. And they had a
16	staff of lawyers and social workers. And the lawyers were
17	extremely careful to note those aspects that were relevant
18	for certification or lack of certification.
19	Q Were there soldiers from Tejas Verdes, the Tejas
20	Verdes regiment that you've been telling us about, at Chile
21	Stadium from September 12th to September 15th,
22	1973?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And in your expert opinion, who would have been
25	responsible for selecting which soldiers or Tejas Verdes

r	
1	sections or companies went to Chile Stadium?
2	A It's a small military community. And Manuel Contreras
3	would have been responsible for selecting the groups that
4	were sent up, and particularly for selecting frontline
5	officers who could who could wield authority properly
6	over those troops.
7	Q In your opinion, your expert opinion, what types of
8	officers would Lieutenant Contreras had sent to Chile
9	Stadium during that time?
10	A It would be at the lieutenant level and captain level.
11	Q And would these people have to meet any set of
12	criteria before being sent to Chile Stadium?
13	A There would have to be great trust that they
14	subscribe, not only subscribe to the vision of Contreras,
15	of a secret police kind of set of methods against people
16	considered the internal enemy but that they also could
17	wield the kind of control and authority over, over those
18	over conscripts and soldiers who reported to them so that
19	they could maintain control of the situation.
20	They were people with whom he had to have great trust.
21	Q Are you familiar with the term and I'm going to say
22	it in Spanish Academia de Guerra, as used in connection
23	with the coup in Chile in 1973?
24	A Yes. That was the War Academy. I am familiar with
25	it.
L	•

-	
1	Q So in English it would be War Academy?
2	A Excuse me?
3	Q In English it would be War Academy?
4	A Yes.
5	Q What was the Academia de Guerra in September of 1973?
6	A Well, it was, on the one hand, the school I referred
7	to earlier for high-level instruction on strategy and
8	command.
9	But it was also the nerve center of operations for the
10	actual decision-making to deploy troops, to monitor what
11	was going on, to take next steps, or to receive reports,
12	et cetera. It was very, very important.
13	Q Where was it located physically in September of 1973?
14	A It would be in the Ministry of Defense building that
15	is kitty-corner from La Moneda palace, the Presidential
16	Palace or seat of government, on the other side of a major
17	thoroughfare running east and west called La Alameda. Not
18	very far. Really, just depending on how you count, whether
19	you count plazas or not, just a couple of blocks away.
20	Q I think you referred to it as a nerve center. What
21	type of personnel would have been in the Academia de Guerra
22	in September of 1973, around the time of the coup?
23	A There would be the generals in charge of overall
24	Santiago operations. They would confer there and be in
25	this same building would confer the joint command of the
	-

junta, so it was pretty strategic. 1 2 Colonels who met sometimes as well at a different 3 military school called Escuela Militar would also confer with them. 4 In September of 1973, would Lieutenant Contreras have 5 0 been at the Academia de Guerra? 6 7 I think you meant lieutenant colonel. Α 8 Lieutenant Colonel Contreras. I'm sorry. Ο 9 А Sure. 10 Yes, he was very close, closely involved with the 11 Academia de Guerra. He was an instructor there. And as I 12 mentioned earlier, in January of 1974 he actually directed 13 it. 14 I think you mentioned Escuela Militar. Could you tell 0 15 us where that was again? 16 That's in Santiago, but a little farther away. Α 17 Okay. And did it have a function during the coup? 0 18 Yes, there were colonels, the other layer beside the А 19 general of operational officers, who were very, very 20 important who would confer as colleagues on, on how things 21 were going and what needed to be done. So it was another 22 center of importance. 23 Have you ever heard of a military organization called 0 Regimiento Tacna, especially around the time of September 24 25 of 1973?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And can you tell us what role, if any, Regimiento
3	Tacna or its facilities played in the coup around September
4	of 1973?
5	A Sure. It's in downtown Santiago. I would say 12, 15,
6	18 blocks southwest of the La Moneda Presidential Palace.
7	So it has a prime location for the group of prisoners who
8	were taken there.
9	The advisors of President Allende and the bodyguards
10	of President Allende who survived the military clashes
11	there and left La Moneda palace who were arrested were
12	brought over to Regimiento Tacna. So it had important
13	people there.
14	Q Was torture practiced at Regimiento Tacna in September
15	of 1973?
16	A Yes.
17	Q I want to take you now to another stadium that has
18	come up from time to time. And that's Estadio Nacional in
19	Spanish, or National Stadium.
20	Just so we're entirely clear on this, can you tell us
21	the difference between Chile Stadium and National Stadium
22	and their proximity to each other?
23	A Sure. The easiest way to think about it is Chile
24	Stadium, or Estadio Chile in Spanish, think of it as an
25	indoor sports complex.

Γ	
1	If you think of, let's say, a large high school or
2	college with a basketball arena, that's the kind of arena
3	you're looking at with Chile Stadium. It can hold a few
4	thousand people. That sort of thing.
5	And it's right downtown. So it's a prime location in
6	terms of where some of the, some of the people arrested
7	were in downtown Santiago.
8	The National Stadium, think of a soccer complex. You
9	can fit 40, 50,000 people in there. It's a much more giant
10	facility. It may have auxiliary facilities such as a
11	bicycle track. It's a whole other order of magnitude. But
12	it's not something you can jam into downtown. It's a
13	little bit farther south.
14	Q What role, if any, did National Stadium play around
15	the time of the coup in September of 1973?
16	A It was important. There were so many people being
17	taken prisoner that it was an important facility because it
18	could absorb prisoners from elsewhere as well as prisoners
19	originally sent to National Stadium. So it was very, very
20	important.
21	Q Was torture practiced at National Stadium?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And was extrajudicial killing as I've described it
24	before practiced at National Stadium in September of 1973?
25	A Yes.
	L

 Q Do you know a man named Manriquez Bravo? Of a man named Manriquez Bravo? A Yes. Bravo would have been the major in command at the Chile Stadium. He later, in October, became the director of interrogation at Tejas Verdes in a place call 	
3 A Yes. Bravo would have been the major in command at 4 the Chile Stadium. He later, in October, became the	
4 the Chile Stadium. He later, in October, became the	
5 director of interrogation at Tejas Verdes in a place cal	
	Led
6 Rosas de Santo Domingo, which was on the south shore of	he
7 Maipo River in the area that I had described.	
8 He had a background in military intelligence in	
9 Santiago.	
10 Q So as of October 1973, to whom did Manriquez Bravo	
11 report?	
12 A He was reporting to Manuel Contreras at that time.	Не
13 had been integrated into the Tejas Verdes operation.	
14 Q And do you know what his role in Tejas Verdes was	
15 after he was detailed there in or about October 1973?	
16 A He gave instruction on interrogation, which meant in	1
17 the Tejas Verdes context torture; as well as gathering	
18 information by using any means necessary to gather	
19 information.	
20 Q I think this was highlighted by your last answer but	; I
21 want to ask you. Were torture and extrajudicial killing	
22 practiced at Tejas Verdes in San Antonio following the da	ays
23 of the coup around September of 1973?	
24 A Yes. Tejas Verdes was operational as a prisoner car	np
25 and torture center through April of 1974, in terms of	

accepting new prisoners. 1 2 By that point, it had developed a very close 3 relationship with a torture house in Santiago called Londres 38. So prisoners would be shuffled from Santiago 4 5 to Tejas Verdes in some cases. It was a -- it continued to operate with prisoners 6 7 that had already been detained there until about midyear 1974. 8 9 By the time you get to the second half of 1974, the 10 DINA has really expanded and ramped up into a national 11 operation, has much larger torture centers in Santiago, and 12 Tejas Verdes falls out of the kind of role that it had 13 played earlier as a vanguard or embryonic force. 14 Do you know a place called Arsenales de Guerra? Ο 15 Yes. I'm aware of it. Α 16 And where is Arsenales de Guerra? Ο 17 It would be close to where the Regimiento Tacna is, Α 18 about 12, 15, 18 blocks southwest of La Moneda palace. I 19 can't specifically say that I know if they are exactly next 20 to each other or not, but it's very close. 21 As a matter of historical record, were any Catholic Q 22 priests the focus of the dictatorship in the days following 23 the coup? 24 Yes. There was an interest in monitoring Catholic Α 25 priests. I'm only aware, however, of one who was killed.

-	
1	Q Why was there an interest in monitoring Catholic
2	priests by the dictatorship?
3	A Well, the Catholic Church, like all institutions and
4	social organizations in Chile, was partially swept up in
5	the values of the era, including values of social reform
6	and trying to construct a different kind of society.
7	In that context, there were some priests who believed
8	that their duty was not simply, for example, to visit
9	working-class parishes or shantytown parishes, but to live
10	in them.
11	There were some priests who believed that there was
12	a movement called the worker-priest movement. Some priests
13	believed they needed to actually work as workers to
14	understand the lives of their parishioners.
15	And so there would have been an interest in tracking
16	and monitoring such people. And there would have been a
17	view that some of those people were part of the internal
18	enemy problem.
19	Q Have you ever heard of the name Juan Alcina?
20	A Yes. He was a Spanish priest.
21	Q And was he do you know if he was the subject of
22	interrogation by the military?
23	A He was the priest
24	MR. CALDERON: Your Honor, I'm going to object as
25	to relevance.
-	

-	
1	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
2	You can answer the question.
3	THE WITNESS: He was the priest who had been
4	killed. He worked in an area of Santiago called San
5	Bernardo.
6	He worked in a hospital, was a director of
7	personnel who worked with hospital workers. He was killed
8	on September 19th.
9	There had been searches for him before
10	September 19th that had failed. I'm not quite certain
11	when the first search would have been, maybe the 13th,
12	the 15th, something like that.
13	By the evening of the 18th, he had come to the
14	religious conclusion that he would be found and that he had
15	to accept his fate. So he went to his workplace the next
16	day and when they came for him he had been advised by
17	parishioners that people were searching for him. So he
18	knew that there was a problem.
19	So the next day he went to work. And when a party
20	came for him, he gave himself up and pardoned the person
21	who eventually executed him on a bridge called the Bulnes
22	over the Rio Mapocho River. And his body was thrown into
23	the river.
24	Q I want to refer you back to Chile Stadium and National
25	Stadium around the time in 1973 and beyond. When Chile

ſ	
1	Stadium was functioning as a detention center, a
2	concentration camp, about how many detainees were
3	restrained there?
4	A At Chile Stadium?
5	Q At Chile Stadium first.
6	A Altogether about 5,000, 6,000. That would be the
7	order of magnitude.
8	Q Okay. And now, turning to National Stadium, do you
9	know about how many detainees were kept at various times at
10	National Stadium?
11	A There were reports in late September, I believe
12	September 22nd, the Red Cross would have made an
13	estimate of about 7,500.
14	Since there were people being shuffled in and out of
15	National Stadium, any snapshot estimate would be a lowball
16	estimate. There would have been tens of thousands of
17	people who shuffled through at one point or another.
18	Q Okay. So in September of 1973 and the weeks and
19	months following, there are thousands of people that are
20	detained at these two centers.
21	Does information about what's occurring in Chile
22	Stadium and National Stadium percolate out to the public in
23	Chile at some point?
24	A Well, especially National Stadium. It would have been
25	difficult not to have some knowledge that people were being
L	

1	detained. There were so many people detained.
2	And family members were interested in the fate of
3	their relatives, so they would go to National Stadium.
4	It's a big soccer complex.
5	And they would go to the fence, they would ask if they
6	could find out about their relatives. If their relatives
7	could be brought to them, they would pass them cigarettes.
8	And then the family members would talk to one another.
9	And the regime had a certain interest as well in
10	letting the population know that, that it intended to
11	search for people who were defined as troublemakers or an
12	enemy of Chile and to detain them.
13	So the regime, the regime did acknowledge that there
14	were a lot of arrests there and did try to manage the
15	information about what was going on in National Stadium.
16	Q You tell us that the regime acknowledged people were
17	being detained there. Did the regime take public
18	accountability for the torture and the extrajudicial
19	killing that you told us that occurred at those places at
20	that time?
21	A No. The regime would try to manage information about
22	National Stadium sought to put forth the idea that it was
23	an orderly detention process designed to find out who
24	deserved further punishment. And so there was an idea that
25	there were investigations. They were properly done. Those
-	

1	who were not at fault, was the idea, would be released.
2	And so it was a very different story from a story of
3	accountability. We have some problems. We've not done
4	things.
5	Now, the regime did not pretend that they were
6	treating prisoners that it was a hotel, you know. They
7	acknowledged that rations were tight and austere and that
8	sort of thing; but accountability, no.
9	Q And in terms of accountability, I just want to be
10	clear on this. By accountability, what do you mean? Do
11	you mean that the regime did not take responsibility for
12	the torture and killing?
13	A Correct, did not even acknowledge that that was
14	happening.
15	Q Did the military dictatorship take any steps to avoid
16	accountability for torture and killing around the time of
17	the coup in the years following?
18	A Well, yes. That was very important to them. So they
19	used various methods to deal with some of the concerns that
20	arose around that.
21	Q What are some of the methods the regime used to avoid
22	accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing?
23	A Well, when specific events could no longer be denied
24	because they had drawn attention and had raised concerns,
25	one method would be to create cover stories that would

-	
1	deflect the responsibility away from the state in other
2	directions.
3	Q I just want to make sure we understand the terms
4	you're using. You used the term cover story.
5	Can you explain what you mean by that?
6	A Sure. A cover story is when events that have raised
7	concern are acknowledged, but a plausible explanation of
8	them is given that transfers the responsibility elsewhere
9	and says, this is not what the state did; this is something
10	that has a different kind of explanation where the
11	responsibility lies elsewhere.
12	Q And did the regime use this tactic of cover stories in
13	connection with torture and killing?
14	A Yes. One of the most important in the early period
15	organized by the DINA secret police, which had a department
16	of psychological operations and considered it very
17	important to manage information as well as to get
18	information and to repress people, they organized a cover
19	story called Operation Colombo.
20	And the reason was that there were 119 people,
21	remember, that disappeared that I had mentioned before, who
22	had generated great concern, legal petitions. And it had
23	become a headache for the regime.
24	Many people of religious conscience got involved in
25	trying to find out what had happened to these 119
L	

-	
1	disappeared people from the point of view the relatives
2	presumed disappeared from the point of view of the regime.
3	So Operation Colombo was a cover story, a news story
4	that said that the state had not detained these people at
5	all. They were not disappeared by the state.
6	They had run away to Argentina. And they were such
7	fanatics, Leftists, that they had died in firefights. On
8	the one hand, about half of them in firefights among
9	themselves. Because they were such purists, they would get
10	in fights amongst themselves and kill themselves. And on
11	the other hand, the other half 119 is not an even
12	number. It was 60 and 59. I don't remember which is
13	which.
14	The other half were killed by Argentine security
15	forces going after fanatic Leftists. So that was the story
16	was that this is not disappearance. The state is not
17	responsible. There's another plausible explanation.
18	Somebody else's responsibility.
19	Q Just briefly, was that story, the cover story in
20	connection to what you referred to as Operation Colombo
21	ultimately successful? Did that tactic work?
22	A No. It unraveled within a month because concerned
23	people in Chile, including relatives and religious people
24	of conscience, that sort of thing, they checked it out.
25	And it turned out that the, the initial reports on
_	

 1 which the Chile news media relied came from two newspapers. 2 One was a Brazilian newspaper that turned out not to exist, 3 and the other was an Argentine newspaper who's home address 4 was the office of a government ministry in Argentina. So 5 the cover story actually unraveled. 0 Based on your review of the historical record and your expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the 8 military dictatorship used in connection with the torture 9 and killing of Victor Jara? 10 A Yes. 10 A Yes. 11 Q And what was the cover story that the military 12 concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? 13 A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission 14 report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a 15 communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper 16 fire. 19 A No. 10 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 10 Q Ware there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 11 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 12 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 13 the time and in the years following the coup? 14 A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 15 the fear of reprisal if someone came forward with 	-	
 and the other was an Argentine newspaper who's home address was the office of a government ministry in Argentina. So the cover story actually unraveled. Q Based on your review of the historical record and your expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the military dictatorship used in connection with the torture and killing of Victor Jara? A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	1	which the Chile news media relied came from two newspapers.
4 was the office of a government ministry in Argentina. So 5 the cover story actually unraveled. 9 Based on your review of the historical record and your expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the military dictatorship used in connection with the torture and killing of Victor Jara? 10 A Yes. 10 A Yes. 11 Q And what was the cover story that the military 12 concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? 13 A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission 14 report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a 15 communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper 16 fire. 17 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	2	One was a Brazilian newspaper that turned out not to exist,
the cover story actually unraveled. Q Based on your review of the historical record and your expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the military dictatorship used in connection with the torture and killing of Victor Jara? A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	3	and the other was an Argentine newspaper who's home address
 G Based on your review of the historical record and your expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the military dictatorship used in connection with the torture and killing of Victor Jara? A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	4	was the office of a government ministry in Argentina. So
expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the military dictatorship used in connection with the torture and killing of Victor Jara? A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	5	the cover story actually unraveled.
military dictatorship used in connection with the torture and killing of Victor Jara? A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	6	Q Based on your review of the historical record and your
 and killing of Victor Jara? A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	7	expertise, are you aware of any cover stories that the
 A Yes. Q And what was the cover story that the military concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	8	military dictatorship used in connection with the torture
 11 Q And what was the cover story that the military 12 concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? 13 A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission 14 report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a 15 communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper 16 fire. 17 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	9	and killing of Victor Jara?
 12 concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death? 13 A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission 14 report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a 15 communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper 16 fire. 17 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	10	A Yes.
 A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	11	Q And what was the cover story that the military
 report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper fire. Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as the historical record shows? A No. Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	12	concocted in connection with Victor Jara's death?
<pre>15 communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper 16 fire. 17 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,</pre>	13	A That's the story documented in the Truth Commission
<pre>16 fire. 17 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,</pre>	14	report I mentioned earlier. Foreign ministry issued a
 17 Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as 18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	15	communique saying that Victor Jara had died from sniper
<pre>18 the historical record shows? 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,</pre>	16	fire.
 19 A No. 20 Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were 21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself, 	17	Q Was there absolutely any truth to that cover story as
Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were there other techniques that the military used to avoid accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around the time and in the years following the coup? A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	18	the historical record shows?
21 there other techniques that the military used to avoid 22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	19	A No.
<pre>22 accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around 23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,</pre>	20	Q Were there, you've told us about cover stories. Were
23 the time and in the years following the coup? 24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	21	there other techniques that the military used to avoid
24 A There were. The most important would be fear itself,	22	accountability for torture and extrajudicial killing around
	23	the time and in the years following the coup?
25 the fear of reprisal if someone came forward with	24	A There were. The most important would be fear itself,
	25	the fear of reprisal if someone came forward with

1	information that was damaging to the legitimacy or
2	credibility of the government.
3	The repression created a culture of fear. And many
4	people assumed that if they got involved in some way or
5	told what they knew that they might suffer very severe
6	consequences.
7	Q How did the regime create this culture of fear and use
8	intimidation to prevent accountability for torture and
9	killing?
10	A Well, they did from time to time go after people who
11	were viewed as too soft or having done something that was
12	damaging to the regime. And people knew it.
13	In addition, in Chile, there were many survivors of,
14	people who were imprisoned and tortured were not all of
15	them killed or disappeared. People saw the effects of
16	people coming out.
17	Probably the most important example of how culture
18	fear operated might have been what you would see inside the
19	military, particularly in the archives of the Vicariate of
20	Solidarity of the Catholic Church and the Truth Commission
21	archives.
22	Q Tell us just briefly what these archives were and what
23	it is that was discovered in these archives that would
24	support this culture of fear as you've described it.
25	A Well, what's notable in the archives is that a few

ſ	
1	conscripts did come forward not a lot; very, very few
2	because they had such pangs of conscience or were so
3	traumatized by atrocities that they were either witness to
4	or a party of that they felt that somebody should do
5	something about them.
6	So they wanted to go to authorities who might be able
7	to do something about it but expressed great fear and
8	required anonymity for protection because they feared that
9	if word got out what they had done that it would be very
10	dangerous.
11	Q When did the dictatorship formally end?
12	A That would be in March of 1990 when a newly elected
13	civilian president took office as president.
14	There had been a plebiscite or referendum in 1988 that
15	backfired against the regime. It lost. And so there was a
16	period of about a year and a half. There was a fuzzy
17	interim period to call new elections and to get a new
18	president installed.
19	Q Following 1990, in your expert opinion, was there a
20	return to full democracy?
21	A You had a return to what you might think of as a
22	restricted, restricted democracy in which in the interim
23	period the military had taken steps to assure it would have
24	a high level of prerogative during the period of democratic
25	transition and that there would be strong constraints on at
L	

least some issues on civilian authority. 1 2 The most important was that under the rules of General 3 Pinochet's Constitution, a new Constitution developed in 1980. And as reaffirmed in the interim transition period, 4 5 he continued as Commander of the Army, and the elected civilian president did not have the power to fire him. 6 7 0 Did the military continue to exercise significant 8 influence in Chilean politics and society following the 9 year 1990? 10 А Yes. 11 MR. BECKETT: Your Honor, could I just have one 12 moment to confer with my colleagues? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. BECKETT: Thank you. 15 I have no further questions, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Beckett. 17 Cross-examination? 18 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 CROSS EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. CALDERON: 21 Good morning, Professor Stern. Q 22 Good morning. Α 23 Now, you spoke a little bit about how you kind of had 0 24 been studying Chilean history. And are you familiar with 25 the term field immersion?

1	A Field immersion is a term that's commonly used for
2	description of the anthropologists at work. In my world in
3	academia, that's what we use it for.
4	Q And is that something that you employed in kind of
5	arriving at your conclusions today? Is that one of
6	techniques you employed?
7	A Well, I'm not an anthropologist, but I do field
8	visits.
9	Q Okay. So in formalizing your expert opinion in this
10	case, you did not employ field immersion or the technique
11	of field immersion?
12	A I wonder if you could explain to me what you think
13	field immersion is. I don't think I'm quite tracking
14	what I understand field immersion to be is the classic
15	field immersion of an anthropologist would be, for example,
16	if he or she goes to the field, lives in the local
17	community for a year, often a small-scale, rural community,
18	and on that basis collects ethnography and writes up an
19	ethnography.
20	Q Is that what you did as part of your kind of gaining
21	expertise in this area?
22	A Well, I worked as an historian. So I used some of the
23	techniques of field immersion but did not limit myself to
24	them. So I think an anthropologist would say I didn't do
25	full scale the way they would do it.

1	In other words, I was responsible for trying to
2	understand the whole society, not one small community in
3	the society; to try to understand the various sectors of
4	the society in relation to the period of Pinochet's rule
5	and some of the conflicts around human rights issues.
6	So I think from a strict anthropological point of
7	view, I did field visits but not the kind of field
8	immersion that is the classic term.
9	From an historian's point of view, compared to most
10	historians, they would probably say he did field immersion
11	because he lived in Chile for a long, long time.
12	Q And was the purpose of that to, as you described
13	earlier, also to gain access to some of these archives and
14	some of these documents?
15	A Absolutely. Archives are one of the classic places we
16	go to as historians.
17	Q And in doing your research, did you ever meet or track
18	down any of the sources that were described or mentioned in
19	the archives or the Truth Commission report?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Have you ever reviewed any documents produced by the
22	courts in Chile since 2008 in preparing for your testimony
23	today?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And are these documents that you reviewed, are they

-	
1	referred to as Tomos?
2	A I'm sorry. Someone sneezed. I didn't quite catch
3	your
4	Q Okay. Did some of these documents that you refute
5	post-2008, did they include what's referred to as Tomos?
6	A Tomos is a term that's used all over the place for
7	various volumes of things. The Truth Commission reports
8	were Tomos. I'm not quite sure what you have in mind since
9	it's such a generic term.
10	Q And with respect to specifically cases that have
11	involved Victor Jara, I guess inquests or investigations in
12	Chile by the judicial branch, did you review any Tomos with
13	respect to that?
14	A No. Well, I should make one exception. The answer is
15	still no.
16	From a technical point of view it's not a judicial
17	case. The Truth Commission was a fact-finding body. It
18	was not a tribunal. And so the Truth Commission that I
19	referred to did rigorously investigate Victor Jara and many
20	other people's cases.
21	However, there was a connection to courts insofar as
22	in the cases especially of disappeared people, the Truth
23	Commission turned over evidence to tribunals in the hopes
24	that judges would make use of that information in the
25	judicial process.
-	

1	So there's an indirect connection. But it's pretty
2	indirect.
3	Q And the Truth Commission report, is this referred to
4	as the Rettig Commission?
5	A Yes. There were actually four Truth Commissions in
6	Chile over time. But this was the one that we've been
7	talking about, was the first one at the outset of the
8	democratic transition.
9	And it was nicknamed the Rettig report after the name
10	of Raul Rettig who was the chair of the Truth Commission.
11	Q And the other commission reports that came out after
12	the first one, the one that's referred to as the Rettig
13	report, did they disclose any information or further
14	investigate the death of Victor Jara specifically?
15	A No well, with one exception. I should remember to
16	pause and ransack my
17	Q Sure.
18	A my archives.
19	Okay. The one exception would be that there was a
20	major Truth Commission on the problem of political prison
21	and torture named after its chair, Valech, Sergio Valech.
22	The Valech Commission released its report in 2004.
23	And it reviewed comprehensively the problem of political
24	imprisonment and torture and included Estadio Chile among
25	the 1100 cases of camps in various places in Chile

1	throughout the period of the dictatorship.
2	I don't recall, however, that they mentioned Victor
3	Jara himself specifically.
4	Q Now, in addition with Victor Jara and the Rettig
5	Commission, there's another individual who is also
6	mentioned by name, an individual named Litre Quiroga; is
7	that correct?
8	A In the Truth Commission, yes.
9	Q And where
10	A Or excuse me, the Rettig Commission, the first
11	foundational Truth Commission, yes. Thank you for
12	Q And in the report, did it state that these bodies were
13	found together? Do you recall?
14	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm going to object. This is
15	beyond the scope of the witness' testimony. He's not being
16	offered as a witness about the manner of death of Victor
17	Jara or the scene of Victor Jara's death. He's being
18	offered for the three specific things he's qualified for.
19	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule your
20	objection at this point with the understanding,
21	Mr. Calderon, that you're to confine your questioning to
22	matters that were addressed on direct.
23	MR. CALDERON: Understood, Judge.
24	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
25	Because I wall that out and just listen to you.

-	
1	BY MR. CALDERON:
2	Q Sure. With respect to Litre Quiroga, did the Truth
3	Commission report also include or include the fact that
4	Victor Jara's body was found along with Litre Quiroga?
5	A I believe that's right.
6	Q And you also reviewed media archives as well?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Now, you mentioned earlier with respect to agrarian
9	reform, what isn't it true that agrarian reform in 1972
10	and 1973 included the taking of lands from private
11	landowners and redistributing that land to others or people
12	who worked the land?
13	A Yes. The idea was that there would be an orderly
14	process managed from above that would involve compensation
15	of the lands that were taken.
16	It is also true, however, as you say, that some
17	peasants didn't want to wait. And so they invaded lands.
18	And then the state would have to negotiate some sort of
19	solution which did lead to some redistribution of property
20	with the bottom-up initiative. That's true.
21	Q And that was part of the Leftist platform that you
22	described earlier, correct?
23	A No. The platform of the Popular Unity did not push
24	for bottom-up invasions. It pushed for a top-down process
25	of orderly agrarian reform.
_	

1QDid it also include, in '72 and leading into '73, the2taking of private industry and making them government3entities? For example, with respect to Chile exploration?4Is that correct?5AChile exploration?6QYes.7AYou mean expropriation?8QNo. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration.9It was an industry in Chile during that time?10AOkay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize11for misunderstanding you.12QSure.13AThe vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there14would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was15also the vision of the Christian Democrats.16The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far17to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what18was originally just a few years before extremely19controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a20lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization21received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress.22There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in23some industries, the state and it blocked production in24the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,25manage those companies for a while, and provide and the		
 antities? For example, with respect to Chile exploration? Is that correct? A Chile exploration? Q Yes. A You mean expropriation? Q No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration. It was an industry in Chile during that time? A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize for misunderstanding you. Q Sure. A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	1	Q Did it also include, in '72 and leading into '73, the
4Is that correct?5A6Q7A7A8Q9No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration.9It was an industry in Chile during that time?10A11there were a lot of industries. So I apologize12Q13A14would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was15also the vision of the Christian Democrats.16The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far17to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what18was originally just a few years before extremely19controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a20lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization21received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress.22There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in23some industries, the state and it blocked production in24the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	2	taking of private industry and making them government
 A Chile exploration? Q Yes. A You mean expropriation? Q No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration. It was an industry in Chile during that time? A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize for misunderstanding you. Q Sure. A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in 	3	entities? For example, with respect to Chile exploration?
 Q Yes. A You mean expropriation? Q No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration. It was an industry in Chile during that time? A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize for misunderstanding you. Q Sure. A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	4	Is that correct?
 A You mean expropriation? O No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration. It was an industry in Chile during that time? A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize for misunderstanding you. Q Sure. A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	5	A Chile exploration?
 8 Q No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration. 9 It was an industry in Chile during that time? 10 A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize 11 for misunderstanding you. 12 Q Sure. 13 A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there 14 would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was 15 also the vision of the Christian Democrats. 16 The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far 17 to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what 18 was originally just a few years before extremely 19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 11 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 12 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 13 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 14 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 12 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	6	Q Yes.
9It was an industry in Chile during that time?10A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize11for misunderstanding you.12Q Sure.13A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there14would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was15also the vision of the Christian Democrats.16The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far17to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what18was originally just a few years before extremely19controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a20lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization21received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress.22There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in23some industries, the state and it blocked production in24the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	7	A You mean expropriation?
 10 A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize 11 for misunderstanding you. 12 Q Sure. 13 A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there 14 would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was 15 also the vision of the Christian Democrats. 16 The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far 17 to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what 18 was originally just a few years before extremely 19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 20 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	8	Q No. I'll ask you with respect to Chile exploration.
 for misunderstanding you. Q Sure. A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	9	It was an industry in Chile during that time?
12 Q Sure. 13 A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there 14 would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was 15 also the vision of the Christian Democrats. 16 The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far 17 to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what 18 was originally just a few years before extremely 19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 10 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	10	A Okay. There were a lot of industries. So I apologize
 A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	11	for misunderstanding you.
would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	12	Q Sure.
 also the vision of the Christian Democrats. The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what was originally just a few years before extremely controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those, 	13	A The vision of the Popular Unity was that, yes, there
16 The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far 17 to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what 18 was originally just a few years before extremely 19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 11feline industry for the economy, that nationalization 11 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 12 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 13 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 14 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	14	would be some state owned sectors of the economy. That was
17 to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what 18 was originally just a few years before extremely 19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 20 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	15	also the vision of the Christian Democrats.
18 was originally just a few years before extremely 19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 20 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	16	The disputes that occurred were disputes about how far
<pre>19 controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a 20 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,</pre>	17	to go, how many industries. So, for example, in 1971, what
20 lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization 21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	18	was originally just a few years before extremely
21 received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress. 22 There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in 23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	19	controversial, the nationalization of copper, which was a
There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in some industries, the state and it blocked production in the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	20	lifeline industry for the economy, that nationalization
<pre>23 some industries, the state and it blocked production in 24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,</pre>	21	received a 100 percent unanimous vote in Congress.
24 the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,	22	There was a provision in law that if disputes arose in
	23	some industries, the state and it blocked production in
25 manage those companies for a while, and provide and the	24	the economy, the state could intervene and manage those,
	25	manage those companies for a while, and provide and the

ſ	
1	workers could get involved in the management of those
2	companies.
3	But to place those companies in a fuzzy legal category
4	in which the state didn't necessarily own them forever, nor
5	did the owners, they would have to work out some kind of
6	solution.
7	Q Now, with respect to Chile exploration, are you
8	familiar with the takeover of that industry specifically?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. Now, with respect to President Allende, are you
11	familiar with the term GAP, referring to his presidential
12	guard?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And do you recall what that presidential guard was
15	made up of?
16	A They were his presidential bodyguards.
17	Q And do you know whether some of these presidential
18	guards were from Cuba or Cuba-born bodyguards?
19	A That's a really good question. I don't believe any of
20	them were, but I might be wrong on a case or two. I don't
21	believe that any of them were, however.
22	Q Now, with regards to Tejas Verdes, isn't it true that
23	Tejas Verdes was an officer training school as well as a
24	regiment of soldiers?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And you spoke about the strategic positioning, about
2	Tejas Verdes. Isn't it true that the takeover of DINA by
3	Manuel Contreras didn't occur until after the coup?
4	A I think you have your chronology wrong and you don't
5	understand the evidence.
6	There wasn't a DINA to take over right away. What you
7	had was Tejas Verdes, as the anchor from which Manuel
8	Contreras could develop his vision, get buy-in. He was not
9	actually taken to the junta for approval to create a formal
10	DINA.
11	What you had at that time when he was brought into the
12	junta in November was an emerging DINA group, if you will,
13	or a DINA commission.
14	I think the chronology is backwards. First, you have
15	Tejas Verdes, and then you get DINA.
16	Q And your source with regards to when DINA was formed,
17	is that from the Truth Commission?
18	A Well, I'm an historian, so I look at a lot of sources.
19	And our method is to compare different sources.
20	But a fundamental source was the secret session of the
21	junta in November. I forget the exact date. It's easy to
22	look up. And that was the starting point.
23	The Truth Commission came to the same conclusion, but
24	I had already researched it. But it's important to
25	acknowledge that the creation of the DINA was not fully

1	publicly announced until mid-1974.
2	So you had, on the one hand, its authorization in
3	secret; and then a process by which eventually it became
4	formally recognized, public knowledge.
5	Q And are you familiar with DINE? D-I-N-E?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And what is that?
8	A If I remember correctly, that would be the Direccion
9	de Inteligencia Nacional del Ejercito. But I might be off.
10	Q Okay. And did that exist prior to the coup in 1973?
11	A I'm sorry?
12	Q Did that organization or group exist prior to the coup
13	in 1973?
14	A Well, all the military branches had intelligence
15	agencies of their own. One of the difficulties in the
16	session when the DINE was authorized in November of 1973
17	This is relevant to your question.
18	Q I understand that.
19	A Okay.
20	Q I understand that. But I'm just going to ask you to
21	respond to the question. If I need follow-up, I'll ask
22	you.
23	A Okay. Sure.
24	Q So did the DINA exist prior to the coup in 1973?
25	A I believe so.
-	

And was this the military's organization or group for 1 \bigcirc 2 collecting intelligence prior to the coup? 3 For all the military? Α Or for certain parts of the military? 4 Q 5 А Certain parts of the military, yes. 6 THE COURT: Can I get a clarification, 7 Mr. Calderon? You asked the witness whether or not DINA 8 existed prior to 1973. Did you mean D-I-N-E or D-I-N-A? 9 I apologize, Your Honor. MR. CALDERON: D-I-N-E. 10 And I'll just spell it out so that we're not 11 confusing --12 THE COURT: I want to make sure the record is 13 clear. 14 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 And D-I-N-E, what particular parts of the military did 0 17 they report to? Or did they provide intelligence for? 18 You know, so much of this history is in secret and I А 19 did not research them in depth, so I wouldn't be candid 20 with you if I could say I know the answer to that 21 completely. 22 And that's fine if you don't. And I appreciate that. Q 23 Now, with respect to -- are you familiar with the 24 acronym PDI? 25 А No.

1	Q Are you familiar with the investigative police of
2	Chile?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did that organization exist prior to the coup in 1973?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And was their role to also collect intelligence from,
7	I guess what we'd refer to as a civilian perspective?
8	A The role of Regimiento de Blindados was to collect
9	intelligence. The best way to describe them would be
10	something like our FBI.
11	Q And did you ever hear them referred to as PDI, under
12	that acronym?
13	MR. BECKETT: Objection, asked and answered.
14	THE WITNESS: Well, first
15	THE COURT: Hang on a second, Professor Stern.
16	I'm going to overrule the objection in light of
17	the intervening question. If that jogs the witness'
18	memory, he can respond.
19	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat it, please?
20	BY MR. CALDERON:
21	Q Yes. PDI, with reference to the previous questions,
22	PDI, have you heard that acronym before?
23	A I don't recall that acronym.
24	Q But you have heard of the investigative police?
25	A Sure.
	·

-	-
1	Q Okay. And you said that they are similar to the FBI?
2	A Sure.
3	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm going to object. This is
4	beyond the scope of the witness' testimony. He hasn't
5	investigated he hasn't talked about the investigative
6	police or local police in his testimony.
7	THE COURT: Well, objection is overruled.
8	The witness has testified about a number of
9	different investigative organizations. I think it's fair
10	game for cross-examination.
11	MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Judge.
12	BY MR. CALDERON:
13	Q And you stated that they were active prior to the coup
14	in 1973 based on your research?
15	A Sure.
16	And I want to clarify something, which is that my
17	research has focused primarily from the time of the coup
18	onward. So I do not claim to be as systematic or intensive
19	in my research on the 1950s or the 1960s as it might be in
20	the 1970s, '80s, and '90s. So, sure.
21	Q And I understand. And what I'll do is I'll actually
22	just kind of bring it back to 1970, prior to the coup. So
23	we'll go back about a little over two years.
24	Was the investigative police
25	A But I hope you sorry. Go ahead.

_	
1	Q Was the investigative police active between 1970 and
2	the time of the coup?
3	A Sure.
4	Q Now, you just said that you focused your research on
5	1973 going forward. But you've testified to several pieces
6	of information regarding the formation of Tejas Verdes.
7	Was that part of your research, specifically
8	A Yes.
9	Q just Tejas Verdes?
10	A If you mean was it specifically Tejas Verdes, was that
11	all of my research?
12	Q Yes.
13	A Sure, Tejas Verdes was included.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Calderon, is this a good time for
15	us to take our break?
16	MR. CALDERON: Yes, Your Honor. That's fine.
17	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentleman,
18	you've been at it about 90 minutes. Let's take our
19	mid-morning break and come back at 10:45. We'll resume
20	with the cross-examination of Professor Stern.
21	(Jury exited the courtroom at 10:29 a.m.)
22	THE COURT: We'll be in recess until 10:45.
23	Professor Stern, you're in the midst of your
24	testimony, so it's not appropriate for you to discuss the
25	substance of your testimony with any of the lawyers or

their representatives. 1 2 If we could have you back in your seat at 10:45 so 3 we could proceed, I would be appreciative. 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 (Recess at 10:29 a.m. to 10:48 a.m.) THE COURT: Ready to proceed, Mr. Calderon? 6 7 MR. CALDERON: I am, Your Honor. (Jury entered the courtroom at 10:49 a.m.) 8 9 THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentleman. 10 I'll get back to you on your question when I have 11 a chance to talk with the lawyers about it at our lunch 12 break. 13 Mr. Calderon, you may inquire. 14 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Professor Stern, during -- and, I guess, the formation Ο 17 of DINA after the coup, is it true that DINA was made up of 18 not only military intelligence but also civilian 19 intelligence? 20 The DINA was able to recruit across the military Α 21 branches and the police, the Carabineros, and claimed to 2.2 include in its network claims that are very difficult to 23 definitively prove that it had secured a network of many collaborators, including civilians. 24 25 Now, you described that during the coup, there were Q

-	-
1	two nerve centers. One was at Arsenales de Guerra, and one
2	was at the Ministry of Defense; is that correct?
3	A They are not the only nerve centers. It's a long
4	country. But in the Santiago area, sure.
5	Q Okay. And in Santiago, those were the two nerve
6	centers?
7	A Sure.
8	Q Okay. What kind of information was handled at
9	Arsenales de Guerra and not at the Ministry of Defense?
10	What was germane to Arsenales and what was germane to the
11	Ministry of Defense?
12	A I think the premise of the question is off in two
13	respects, if you don't mind my elaborating on that.
14	Q If you could, please.
15	A I think it's off because I don't claim to be an inside
16	history researcher of all of the elements of the
17	deliberations on September 11th.
18	But, more importantly, I think it's important to
19	understand that the events were extremely fluid, and a
20	flowchart approach to how authority was wielded and
21	commanded does not really capture what was going on.
22	After all, the heads of two of the military branches
23	were heads who had been promoted after people had been
24	pushed out.
25	So chain of command and flowcharts are of somewhat

-	
1	limited value because they could sometimes be set aside.
2	Q Was there and I'll phrase the question this way:
3	It seems that, is this correct to say, that information
4	kind of flowed freely between those two locations?
5	A I'm sure that information occurred between those two
6	locations. There were some of the same people going back
7	and forth and communicating.
8	Q Okay. And did one location, for example, did the
9	Ministry of Defense have higher-ranking officials than the
10	officials that were at the Arsenales de Guerra?
11	A You know, I'm not an expert of the Arsenales
12	de Guerra. But the Ministry of Defense would have had very
13	high officials taking leadership.
14	Q Was Tejas Verdes the only regiment that, I guess,
15	engaged in torture and extrajudicial killing?
16	A No.
17	Q And the sources that I guess you talked about the
18	sources that came forward were, consisted of conscripts; is
19	that correct?
20	A Are you referring to the sources that I reviewed in
21	the Vicariate of Solidarity archives and the Truth
22	Commission archives?
23	Q Yes, sir.
24	A Some of the sources that I've looked at were
25	conscripts. They are not only conscripts.

1	Q Were there higher-ranking officials that also gave
2	information regarding their experiences during the coup?
3	A That's a really good question. I believe there were,
4	but that wasn't the focus of my research.
5	Q And if you can, in your expert opinion through
6	research, why did it seem that conscripts were the primary
7	source from the military side?
8	A I don't think the premise of your question is correct,
9	because there were officers who also provided information
10	about what was going on in one venue or another.
11	Q Okay. So with regards to this, with the conscripts,
12	are they considered reliable sources?
13	A I'm a historian, so I have to weigh and assess
14	evidence wherever it leads. So I don't appropre decide
15	that one source is credible or not. One has to weigh and
16	assess.
17	Q Okay. So with regards to the Truth Commission, was
18	there any commentary as to the validity or the truthfulness
19	of the conscripts? Was any of that information discussed
20	in the Rettig Commission report or the Truth Commission
21	report?
22	A The, I think the question misconstrues the evidence.
23	The purpose of the Rettig Commission was not to give a
24	global description of the reliability of conscript
25	witnesses.
L	

ſ	
1	The purpose was individual case by individual case to
2	look into every allegation that came to its attention of
3	people who would have been subjected to extrajudicial
4	killing or permanent disappearance to see if those cases
5	were true or not.
6	And if you are in the archives of the Rettig
7	Commission, you will see that, you will see lawyer notes
8	trying to evaluate the credibility of evidence on an
9	individual basis per the case, not on a global basis
10	saying, do conscripts, in general, are they reliable?
11	That wasn't the focus. The focus was case by case by
12	case. So I cannot answer that question because they didn't
13	think in those terms in the first place.
14	Q Okay. Let me ask it this way: With respect to the
15	conscripts, you said that you had said that some of the
16	sources had witnessed it, the torture. And some of them
17	even engaged in it, is that correct, in your review of the
18	archives?
19	A I don't think I said that.
20	Q No?
21	So when reviewing the archives, the conscripts, you
22	testified, did not witness the things that they retold or
23	engaged in the torture themselves?
24	A It depends what you mean. And let me explain so that
25	I'm not being evasive but can share with you what happened.
L	

r	
1	So, for example, in one case, what we're talking about
2	were conscripts who had been given the duty there had
3	been people who had been disappeared and had been clumsily
4	buried in a desert area.
5	And their officers told them that they needed to move
6	the bodies and dig much, much deeper places to dispose of
7	secret bodies.
8	So they did not witness some of these bodies were
9	in pretty bad shape. They did not witness in that case,
10	for example, of the actual live mutilation and hacking to
11	death of the bodies. But they witnessed its aftermath and
12	then moved the bodies and were pretty shocked.
13	So, you know, some of the information that conscripts
14	had was based on the ways in which when a crime or a
15	terrible deed is committed, it leaves, it leaves a legacy
16	and a wake of evidence that the conscripts were not foolish
17	or stupid.
18	You know, like you and me, they understood that
19	something had happened; and it was pretty shocking to them.
20	Q They could put the pieces together?
21	A Sure.
22	Q So when you reviewed the archives, and in your
23	research, you didn't come across any conscripts who either
24	engaged in the torture or extrajudicial killing of
25	detainees or witnessed the torture and extrajudicial

1	killing of detainees?
2	MR. BECKETT: I'm going to object, Judge. Can we
3	approach?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	(Discussion at sidebar on the record.)
6	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I objected to Mr. Calderon's
7	questions in this vain, and I waited for a while to see
8	where it was going.
9	This witness is not being brought here to testify
10	about the credibility of the conscripts. And I fear that
11	Mr. Calderon is trying to use him to impeach the
12	credibility of a broad class of people such as all
13	conscripts as a way of impeaching our evidence.
14	Each individual witness was impeached or asked
15	questions by the defense during those depositions. And
16	this man has not been asked about them to bolster their
17	credibility.
18	And I think a question formulated such that he's
19	asked to give a broad opinion about conscripts is
20	necessarily prejudicial.
21	And the prejudicial effect of that outweighs the
22	probative value. He had a chance to do this through each
23	individual conscript.
24	THE COURT: Well, I'll hear from Mr. Calderon in a
25	moment.

But the witness was asked on direct examination 1 2 whether or not any of these conscripts, the witness 3 testified on direct examination that some of these 4 conscripts as a result of pangs of conscience had come 5 forward. And that in the archives this witness found and 6 7 accessed information from those conscripts which gave 8 evidence supportive of the atrocities that are being 9 alleged by the plaintiff in this case. 10 So I think the cross-examination is intended to 11 identify that this witness obtained this information 12 secondhand and that he did not talk to any conscripts who 13 admitted or conceded or acknowledged that they had been 14 personally involved in the commission of atrocities or had personally witnessed the commission of the atrocities. 15 16 MR. BECKETT: I have no objection to that, Judge. 17 I think the question seemed to be eliciting broad testimony 18 about the reliability of conscripts generally. And I don't 19 think that should be --20 THE COURT: I didn't understand the question that 21 way. Perhaps I misunderstood it. 22 MR. CALDERON: No. Well, initially, prior to that 23 last question, I was asking as to why did they go to 24 conscripts? Was it because they talked about the code of 25 silence? Was it that or was it that they were the least

culpable, I guess, in the chain? 1 2 That was one of the questions. And now I've moved 3 on to basically asking him about the sources. And as the Court indicated whether or not he and 4 5 his research or any of that was able -- because he said that prior he had gotten information from the conscripts 6 7 and their testimony about the atrocities. 8 And I want to know whether they actually saw it or 9 witnessed it or whether that information was just based on 10 what he testified to, which is they are burying a body, 11 they assume that certain things happened. 12 MR. BECKETT: Goes to credibility. 13 THE COURT: The question that's pending is whether 14 or not any of these conscripts, the information from these 15 conscripts was a result of their personal observation or 16 personal involvement in the commission of the offense. 17 That's the question that's pending. 18 MR. CALDERON: Right. 19 THE COURT: That question is not objectionable. 20 I'm going to overrule your objection. 21 I can't anticipate where he's going from here, but 22 I guess he has some ideas to what your concerns are. 23 MR. CALDERON: Right. And I've already moved on 24 from what he's concerned about. 25 MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Judge.

1(End of discussion at sidebar.)2THE COURT: Give me just a minute, Mr. Calderon.3MR. CALDERON: Sure.4THE COURT: So, ladies and gentleman, the question5that's pending to the witness is, so when you reviewed the6archives, and in your research, you did not come across any7conscript who either engaged in the torture or8extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture9or extrajudicial killing of the detainees?10I've overruled the objection.11The witness can answer the question.12THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember13at least one case when a conscript witnessed an14extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it.15BY MR. CALDERON:16Q And was that in the Estadio Chile?17A No.18Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo.19A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this20point, it's been a long morning.	
 MR. CALDERON: Sure. THE COURT: So, ladies and gentleman, the question that's pending to the witness is, so when you reviewed the archives, and in your research, you did not come across any conscript who either engaged in the torture or extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture or extrajudicial killing of the detainees? I've overruled the objection. The witness can answer the question. THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember at least one case when a conscript witnessed an extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. BY MR. CALDERON: Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? A No. Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
4THE COURT: So, ladies and gentleman, the question5that's pending to the witness is, so when you reviewed the6archives, and in your research, you did not come across any7conscript who either engaged in the torture or8extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture9or extrajudicial killing of the detainees?10I've overruled the objection.11The witness can answer the question.12THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember13at least one case when a conscript witnessed an14extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it.15BY MR. CALDERON:16Q And was that in the Estadio Chile?17A No.18Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo.19A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this	
that's pending to the witness is, so when you reviewed the archives, and in your research, you did not come across any conscript who either engaged in the torture or extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture or extrajudicial killing of the detainees? 10 I've overruled the objection. 11 The witness can answer the question. 12 THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember 13 at least one case when a conscript witnessed an 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this	
 archives, and in your research, you did not come across any conscript who either engaged in the torture or extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture or extrajudicial killing of the detainees? I've overruled the objection. The witness can answer the question. THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember at least one case when a conscript witnessed an extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. BY MR. CALDERON: Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? A No. You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	l
7 conscript who either engaged in the torture or extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture or extrajudicial killing of the detainees? 10 I've overruled the objection. 11 The witness can answer the question. 12 THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember 13 at least one case when a conscript witnessed an 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this	
 extrajudicial killing of detainees or witnessed the torture or extrajudicial killing of the detainees? I've overruled the objection. The witness can answer the question. THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember at least one case when a conscript witnessed an extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. BY MR. CALDERON: Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? A No. Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	7
 9 or extrajudicial killing of the detainees? 10 I've overruled the objection. 11 The witness can answer the question. 12 THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember 13 at least one case when a conscript witnessed an 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
 I've overruled the objection. The witness can answer the question. THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember at least one case when a conscript witnessed an extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. BY MR. CALDERON: Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? A No. Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	ý
 11 The witness can answer the question. 12 THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember 13 at least one case when a conscript witnessed an 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
 12 THE WITNESS: The question is, yes, I do remember 13 at least one case when a conscript witnessed an 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
13 at least one case when a conscript witnessed an 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this	
 14 extrajudicial killing and was pretty torn up about it. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this	
 16 Q And was that in the Estadio Chile? 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
 17 A No. 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
 18 Q You spoke about an officer named Manriquez Bravo. 19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this 	
19 A Yes. I believe someone asked me about him. At this	
20 point, it's been a long morning.	
21 Q Understood. How did you know, and is it correct that	
22 he was, basically had command of the Estadio Chile, the	
23 Chile Stadium?	
A He was the lead officer there, yes.	
25 Q And how did you know, how did you come across that	

-	
1	information?
2	A That's a really good question, because I consult so
3	many sources over the years. I may have first come across
4	it either in a media report related to a proceeding of some
5	sort or of an investigative journalism account.
6	Q And do you know through your research if members from
7	any other regiment were at the Chile Stadium?
8	A Yes, there were others.
9	Q Do you know what other regiments were present?
10	A Well, I don't remember all of them. But I am aware
11	that, for example, the armored tank regiment two
12	officers who had been imprisoned in the aftermath of a
13	failed early coup attempt in late June of 1973 were
14	released on the day of the coup and participated in events
15	at Estadio Chile.
16	Q Now, in your research, I guess, regarding the coup and
17	the events at the Chile Stadium, were there officers that
18	were named by name in those reports as being involved?
19	A I think there's a mistaken premise in the question,
20	which is that although I have researched the history of
21	human rights issues under the Pinochet dictatorship and how
22	various Chileans in different sides understood those issues
23	and thought about them and thought about their importance,
24	I have never claimed to be a specialist on the history of
25	Estadio Chile as such.
-	

I've researched the history of the country. So 1 2 there's a premise there that I think is a little bit off. 3 And I'm not trying to mischaracterize what your 0 research is. 4 5 Α Sure. 6 I'm saying, within the subset of your research in Q 7 which you studied the events that took place at the Chile 8 Stadium, did you come across reports that named officers by 9 name? 10 Sure. But, again, I did not research Chile Stadium as А 11 such. I looked at -- there were 1100 prisoner camps in 12 Chile. And it was not the focus of my research. 13 MR. CALDERON: If I could have a moment, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. CALDERON: Nothing further, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Redirect, Mr. Beckett. 18 MR. BECKETT: Just a few questions, Judge. 19 Thank you. 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BECKETT: 21 22 You were asked questions, Professor, about agrarian Q 23 reform. 24 Was agrarian reform a policy that originated with the 25 Allende government in Chile?

-	
1	A No. It originated with the Christian Democrats who
2	were supported by the United States and the Alliance for
3	Progress idea in agrarian reform.
4	Q And just tell us again where the Christian Democrats
5	are in the political spectrum in Chile in the 1960s and
6	'70s?
7	A They were in the center of the political spectrum.
8	Q And I want to be clear, by agrarian reform, I mean
9	redistribution of less-developed lands, as I think you've
10	described it.
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And you mentioned that the United States supported it
13	through the Alliance for Progress. Can you just briefly
14	tell us what the Alliance for Progress was and how and why
15	it supported agrarian reform along the lines as you
16	described it in Chile?
17	A The Alliance for Progress was an initiative of the
18	Kennedy Administration that was announced, as I recall, in
19	1961, quite early in the Kennedy Administration.
20	And the idea was that the social inequalities and
21	inequities of Latin America at the time in the countryside
22	well, other inequities as well, but we're talking about
23	the countryside were so huge that it would enhance the
24	modernization of the society and the social peace of the
25	society to engage in moderate agrarian reform of some

1	compensation.
2	So it was an idea that was attractive to the Kennedy
3	Administration which also argued that such social reforms
4	would be important to prevent more radical revolutionary
5	kinds of uprisings in Latin America.
6	Q You were asked questions by Mr. Calderon about other
7	groups at the Stadium. And I think you've said there were
8	other units, other groups at the Stadium.
9	Do you know how the various units at Chile Stadium in
10	September 1973 related or interacted with each other during
11	the period that Chile Stadium was acting as a concentration
12	camp?
13	A They all subscribed at the officer level. I can't
14	speak about conscripts.
15	They all subscribed at the officer level to the idea
16	that the chief problem of Chile was an internal enemy, that
17	what the coup needed to launch was a war against internal
18	enemy, and that that meant they needed to create a war
19	climate in the Chile Stadium which included treatment of
20	prisoners that would not be bound by customary notions of
21	the rights of Chilean citizens.
22	Q And when you say treatment of prisoners in a manner
23	that was not consistent with the customary rights of
24	Chilean citizens, can you be more specific about what you
25	mean in terms of conduct?

r	
1	MR. CALDERON: Your Honor, I'm going to object as
2	to the scope of the question.
3	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: What that meant was, for example,
5	that it would be okay to beat prisoners on their way into
6	Chile Stadium, not treat them as people detained with
7	citizen rights, but to simply beat them up, make them
8	exhausted, hit them with rifle stocks, not go through
9	protocols of due process or a legal process when deciding
10	what to do with prisoners.
11	When prisoners were taken for interrogations
12	underground, there was no legal process or sense of rights
13	as inherited by or understood in Chile law at the time, a
14	suspension of rights.
15	BY MR. BECKETT:
16	Q And would that include torture and killing of
17	civilians?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And did I'm turning your attention back to
20	September of 1973 at Chile Stadium.
21	Did the various military units and other groups
22	working at Chile Stadium have a common objective they were
23	working toward?
24	A Yes. They were part of an idea of establishing
25	long-term, hard-line rule by the military which meant that
L	-

-	
1	there would not be a short interim of rule and that the
2	military would gain a free hand, as it understood it, to
3	remake the society from top to bottom and stay in power
4	long enough so that new generations would arise who had
5	been, had learned to submit to a different kind of social
6	order and an eventual transition very far out into the
7	future to a restrictive democracy. That was the larger
8	vision.
9	MR. BECKETT: If I could just have a moment to
10	consult?
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MR. BECKETT: Thank you.
13	No further questions, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	May this witness be excused?
16	MR. BECKETT: Yes, he may.
17	MR. CALDERON: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you, Professor Stern. You're
19	excused. If you were here under a subpoena, you're
20	released from it. You're free to go about your business.
21	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
22	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
23	MR. BECKETT: The next witness will be handled by
24	my colleague, Mr. McLaughlin. It will be Hector Herrera.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Icaza, will you be assisting us
_	

with this witness? 1 2 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Will you ask him to come forward, 4 please, and be sworn? 5 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do swear. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please take the witness stand. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Icaza, because there's been a 9 10 little bit of a delay, I just want to remind you that you 11 were previously sworn to truly and accurately transcribe 12 the witness' testimony from Spanish into English. Understood? 13 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, sir. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Could you confirm with the witness that he's able to understand your translations for 16 17 us? 18 THE INTERPRETER: Yes. Yes, yes. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Would you please state your 21 name and spell your last name? 22 THE WITNESS: Hector Herrera Olguin. 23 THE COURT: Could we get a spelling of the 24 surname, please. 25 THE WITNESS: H-E-R-R-E-R-A.

1	THE COURT: You may inquire, Mr. McLaughlin.
2	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
5	Q Good morning.
6	A Good morning.
7	Q Could you please tell us where you were born?
8	A I was born in Santiago, Chile.
9	Q And where did you live in September 1973?
10	A At my parents' house in Santiago, Chile.
11	Q And were you employed at the time?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And where were you employed?
14	A I was an employee at the Civil Registry and
15	Identification Office in Santiago, Chile.
16	Q And what were your primary responsibilities in this
17	position in September 1973?
18	A To create identity cards and passports for young
19	people, taking their fingerprints.
20	Q If you could please look at your screen, I'd like to
21	publish what is marked as Joint Exhibit 55.
22	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed to publish
23	Joint 55.
24	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:

1	Q Mr. Herrera, could you identify the person in this
2	photo?
3	A It is I, Hector Herrera.
4	THE COURT: Hold on just a second. Let's get our
5	overhead projector turned on.
6	All right. You may proceed, Mr. McLaughlin.
7	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
9	Q And how old were you in September of 1973?
10	A Twenty-three years old.
11	Q And can you identify for us what this document is?
12	A It is a credential issued by the Ministry of Justice
13	under which the Civil Registry and Identification Office
14	holds. It shows the number of my national identity card.
15	Q Thank you.
16	Where were you on the morning of September 11th,
17	1973?
18	A In the morning, I went to work to the Civil Registry
19	and Identification Office.
20	Q And did you find out about the coup that day?
21	A That very same day. Because the head, indicating a
22	female, of the sector where I worked had a battery-operated
23	radio.
24	Q Okay. And did you return to work the next day?
25	A On the 11th, no on the 11th, yes. On the

1	12th, no, because there was a general state of siege or
2	curfew.
3	Q And do you know who this curfew was imposed by?
4	A As a result of the military communique, it was the
5	military that were now taking over power.
6	Q Okay. And when did you return to work at the service?
7	A September 15th of 1973.
8	Q Okay. Could you please tell us what happened when you
9	returned to work that morning on the 15th of September,
10	1973?
11	A We were given an order to convene in a very large
12	room, all of the personnel.
13	Q And who was there in addition to the personnel?
14	A Other than all the personnel, the employees, there
15	were military personnel with a certain rank who climbed up
16	on a chair and then onto a table and then onto a piece of
17	furniture.
18	He addressed us saying, word for word: Ladies and
19	gentlemen, the politics are now over. Nothing but work,
20	work, work.
21	And he repeated it three times.
22	Q And did he say anything else?
23	A And he added that they were facing problems with one
24	of the civil servants or employees and he required a
25	volunteers.

-	
1	And he pointed with his hand: You, you, you.
2	And amongst them, me.
3	Q And when he pointed you out, did you feel as if you
4	had a choice whether or not to comply with his
5	instructions?
6	A No, no. It was a very clear order because of the tone
7	of his voice.
8	Q And then what happened?
9	A We were separated. We were taken in a vehicle.
10	Q I'm sorry. What type of military what type of
11	vehicle? My apologies.
12	A A military vehicle. And we were taken to the northern
13	part of Santiago down La Paz Avenue.
14	Q And at that time did you know where you were going?
15	A No. No doubt, no.
16	Q And where were you brought?
17	A We were taken to the legal medical institute, the
18	morgue of Santiago, Chile.
19	Q Okay. Was there anyone outside the morgue when you
20	arrived?
21	A There were soldiers with machine guns at the main
22	entrance. And on the inside, there was a large banner
23	which had a military order written on it.
24	Q And what did that military order say?
25	A It was a number. The number I don't remember.
L	

1	It said, forbidden to transfer bodies to homes,
2	churches, or temples. Directly to the General Cemetery of
3	Santiago, Chile.
4	Signed, signed general and I don't remember the
5	name of the general.
6	Q Okay. Once you were inside the morgue, did you
7	receive any instructions?
8	A Yes. We were given some cards upon which we should
9	take fingerprints, to write down height, skin color, and
10	sex.
11	Q And where did you go after you received these
12	instructions?
13	A To the inside, to a parking area.
14	Q And approximately, if you can remember, what were the
15	dimensions of this room?
16	A Seven by eight meters. A room that I felt was very,
17	very large.
18	Q Okay. And how was the lighting in this room?
19	A It was based on fluorescent lamps, not all of them
20	turned on. They were on, and towards the back they were
21	not.
22	Q Okay. But could you still see what was in this room?
23	A Yes, of course, I could see.
24	Q And what, if anything, did you see in this room?
25	A Many bodies. Many, many bodies. Men, women,

1	children.
2	Q Approximately how many bodies would you estimate were
3	in this room on September 15th, 1973?
4	A I did not count them.
5	Q Could you approximate?
6	A Yes. More than 100 to a 150, or maybe even 200.
7	Q And what were you tasked to do with these bodies?
8	A With those bodies, we had to begin to calculate the
9	height, the sex, skin color, and take the fingerprints.
10	Q And did you do so?
11	A That is what I did, yes.
12	Q And in doing so, were you able to see the bodies up
13	close?
14	A Yes, because I had to take their fingerprints.
15	Q And were any of these bodies dressed in combat gear?
16	THE INTERPRETER: Repeat.
17	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes.
18	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
19	Q Were any of these bodies dressed in combat gear?
20	A No. Everyone was civilian.
21	Q Did you see any guns on the bodies?
22	A No. All of the bodies, all of the people that I had
23	to identify wore none of the sort.
24	Q And did any of the bodies have any identification
25	papers on them?

_	
1	A No. Neither rings, nor jewelry in the case of the
2	women, no documentation of any sort.
3	Q And despite the lack of identification, could you
4	determine any of the occupations of these individuals?
5	A Very little. In the case of some workers, wearing the
6	uniform of a worker.
7	Q Did these workers have anything else with them?
8	A In the case of the workers, in the case of the
9	workers, they had placed their hard hats on their chests.
10	In the case of construction workers.
11	Q Okay. Did any of the bodies that you saw on
12	September 15th have any bullet wounds?
13	A All of them. All of them.
14	Q Was there any dirt on the bodies?
15	A Yes. Some, the vast majority had lots and lots of
16	dirt.
17	Q And could you tell if the dirt had covered their
18	bodies before or after their death?
19	MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor. This calls
20	for an expert opinion. Object to the response.
21	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
22	THE WITNESS: They had it there before they died.
23	And it was dry, because it was very matted, pressed down.
24	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
25	Q I'm sorry. Did you say it was there before or after

1	they died? I just didn't catch the translation.
2	A Before. Before. Yes.
3	Q Were any additional bodies brought to this room on
4	September 15th?
5	A Yes. In the morning especially.
6	Q And how were the bodies brought into this room?
7	A To my right, and all the way in the back, there was
8	this large door that would open, making a lot of noise.
9	And military trucks would back in. Two soldiers would
10	get down. They would open the tailgate. And they would
11	throw the bodies onto the floor. One on top of the other,
12	they would fall.
13	Q And approximately how many new bodies were off-loaded
14	each time these trucks, these military trucks came?
15	A It must have been from 30 well, no more than 40
16	persons.
17	Q And approximately how many times did these military
18	trucks come with new bodies on September 15th?
19	A Just in the morning, not in the afternoon. And in the
20	morning, it must have been three to four.
21	Q And to your knowledge, were all of these additional
22	bodies also all civilians?
23	A All of them. I didn't see a single one that wasn't a
24	civilian.
25	Q Okay. I'd like to play for you here what's been

r	
1	marked as Joint Exhibit 65. It's a short two-minute
2	extract of a documentary you participated in.
3	Do you recall participating in this documentary?
4	A Yes, of course.
5	Q And before we start playing it, could you tell us,
6	either on the screen or looking up here, could you tell us
7	where are you standing here in this video?
8	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Could I publish it to the jury,
9	Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: Yes. Joint Exhibit 65. You may
11	proceed.
12	MR. McLAUGHLIN: My apologies, Your Honor. Thank
13	you.
14	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
15	Q I'm sorry, Mr. Herrera. I was asking you where are
16	you standing in this video?
17	A At the parking area of the morgue of Santiago, Chile.
18	Q And is this the same room we were just discussing?
19	A Exactly the same.
20	Q And could you tell us what you were doing in this
21	video?
22	A After 36 years, I returned to that place. And I'm
23	imagining I am telling about the bodies that I saw in 1973
24	in that very same place.
25	Q And it seems like you're stepping around. What

1	exactly are you doing on the video there?
2	A I am doing what I was doing back in 1973, sort of
3	skipping over the bodies, jumping over the bodies. There
4	was lots of blood. There was a lot of brain matter.
5	Q And you're pointing down a hallway. What was down
6	this hallway?
7	A Down that corridor, there were other bodies. Close to
8	the edge, leaving a small space. I'm counting, one, two,
9	three.
10	MR. McLAUGHLIN: And if we could just pause it
11	right here, please.
12	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
13	Q Is this the door you were referencing earlier?
14	A That is exactly the door where the trucks would back
15	up to this sector here.
16	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you.
17	And if we could take down the exhibit. Thank you.
18	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
19	Q To your knowledge, what was the purpose of
20	fingerprinting these bodies?
21	A The purpose was the administration, the bureaucracy,
22	to know who those persons were, their personal information.
23	Q And to your knowledge, were the families of these dead
24	individuals then informed of their death?
25	A No. Nobody knew.

F	
1	Q Okay. On September 15th, was there any
2	distinctive group of individuals that you were told not to
3	fingerprint?
4	A It was one of the directives. He introduced himself
5	as a directive of the morgue service.
6	He pointed out to our right-hand side a group of about
7	15 people and he said, verbatim, these ones, do not take
8	their fingerprints. They are all foreign nationals.
9	The bodies were naked and their heads were shaven
10	completely down.
11	Q And can you describe this group?
12	A I don't understand the question.
13	Q Could you tell where they were from?
14	A I couldn't tell you where they came from because
15	well, he says they were foreigners. And their skin was
16	white, with light-colored eyes.
17	Q Okay. What time did you leave the morgue that day?
18	A Around 4:30, because there was a curfew.
19	Q And were you ordered to return the following day?
20	A Yes. On the 16th of September.
21	Q And on the morning of the 16th, did you return to
22	the morgue?
23	A Yes. I went back to work at the morgue.
24	Q And when you arrived were there still soldiers
25	outside?
L	

1	A Yes. Yes, yes.
2	Q Were you allowed to go in?
3	A Immediately, with my credential, I have no problem
4	going inside.
5	Q And were you ordered back to the same room that you
6	were previously in?
7	A Yes, exactly. At the same parking lot, to work.
8	Q Okay. When you entered the room on the 16th of
9	September, were the same bodies that you had seen the
10	previous day still there?
11	A No, no, no. Movement of bodies to another sector
12	inside the morgue.
13	Q Without going into details, approximately how many new
14	bodies were now in the morgue?
15	A More than 100.
16	Q And did you arrive at any conclusions as to when these
17	bodies arrived at the morgue?
18	A Personally, they must have arrived in the early hours
19	of the morning, very early, because we started working at
20	9:00 in the morning.
21	Q So sometime between the 15th and the 16th of
22	September?
23	A Sure.
24	Q And to your knowledge, were these also all civilians?
25	A All of them, absolutely. All of them were civilians.

-	
1	Q Did you recognize any of the bodies that you saw on
2	September 16th?
3	A Another civil servant employee I was in the middle
4	of the room working came over to advise me, to advise me
5	that he had found the body of Victor Jara.
6	Q And what did you first think when he told you this?
7	A I not only thought it, I said it to him. So loved, so
8	respected, and so recognized here, recognized in Chile,
9	here in the morgue?
10	Q And did you go over and examine the body?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And whose body was it?
13	A It was, in fact, the cadaver was Victor Jara.
14	Q And how were you able to recognize the body as that of
15	Victor Jara?
16	A Because I have seen his image on television and in
17	newspapers and magazines.
18	Q And in your opinion, how well known was Victor Jara as
19	a public figure in Chile at the time?
20	A Very, very well known.
21	Q Okay. When you saw Victor Jara's body on
22	September 16th at the morgue, how was he dressed?
23	A He had his shoes, trousers, a jacket, a sweater.
24	Q Did he have any dirt on his body?
25	A Yes. It was one of the bodies that had a lot, a lot

1	of dirt.
2	Q And could you tell if that dirt was placed on his body
3	before or after he died?
4	A It was before, because it was very dried out with the
5	blood, especially on his head, stuck as if the body had
6	fallen from a very considerable height. The dirt was very
7	flattened out.
8	Q So when you're saying before, are you saying he died
9	before and then the dirt was placed on his body?
10	A No. The dirt after he died.
11	Q Okay. Thank you.
12	And in what condition was his face?
13	THE INTERPRETER: The witness would request some
14	permission to drink some water.
15	THE COURT: Surely.
16	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
17	Q Okay. And in what condition was Victor Jara's face?
18	A As I said, a lot of dirt, large wounds. His hair was
19	stuck to his face because of the blood. Very swollen, the
20	cheekbones.
21	Q And could you describe what, if anything, you saw on
22	Victor Jara's sweater?
23	A There were kind of like burn marks, many burn marks
24	and dirt as well.
25	Q And in what condition were his hands?

r	
1	A The hands, we saw those very well because I had to
2	take his fingerprints. The backs, the back, both backs of
3	his hands had large wounds.
4	Q I'm sorry. Could you show so the jury can see where
5	you are indicating?
6	A (Indicating.)
7	Q Thank you.
8	A This part here and this part over here.
9	Q And in what condition were those parts?
10	A With these wounds, that would cover the entire back of
11	the hand and dirt as well.
12	Q And given the condition of his hands, were you still
13	able to fingerprint him?
14	A He had his fists tightly closed. I had to resist
15	movement and open his hands to be able to take his
16	fingerprints, to apply the ink.
17	Q And were you able to do so?
18	A Fortunately, the man had large hands. It is easier to
19	take the fingerprint.
20	Q And did you find any weapons on Victor Jara's body?
21	A No. He didn't have even rings, nothing, nothing, not
22	even documents.
23	Q And what did you do with the fingerprint card?
24	A That is when I decided to not turn it in amongst
25	the general group. I hide it inside my shirt.

r	
1	Q And why did you do that?
2	A Because I thought that he being so well known and
3	loved in Chile that it should be known, that what was
4	happening in that morgue should be known.
5	Q And the next day, so now September 17th, 1973, did
6	you return to the morgue?
7	A I did not.
8	Q And where did you go instead?
9	A To the Office of Civil Registration and
10	Identification.
11	Q And that's where you usually worked, correct?
12	A Yes, exactly.
13	Q And why did you go there?
14	A Because I had that fingerprint card and turned it into
15	one of the technicians at the fingerprint section for him
16	to tell me officially all of the official information of
17	that body.
18	Q And whose name did the fingerprints correspond to?
19	A That is when I memorized and I discovered that the
20	name is Victor Lidio Jara Martinez.
21	Q So this was confirmation that it was Victor Jara?
22	A That is the official confirmation that that body that
23	I had seen the previous day was Victor Jara.
24	Q And what other information, if any, did you obtain at
25	the service, at the Civil Registry?

1 A All of his civilian information	
	, address, married to
2 an English woman, whose name I memor	ized, Joan Alison
3 Turner Roberts.	
4 Q Okay. My few remaining questio	ns concern the events
5 of the following day, so September 1	8th, 1973.
6 Where, if anywhere, did you go	that morning?
7 A I went to the address that I ha	d memorized.
8 Q And if you could please look at	the screen, I'd like
9 to publish Joint Exhibit 56.	
10 THE COURT: You may proceed	
11 MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you,	Your Honor.
12 BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:	
13 Q Do you recognize this place?	
14 A Yes. It's the little house I f	ound that morning,
15 18 September of '73.	
16 Q And what did you do when you ar	rived at that house?
17 A I rang a bell. In a small wind	ow upstairs appeared a
18 woman with a worried face, blond, wh	o made a sign
19 indicating she was coming.	
20 Q And did she come down?	
21 A She came down. I showed her my	credential, my
22 identity card. I told her I was a c	ivil servant at Civil
23 Registration and Identification.	
24 Q And did she invite you inside?	
25 A Yes, exactly, to the interior.	

-	
1	Q And who was there inside the house?
2	A There was a friend of hers and two little girls.
3	Q And what were they doing?
4	A The little girls were kind of like at a table taking
5	clippings out of newspapers and magazines. They had a pair
6	of scissors. First image I got.
7	Q Okay. Did they say anything to you?
8	A The two little girls came immediately over to me as if
9	they knew me. The smallest shows me a clipping, black and
10	white. And she says, do you know my daddy?
11	Q And who was that?
12	A It was her father, Victor Jara.
13	Q And what happened next?
14	A I asked if the little girl was a friend. Then they go
15	up to the second floor.
16	Q And did you then speak to Joan Jara?
17	A Yes. She had me sit upon a sofa. And I immediately
18	announced that at the morgue of Santiago, Chile, was the
19	body of her husband, Victor Jara.
20	Q And do you know what her reaction was?
21	A She took my hands and cried into them.
22	Q And did you say anything else to her?
23	A A few minutes later, I told her that I was going to
24	accompany her to do all of the necessary errands.
25	And I gave her a description of the place that she was

1going to enter, the bodies, the blood, the brain matter,2and amongst all of them was her husband. I asked her that3she should not cry, scream, and least of all faint.4Q And did you accompany Joan Jara to the morgue that5day?6A Yes. She drove me in her vehicle to the Santiago7morgue.8Q And were you able to enter and were you able to9enter the morgue?10A Yes.11Q How did you do so?12A By presenting my credential and telling them that she13was an employee who was going to work with me.14Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara15that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she16could not cry. Why did you tell her that?17A Because the place was well, it was very tense.18There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have19the right to enter there.20Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where21do you go?22A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left23the body the day before.24Q And was the body still there?25A No.	-	
 3 she should not cry, scream, and least of all faint. 4 Q And did you accompany Joan Jara to the morgue that 5 day? 6 A Yes. She drove me in her vehicle to the Santiago 7 morgue. 8 Q And were you able to enter and were you able to 9 enter the morgue? 10 A Yes. 11 Q How did you do so? 12 A By presenting my credential and telling them that she 13 was an employee who was going to work with me. 14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there? 	1	going to enter, the bodies, the blood, the brain matter,
 4 Q And did you accompany Joan Jara to the morgue that 5 day? A Yes. She drove me in her vehicle to the Santiago 7 morgue. 8 Q And were you able to enter and were you able to 9 enter the morgue? 10 A Yes. 11 Q How did you do so? 12 A By presenting my credential and telling them that she 13 was an employee who was going to work with me. 14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there? 	2	and amongst all of them was her husband. I asked her that
 day? A Yes. She drove me in her vehicle to the Santiago morgue. Q And were you able to enter and were you able to enter the morgue? A Yes. Q How did you do so? A By presenting my credential and telling them that she was an employee who was going to work with me. Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she could not cry. Why did you tell her that? A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there? 	3	she should not cry, scream, and least of all faint.
 A Yes. She drove me in her vehicle to the Santiago morgue. Q And were you able to enter and were you able to enter the morgue? A Yes. Q How did you do so? A By presenting my credential and telling them that she was an employee who was going to work with me. Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she could not cry. Why did you tell her that? A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there? 	4	Q And did you accompany Joan Jara to the morgue that
 morgue. Q And were you able to enter and were you able to enter the morgue? A Yes. Q How did you do so? A By presenting my credential and telling them that she was an employee who was going to work with me. Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she could not cry. Why did you tell her that? A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there? 	5	day?
 8 Q And were you able to enter and were you able to 9 enter the morgue? 10 A Yes. 11 Q How did you do so? 12 A By presenting my credential and telling them that she 13 was an employee who was going to work with me. 14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there? 	6	A Yes. She drove me in her vehicle to the Santiago
 9 enter the morgue? 10 A Yes. 11 Q How did you do so? 12 A By presenting my credential and telling them that she was an employee who was going to work with me. 14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there? 	7	morgue.
 A Yes. Q How did you do so? A By presenting my credential and telling them that she was an employee who was going to work with me. Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she could not cry. Why did you tell her that? A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there? 	8	Q And were you able to enter and were you able to
 11 Q How did you do so? 12 A By presenting my credential and telling them that she 13 was an employee who was going to work with me. 14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there? 	9	enter the morgue?
 A By presenting my credential and telling them that she was an employee who was going to work with me. Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she could not cry. Why did you tell her that? A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there? 	10	A Yes.
13 was an employee who was going to work with me. 14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	11	Q How did you do so?
14 Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara 15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she 16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	12	A By presenting my credential and telling them that she
15 that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she could not cry. Why did you tell her that? A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there?	13	was an employee who was going to work with me.
<pre>16 could not cry. Why did you tell her that? 17 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. 18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?</pre>	14	Q Okay. You had mentioned that you had told Joan Jara
 A Because the place was well, it was very tense. There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have the right to enter there. Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where do you go? A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left the body the day before. Q And was the body still there? 	15	that when she went to the morgue she could not faint, she
18 There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have 19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	16	could not cry. Why did you tell her that?
19 the right to enter there. 20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	17	A Because the place was well, it was very tense.
20 Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where 21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	18	There were soldiers. And she, as a civilian, did not have
21 do you go? 22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	19	the right to enter there.
22 A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left 23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	20	Q And when you entered the morgue with Joan Jara, where
23 the body the day before. 24 Q And was the body still there?	21	do you go?
24 Q And was the body still there?	22	A Directly to the parking area where I, where I had left
	23	the body the day before.
25 A No.	24	Q And was the body still there?
	25	A No.

1	Q Were you able to find the body?
2	A Yes. It was on the second story.
3	Q And did you walk up there with Joan Jara?
4	A Yes, yes.
5	Q And when you found the body, did Joan Jara have any
6	difficulty identifying the body?
7	A No; immediately as she saw him.
8	Q And what was her reaction?
9	A She kneeled down. She was crying quietly. And with
10	her own tears, she tried to clean off the dirt. She kissed
11	him. She caressed him. For me, it was an eternity.
12	Q And were you then immediately able to claim the body?
13	A Immediately, we carried out the administrative
14	business.
15	Q Okay. Without going into the detail of all of the
16	administrative tasks, did you have to provide information
17	to obtain a death certificate for Victor Jara?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And who provided the information for this death
20	certificate?
21	A I did with the family booklet, the Jara Turner family
22	booklet.
23	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Your Honor, at this stage, I'd
24	like to publish Joint Exhibit 1.
25	THE COURT: You may proceed.

1	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. McLAUGHLIN:
3	Q Mr. Herrera, if you could look at your screen, I don't
4	know if you can read that. It's a little hard to see
5	there.
6	A Yes, yes, yes. I can read it very well.
7	Q And do you recognize this document?
8	A This document I dictated to a civil servant who would
9	copy it down. I didn't see this document. She was copying
10	what I said.
11	Q Okay. I'd like for you to read the following line.
12	And I'm going to mark it on my screen which should appear
13	on yours as well.
14	Sorry. I may have did you see where I indicated
15	with the
16	A Yes.
17	Q Could you read that line, please?
18	A Date of death, day 14; month, September; year, 1973.
19	Q And why did you provide that date as the date of
20	death?
21	A She was demanding that I should provide a date of
22	death.
23	Q And at that time, did you know the exact date and time
24	of Victor Jara's death?
25	A No. Impossible. Impossible.

ſ	
1	Q So was this date and time an approximation based on
2	the information you had on the time?
3	A Absolutely, yes, of course.
4	Q Thank you.
5	And in addition to the death certificate, did you
6	provide information for other documents that day to claim
7	the body?
8	A She had to give me an interment order.
9	Q And was the information you provided for these other
10	documents also an approximation based on the information
11	you had at the time?
12	A Of course. Of course.
13	Q Okay. Did you eventually obtain all the paperwork
14	necessary to be able to claim Victor Jara's body?
15	A Yes, yes, of course.
16	Q Okay. Did you obtain a coffin that day?
17	A We had to go and get a friend because we didn't have
18	any money. A family friend who came, and he paid for the
19	coffin, the niche at the cemetery.
20	Q Do you remember this friend's name?
21	A His name is Hector, like me.
22	Q Okay. And did you place the body in the coffin,
23	Victor Jara's body?
24	A A few hours later, at the time for interment at the
25	cemetery, I went down with the gravedigger and his friend
L	•

1	
1	Hector to the morgue, to the parking lot; and the body was
2	brought to me from inside. The body was naked.
3	Q And given that the body was naked, could you see
4	Victor Jara's torso?
5	A Of course, yes.
6	Q And could you describe what you saw?
7	A Torso with many, many perforations. On the right-hand
8	side, a very large wound about this size, burned, black.
9	Q Did you then close the coffin?
10	A We placed it inside the coffin. I was very nervous.
11	And I decided not to dress him. I placed his shoes, and I
12	covered him with the clothing. I closed the coffin myself.
13	Q And where did you then take the coffin?
14	A Along with the gravedigger, we loaded him onto a
15	trolley. We went to collect Mrs. Joan Turner Jara who was
16	outside, and we left towards the General Cemetery of
17	Santiago.
18	Q Once at the cemetery, where did you place the coffin?
19	A At the farthest back end of the cemetery. He was
20	assigned a niche. We three, the men, it was very difficult
21	in the niche space to lift that coffin and to push him into
22	that niche to the back.
23	Q And would you describe it as a proper funeral?
24	A No, no. It was just a gravedigger who brought from
25	over there a wreath of flowers, dried wreath of flowers,

1	and he hung it at the coffin.
2	That was the only moment that I broke down. His wife
3	embraced me and said into my ear, Hector, we're not going
4	to remember Victor as he is today at this burial, but we
5	will remember him singing.
6	We spoke no more. We withdrew from that place. And
7	they insisted in accompanying me to my home, which wasn't
8	very far away, in their car.
9	And we bid farewell with a very big embrace. That was
10	the funeral.
11	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Your Honor, I have no further
12	questions at this time.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. McLaughlin.
14	Cross-examination, Mr. Calderon?
15	MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	CROSS EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. CALDERON:
18	Q Good morning, Mr. Herrera.
19	A Good morning, Mister.
20	Q When you arrived at the morgue on September 16th,
21	you did not see who brought in the body of Victor Jara,
22	correct?
23	A No. He had already, way in the back, he had already
24	been placed very orderly, lined up. He had already been
25	put there by the employees.

r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
1	Q And you didn't know where he came from either, did
2	you?
3	A No. No idea.
4	Q Did you do these same steps for any of the other
5	people who were deceased in that morgue?
6	A No.
7	MR. CALDERON: Nothing further.
8	THE COURT: Any redirect?
9	MR. McLAUGHLIN: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	May this witness be excused?
12	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes, Your Honor.
13	MR. CALDERON: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
15	Mr. Herrera, you are free to go. If you're here
16	pursuant to a subpoena, you're released from your subpoena.
17	You can go on about your business.
18	Thank you, sir.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentleman, let's take our
21	lunch recess.
22	I have a brief matter I need to take up with the
23	lawyers. So if I could ask you to be back, ready to go,
24	let's say at 20 minutes after 1:00, 1:20, and we'll resume
25	with the presentation of the plaintiffs' case in chief.

Remember, this is not the time to discuss the case 1 2 either amongst yourselves or with anyone else. 3 Hope you have a pleasant lunch. See you back at 1:20. 4 5 (Jury exited the courtroom at 12:03 p.m.) THE COURT: For the lawyers, let me give you this 6 7 information to mull over the lunch hour and tell me when 8 you come back if you have a view on it. 9 After the morning break, the jurors submitted a 10 question to me that says, will we -- will we receive 11 transcripts from all video testimonies? And they've all 12 signed it. 13 I explained to them at the outset of the case that 14 transcripts are not ordinarily available for them to review. I do know that the video transcripts, that those 15 transcripts are available because you all have provided 16 17 I don't know if you have a view on whether they them. 18 should be provided or not. 19 I'll give you the lunch hour to think that over. 20 And you can let me know when you come back if you have a 21 position on it. 22 And would you make this part of the record as 23 court's exhibit, next number, please. 24 And I'll be giving it some additional thought 25 myself. And we'll see where everybody is, so when we come

back after the lunch hour. 1 2 MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Judge. 3 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. All right. We'll be in recess until 4 THE COURT: 1:20. 5 (Luncheon recess at 12:04 p.m. to 1:22 p.m.) 6 7 THE COURT: Back on the record, then, in Jara versus Barrientos Nunez, 6:13-civil-1426. 8 9 Court notes counsel and parties are present. 10 Have the lawyers had an opportunity to give some 11 thought to my mention of the jurors' questions regarding 12 the transcript of the video testimony? 13 MR. BECKETT: Judge, to argue that the jurors were 14 here, they heard the videotapes, saw the videotapes as they 15 were played, in lieu of what would otherwise have been live 16 witnesses. And that they took notes, I think, and 17 understood what was going on. 18 And it's the plaintiffs' view that the best 19 approach would be to allow them to rely on their memory and 20 their observations and their perceptions throughout the 21 course of the trial. We see no particular need to give 22 them the videotapes themselves. 23 As to the transcripts, the issue with the transcripts is, although we have highlighted them for the 24 25 Court, by highlighting the stipulated parts, we would then

have to redact the unagreed parts. That creates a 1 2 technical issue. 3 It can be done, but it would take some time. And it would also take coordination to make sure we had done it 4 5 properly. And then I don't think that's a very good 6 7 impression that that could raise with the jury. Juries 8 often feel, as I'm sure Your Honor knows far greater than 9 I, that things are being left out or they are not being 10 told things. 11 And I'm afraid that if they don't know this was by 12 agreement, they will see literally pages and pages of black 13 ink, things that are covered up. 14 So that's our view, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Calderon? 15 16 MR. CALDERON: Judge, and I would agree, just 17 based on the fact that if they wanted to see a transcript 18 of the live witnesses, then it would probably put us in the 19 same position because this is being entered in lieu of live 20 testimony. 21 So just as we wouldn't -- if they asked for a 22 readback of specific live testimony, I don't think -- I 23 would agree with counsel to say that I don't think it's 24 appropriate for them to have a transcript or the video of 25 the designations.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not inclined to give it to 1 2 them, but I wanted to give you all an opportunity to give 3 me your positions on the record. So it sounds like we are 4 all agreed. Let's bring our jury back, Mr. Carter. 5 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Yes, sir. 6 7 MR. BECKETT: Judge, just before the jury comes in, I think Your Honor is just going to describe to the 8 9 jury at this time or a future time what the response to 10 their inquiry was? 11 THE COURT: I'm going to answer their question and 12 just tell them for lots of reasons, the answer is no. 13 Okay. 14 MR. BECKETT: Okay. That suffices, Judge. Thank 15 you. 16 (Jury entered the courtroom at 1:26 p.m.) 17 THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and Mr. Codner. 18 Were all of you able to follow my instructions not 19 to discuss the case amongst yourselves or with anyone else? 20 JURY: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Before we resume the testimony, I did 22 over the lunch hour have an opportunity to look into your, 23 the question that you put to me with respect to transcripts 24 of the video testimony. 25 And I'll remind you what I told you at the outset,

is that you're to rely upon your own independent 1 2 recollection of the testimony. 3 And for multiple reasons, which are not really particularly helpful to go into in detail here, the short 4 5 answer to your question is no. So the transcripts will not be available. 6 7 Mr. Beckett, call your next witness. 8 MR. BECKETT: Thank you. 9 The next witness will be led by my colleague 10 Miss Roberts. 11 THE COURT: All right. Miss Roberts? 12 MS. ROBERTS: The plaintiffs call Amanda Jara. 13 THE COURT: Miss Jara, if you'll come forward and 14 be sworn, please, ma'am. 15 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 16 (Witness sworn.) THE WITNESS: T do. 17 18 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please take the witness stand. 19 THE COURT: Miss Jara, as I'm sure you heard me 20 tell some of the other witnesses, if you'll adjust that 21 microphone so you're speaking directly into it, please, 22 ma'am. 23 Tell us your name and spell your last name for the 24 record. 25 THE WITNESS: Amanda Jara. Last name J-A-R-A.

ſ	
1	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
2	You may inquire, Miss Roberts.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. ROBERTS:
5	Q Good afternoon, Miss Jara.
6	When were you born?
7	A On the 9th of October, 1964.
8	Q And where was that?
9	A In Santiago, Chile.
10	Q And where do you live now?
11	A Small village by the coast very near Santiago, Quinta.
12	Q And what is your relationship to this case?
13	A I'm a plaintiff.
14	Q I want to turn you to your early childhood in Chile.
15	How would you characterize your early childhood?
16	A Joyful, happy, normal.
17	Q What kind of father was Victor Jara?
18	A Oh, happy man. Laughed a lot. Very active. Very
19	busy. But very much present with us.
20	Q What kinds of things do you remember doing together as
21	a family?
22	A Oh, normal family things like Sunday lunches. As they
23	always would have a very busy week, both my parents,
24	Sundays was an important day.
25	And cooking. We like cooking together, yeah.

1	Holidays. Normal things.
2	Q And, generally speaking, what how would you
3	characterize your memories of your father?
4	A Well, it was a very active house. Rehearsals. People
5	coming in and music playing a lot of the time.
6	And then our personal time, just the four of us.
7	Holidays were very important.
8	Q So I'd like to show you and the jury what's been
9	entered as Joint Exhibit Number 29, which is a photograph.
10	THE COURT: You may publish.
11	BY MS. ROBERTS:
12	Q Miss Jara, what can you tell us about this photo?
13	Oh, I'm sorry. Just one moment. I think we're still
14	waiting on the big screen.
15	A That's taken in our house, in the garden. It must
16	have been around 1972. And what's very particular about
17	that photo is that we're all very happy. And that's
18	because the photographer who took it was a good friend of
19	my dad and our family.
20	Q How old were you in that photo?
21	A Oh, seven, seven and a half. Maybe eight, but I don't
22	think so. More like seven.
23	Q Okay. I'd like to show you and the jury what's been
24	entered as Joint Exhibit 49, which is another photograph.
25	What can you tell us about this picture?

Ī	
1	A Well, I saw that photograph when I was a lot older. I
2	don't really remember that day. But there we are, the four
3	of us. That was probably a day trip to Valparaiso.
4	And it must have been taken very near 1973 because of
5	the sunglasses that they were wearing. My dad there has
6	long hair. But I don't remember that day in particular.
7	Q What song of your father's would you most want the
8	jury to hear?
9	A Angelita Huenuman.
10	Q And why that song?
11	A Well, for two reasons: First, because I think the
12	guitar playing is beautiful. And also because it reflects
13	my father's, the way my father worked and what things
14	interested my father. Yeah.
15	Q Who was Angelita Huenuman?
16	A She was a Mapuche woman who my father met in while
17	hiking, while on holidays in the south of Chile.
18	Q Were there any particular details about Angelita
19	Huenuman that you'd like to point out?
20	A Well, my father met her. I could just imagine him
21	just walking by her house and seeing the garden, the
22	chickens, the dogs, just walking up the garden path,
23	knocking on the door.
24	But you see, it shows how my father felt about things.
25	Because through this small world of her house and her
L	•

1	family, he wrote a song about a bigger picture of
2	community, identity, and our roots.
3	Q Who are the Mapuche?
4	A The Mapuche are the original inhabitants of the
5	central to southern part of Chile.
6	Mapuche means people of the earth.
7	Q And what connection, if any, did your father have to
8	the Mapuche?
9	A Well, his mother was part Mapuche. And so, so was he.
10	Therefore, so am I.
11	Q And how did this identity impact your father's work?
12	A Well, very much. It wasn't just my father. In the
13	whole of Latin America at that time, musicians and artists
14	were going back to their own identity using the original,
15	the indigenous instruments of Latin America, of Chile in
16	particular. A lot of those instruments were used by the
17	musicians at that time.
18	Q Could you give us some examples of those native
19	instruments?
20	A There's a charangos, which is made of an Andean
21	armadillo, I think you call. It's a string instrument.
22	High-pitched string instrument.
23	The wooden reed pipes, quenas. Or the panpipes that
24	are made of smaller reeds woven together.
25	Q Are there any of these type of instruments that are
-	

used in the song Angelita Huenuman? 1 2 Yes. From the south. From -- yeah, the cultrun, А 3 which is a ritual drum. And the seed rattle. MS. ROBERTS: Your Honor, we'd like to play what's 4 5 been admitted as Joint Exhibit Number 53, which is a recording of Victor Jara playing the song Angelita 6 7 Huenuman. 8 And we'd also like to simultaneously display an 9 English translation of the lyrics. 10 THE COURT: Are you going to play the entire song? 11 MS. ROBERTS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: How long is it? 13 MS. ROBERTS: It's almost four minutes. THE COURT: All right. 14 15 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. 16 (Playing audio exhibit.) BY MS. ROBERTS: 17 18 What does your father's music mean to you on a Q 19 personal level? 20 Well, it was actually -- I didn't listen to him for Α 21 quite a while after he was killed. It was too sad. I 22 heard his songs interpreted by others when we went with my 23 mother to different countries. 24 But to listen to him for real was difficult until I 25 was about, I don't know, 16 maybe. Yeah.

1	Now, I listen to him all the time. And I like
2	painting. It keeps me company, yes.
3	Q So I want to direct your attention now to 1973. What
4	do you remember, if anything, from the day of the coup?
5	A Well, that it was very frightening because of the
6	helicopters, planes, soaring planes. I remember hiding
7	under a table and understanding that something really bad
8	was happening but not quite sure what.
9	Q What do you remember of your dad leaving that day?
10	A Nothing. I don't remember. Sorry.
11	Q That's all right. A few days ago, we heard your
12	mother and your sister testify about the days waiting for
13	your father to come home.
14	What do you remember about that time?
15	A Well, something was obviously very wrong. I remember
16	the anxiety, the faces of the people that were in my house,
17	people I knew, yeah. But it was this terrible silence.
18	Nobody was saying anything.
19	I remember seeing my sister looking at the family
20	photos. And then seeing me come into the room, she would
21	shut the album and pretended she hadn't, wasn't seeing
22	anything.
23	So I distinctly remember that after a few days I shut
24	myself in a bathroom downstairs. I prayed.
25	The thing is that it was very confusing, you see,

1	because we were always taught in school that the armed
2	forces were there to protect us.
3	So I was sure nothing was going to happen, but I
4	prayed just to make sure. Hopefully my father would come
5	back home.
6	Q What do you remember about your mom during those days?
7	A Oh, very quiet, very taut, her head down. And then
8	when she would see me or Manuela, she would try and smile
9	and she was trying to hide her fear, yeah.
10	Q How did you find out about your father's death?
11	A Well, my mother told me. She took me upstairs, and
12	she said I think she went down, not on her knees, but
13	she sort of bent to see me, to see me, to see my eyes, held
14	my hands, and said Papi nova a volver, Daddy's not coming
15	back home.
16	Q Do you remember screaming?
17	A No. I don't remember that.
18	Q What do you remember about the day you left Chile?
19	A Well, I was a sort of I was carted. It was we
20	went to the airport. The airport was empty. Soldiers,
21	just soldiers lining everywhere, outside on the tarmac.
22	And we just went straight through following a gentleman who
23	took us right to the door of the plane.
24	Q What was your understanding of what was happening?
25	A Oh, well, that my world was destroyed.

_	
1	Q How old were you when you learned the details of your
2	father's death?
3	A I must have been, it must have been quite soon after,
4	maybe ten, nine and a half or ten.
5	Q And what impact did that have to learn those details
6	at that age?
7	A You imagine that you see had nightmares.
8	Q What do you remember about the first few months you
9	were living outside of Chile?
10	A Well, everything was new. And as I was small, you
11	know, I quickly tried to adapt to an unknown environment,
12	make friends, and found good friends.
13	It was just very different, but we had to make, you
14	know, the best of it and get used to this new environment.
15	Q And how would you characterize your mother during
16	those first few years that you lived away from Chile?
17	A Well, she sad, very sad. And she also had to talk
18	of this a lot, so that wasn't easy. But she felt she had
19	to. And, well, tried to make a new home for us.
20	Q When did you finally move back to Chile?
21	A I didn't think it was finally moving back. But it was
22	in 1983.
23	Q And how did you decide to go to Chile at that time if
24	you weren't fully moving back?
25	A Well, I I got out of, I went through exams at

1	school. Then got into university. Did all of the
2	interviews. And I just I decided I would take a year
3	off because you could take years off then, unlike Chile.
4	And I thought, I better go back and see what this
5	country is, yeah, before going to university. Because I
6	thought I would lose my Chileanness if I went straight to
7	university.
8	Q And how did you find Chile when you got there?
9	A Well, surprising, very surprising. I've been with
10	Chilean communities in all of the countries we visited.
11	But this was a country full of Chileans, and that was
12	really surprising, yeah.
13	Q What is the Victor Jara Foundation?
14	A That's an organization that was created by my mom and
15	my sister and me after democracy returned to Chile. 1983
16	it was legally founded.
17	And it was because well, my mother had been so
18	many years talking about the tragedy and the death that she
19	wanted to focus on the life, the work, the values of my
20	dad.
21	Q How well known do you think your father is in Chile
22	today?
23	A He's well known, yeah.
24	Q And in the world?
25	A Well, I don't know if it's for me to say. But working
L	-

 in the Foundation, you realize that in other countries I mean, just a few it was a month ago, a Chinese band, young people, it was a young ska band, playing one of my father's songs, The Right to Live in Peace in Japanese. So, yes, he's I don't know if he's well known. But certainly he's known in lots of places. Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a Chinese band? A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. Q You did. A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. A Nell, his frame. He wasn't a very large man. But I 	-	
 young people, it was a young ska band, playing one of my father's songs, The Right to Live in Peace in Japanese. So, yes, he's I don't know if he's well known. But certainly he's known in lots of places. Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a Chinese band? A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. Q You did. A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	1	in the Foundation, you realize that in other countries I
4father's songs, The Right to Live in Peace in Japanese.5So, yes, he's I don't know if he's well known. But6certainly he's known in lots of places.7Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a8Chinese band?9A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry.10Q You did.11A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese.12Q When were you father's remains exhumed?13A That was in 2009.14Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation?15A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the16truth.17Q Were you there when they opened the coffin?18A Yes.19Q What did you see?20A My dad's bones. I could recognize him.21Q How did you recognize him?22A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect23teeth.24Q Anything else?	2	mean, just a few it was a month ago, a Chinese band,
5 So, yes, he's I don't know if he's well known. But 6 certainly he's known in lots of places. 7 Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a 8 Chinese band? 9 A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. 10 Q You did. 11 A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. 12 Q When were you father's remains exhumed? 13 A That was in 2009. 14 Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? 15 A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the 16 truth. Q 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 10 How did you recognize him? 12 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 12 teeth. 12 Anything else?	3	young people, it was a young ska band, playing one of my
 certainly he's known in lots of places. Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a Chinese band? A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. Q You did. A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? A Yes. Q Mhat did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	4	father's songs, The Right to Live in Peace in Japanese.
 7 Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a Chinese band? 9 A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. 10 Q You did. 11 A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? 13 A That was in 2009. 14 Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 20 21 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else? 	5	So, yes, he's I don't know if he's well known. But
 Chinese band? A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. Q You did. A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? A Yes. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	6	certainly he's known in lots of places.
 A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry. Q You did. A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? A Yes. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	7	Q And just to be clear, was that a Japanese band or a
 10 Q You did. 11 A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. 12 Q When were you father's remains exhumed? 13 A That was in 2009. 14 Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? 15 A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the 16 truth. 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 20 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else? 	8	Chinese band?
 A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese. Q When were you father's remains exhumed? A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? A Yes. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	9	A A Japanese. Did I say Chinese? Sorry. I'm sorry.
 12 Q When were you father's remains exhumed? 13 A That was in 2009. 14 Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? 15 A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the 16 truth. 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 20 An My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else? 	10	Q You did.
 A That was in 2009. Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? A Yes. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	11	A Oh, that was bad. Sorry. I meant Japanese.
 14 Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation? 15 A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the 16 truth. 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 20 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else? 	12	Q When were you father's remains exhumed?
 A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the truth. Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? A Yes. Q What did you see? A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	13	A That was in 2009.
<pre>16 truth. 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 20 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else?</pre>	14	Q And what did you expect to get from the exhumation?
 17 Q Were you there when they opened the coffin? 18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 20 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else? 	15	A I'm not sure I expected anything, to tell you the
18 A Yes. 19 Q What did you see? 20 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else?	16	truth.
19 Q What did you see? 20 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. 21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else?	17	Q Were you there when they opened the coffin?
 A My dad's bones. I could recognize him. Q How did you recognize him? A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	18	A Yes.
21 Q How did you recognize him? 22 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect 23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else?	19	Q What did you see?
 A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect teeth. Q Anything else? 	20	A My dad's bones. I could recognize him.
<pre>23 teeth. 24 Q Anything else?</pre>	21	Q How did you recognize him?
24 Q Anything else?	22	A His teeth. He had a very broad smile, very perfect
	23	teeth.
25 A Well, his frame. He wasn't a very large man. But I	24	Q Anything else?
	25	A Well, his frame. He wasn't a very large man. But I

1	saw what had happened to him also, just looking at those
2	bones.
3	Q What went through your mind when you saw his remains,
4	his bones?
5	A Well, the pain he must have gone through because you
6	could see the cracks. You could see the holes in the skull
7	and cracks all over.
8	Q What do you remember about the funeral?
9	A That it was massive and also joyful.
10	Q Was it the kind of funeral he wanted?
11	A Well, I suppose I was selfish thinking that it would
12	be possible to have a private funeral, you see. It wasn't
13	to be so. I think Chilean people also needed to say
14	good-bye to him.
15	Q Could you please describe for the jury what efforts
16	you and your family have gone to in the search for justice
17	for your father?
18	A Well, many efforts. But legally, the first criminal
19	complaint was filed in 1978. And the second one was filed
20	after Pinochet, Pinochet's arrest in London in 1999.
21	Q And just to be clear, you didn't believe do you
22	believe that Pinochet was at Chile Stadium?
23	A No. But he was head of the armed forces.
24	Q All right. And were, was your case that you filed in
25	1978 closed very many times, or is it still opened?

1	A It was closed many times. I think around five or six
2	times it was closed. Just now, it was, the investigation
3	is over.
4	Q How many people have been convicted so far?
5	A Convictions, none.
6	Q What's it been like for you and your family to pursue
7	justice for so many years without any resolution?
8	A Well, it's very hard to live with impunity. It's like
9	a gaping hole. You live with the pain, you see. You can't
10	get rid of the pain unless something happens.
11	Q So I believe I failed to ask you when the funeral was.
12	Was it after or before the exhumation?
13	A It was after the exhumation.
14	Q Right.
15	A Because my dad was buried, as you have heard, 1973.
16	The exhumation took place June of 2009, and the funeral
17	took place at the end of 2009.
18	Q How has your father's death and its surrounding
19	circumstances impacted you?
20	A Well, it's, it's a dark, painful experience that you
21	never forget. And it is very difficult to live when
22	justice isn't done.
23	Q What do you miss most about your father?
24	A I suppose his joyfulness, his happiness.
25	Q And if you could tell your father anything right now,
L	•

-	
1	what would you say to him?
2	A That I love him very much and that I forgive him for
3	having gone to the university that morning. Now I
4	understand why he went. Because he was true to himself and
5	to his convictions, that he wasn't just one individual,
6	that he was part of something bigger than him.
7	MS. ROBERTS: I have no further questions.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Roberts.
9	Cross-examination?
10	MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. CALDERON:
13	Q Good afternoon, Miss Turner.
14	A Good afternoon. Miss Jara.
15	Q Miss Jara. I apologize.
16	You said earlier when you referred to the efforts you
17	had made in the case in pursuit of justice for your father
18	that there were zero convictions, correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And those were pertaining specifically to your father,
21	your father's case, or the Estadio Chile and the events
22	that took place there?
23	A It's in relation to two cases of the Chile Stadium
24	have been joined together. That is my father's case and
25	the case of Litre Quiroga Carvajal.

-	
1	Q And my question being, so are you saying there were
2	zero convictions with respect to the events that took place
3	in the Estadio Chile during the coup of 1973?
4	A No. I'm saying there have been no convictions in
5	relation to the case of Victor Jara and the case of Litre
6	Quiroga.
7	Q And you're saying those cases were combined into one?
8	A Into one, yeah.
9	MR. CALDERON: No further questions. Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Any redirect?
11	MS. ROBERTS: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You can step down,
13	Miss Jara.
14	Call your next witness.
15	MR. BECKETT: Judge, that was our last witness. I
16	wonder if we could approach.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	Ladies and gentleman, we've reached a point in the
19	proceedings where it looks like the plaintiff is resting or
20	preparing to rest after I find out what they have on their
21	mind.
22	But this is probably a good time for me to ask you
23	to step out for just a moment. There are some procedural
24	things I need to talk to the lawyers about.
25	And I'll send Mr. Carter back for you in just a
-	

1few minutes.2(Jury exited the courtroom at 1:59 p.m.)3THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Beckett?4MR. BECKETT: Judge, we are about to rest.5Before we do, we have a matter of the6stipulations. I don't want to read the stipulations again7to the jury. I will if it makes sense.8We have proposed that these stipulations form part9of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before10they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft11instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't12had our charging conference.13But if the Judge were minded to do that or better14still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations,15which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a16document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that.17But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about18and to see what the Court's perspective is on that.
THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Beckett? MR. BECKETT: Judge, we are about to rest. Before we do, we have a matter of the stipulations. I don't want to read the stipulations again to the jury. I will if it makes sense. We have proposed that these stipulations form part of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't had our charging conference. But if the Judge were minded to do that or better still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
 MR. BECKETT: Judge, we are about to rest. Before we do, we have a matter of the stipulations. I don't want to read the stipulations again to the jury. I will if it makes sense. We have proposed that these stipulations form part of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't had our charging conference. But if the Judge were minded to do that or better still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
 Before we do, we have a matter of the stipulations. I don't want to read the stipulations again to the jury. I will if it makes sense. We have proposed that these stipulations form part of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't had our charging conference. But if the Judge were minded to do that or better still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
 stipulations. I don't want to read the stipulations again to the jury. I will if it makes sense. We have proposed that these stipulations form part of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't had our charging conference. But if the Judge were minded to do that or better still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
7 to the jury. I will if it makes sense. 8 We have proposed that these stipulations form part 9 of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before 10 they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft 11 instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't 12 had our charging conference. 13 But if the Judge were minded to do that or better 14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
8 We have proposed that these stipulations form part 9 of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before 10 they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft 11 instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't 12 had our charging conference. 13 But if the Judge were minded to do that or better 14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
9 of the instructions that the Judge give the jury before 10 they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft 11 instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't 12 had our charging conference. 13 But if the Judge were minded to do that or better 14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
10 they begin their deliberations. Obviously, those are draft 11 instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't 12 had our charging conference. 13 But if the Judge were minded to do that or better 14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
11 instructions. They haven't been accepted, and we haven't 12 had our charging conference. 13 But if the Judge were minded to do that or better 14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
12 had our charging conference. 13 But if the Judge were minded to do that or better 14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
But if the Judge were minded to do that or better still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
14 still, from our perspective, to allow those stipulations, 15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
15 which are agreed facts for this case, to be provided on a 16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
16 document for the jury, we wouldn't have to do that. 17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
17 But that's one thing I want to rise to talk about
18 and to soo what the Courtle norseportive is on that
18 and to see what the Court's perspective is on that.
19 THE COURT: Do you have a position on that,
20 Mr. Calderon?
As I understand Mr. Beckett's proposal, it sounds
22 like they're proposing that either they introduce the
23 entirety of the stipulations as a piece of evidence in the
24 case; or, alternatively, they ask me to give the
25 stipulations as part of the Court's instructions to the

jury at the close of the case. 1 2 What's your view? 3 MR. CALDERON: Judge, I think it would actually be appropriate for counsel to just read the stipulations prior 4 5 to resting. I think that would suffice if the Court wants to do this prior to instructions. 6 7 THE COURT: I'm having a hard time hearing you. MR. CALDERON: I apologize. 8 9 I said I think it would be totally appropriate for 10 counsel to read the stipulations prior to resting. Or if 11 the Court wants to read it as part of the instructions, I 12 wouldn't have an issue with that either. 13 I would not want them to have a physical copy of the stipulations, though. 14 15 MR. BECKETT: Judge, if I could just follow-up on 16 that? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. BECKETT: I'm not sure what the Court's 19 practice is with respect to the instructions, but we were 20 hoping that a written copy of the instructions would go to 21 the jury. 22 And if the Court read the stipulations as part of 23 the instructions as we proposed, then that would be part of 24 it and that would go to the jury. We think that's 25 appropriate.

We think, given the number of stipulations and the 1 2 specificity of the stipulations, it makes sense -- the jury 3 is just going to take notes for a long period of time. It makes sense, I think, in this case to make them 4 5 either part of the instructions or to provide them as a 6 separate document. 7 THE COURT: Well, it is my practice to send written copies of the instructions back to the jury with 8 9 the verdict form as part of their deliberations. 10 So do you have any objection to that, 11 Mr. Calderon? 12 MR. CALDERON: No, Judge. I just wouldn't want it 13 as a separate -- as a separate piece of evidence. If it's part of the instructions, that's fine. 14 15 If the Court wants to read them or if counsel 16 wants to read them, that's fine, too. 17 I just don't want them to be a separate document. 18 THE COURT: Okay. With the absence of any 19 objection, then what I'll do, Mr. Beckett, is I'll 20 incorporate the factual stipulations into my instructions 21 to the jury. And they'll be included in the package that the jury gets, along with the rest of the instructions. 22 23 MR. BECKETT: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: How many are there? 25 MR. BECKETT: It's three single-spaced pages of

instructions. There are 71 -- sorry -- stipulations. 1 2 There are 71 stipulations. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else before you rest? MR. BECKETT: No, Judge. 4 5 Plaintiffs rest at this point. THE COURT: Okay. Does the defense have motions? 6 7 MR. CALDERON: Not at this time, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. Are you ready to proceed 9 with your case, Mr. Calderon? 10 MR. CALDERON: I am, Judge. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 Let's bring our jury back, please, Mr. Carter. 13 MR. BECKETT: Judge, for the purposes of the jury, 14 is there any objection to us just stating that plaintiffs rest? 15 16 THE COURT: No. I'm going to give you an opportunity to rest in front of the jury. 17 18 MR. BECKETT: Thank you. 19 MR. CALDERON: Your Honor, can we have our witness 20 enter the courtroom? 21 THE COURT: Surely. 22 MR. CALDERON: Thank you. 23 And, Judge, this witness will require the services 24 of the interpreter. 25 THE COURT: All right.

1 THE INTERPRETER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. I'll have you sworn 3 in a few moments when our jury comes back. 4 (Jury entered the courtroom at 2:03 p.m.) 5 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and gentleman. Thank you for your patience. 6 7 Mr. Beckett, does the plaintiff have any additional witnesses? 8 9 MR. BECKETT: Judge, at this time the plaintiffs 10 rest their case. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 Ladies and gentleman, the plaintiffs have 13 announced that they've rested their case. I mentioned to you at the outset of the 14 15 proceedings that the way the process unfolds is that the 16 plaintiff goes first. 17 After they have presented everything that they 18 care to present, then the defense has an opportunity to 19 call witnesses and will continue the same general format 20 that we followed previously except that the witnesses will 21 be called by the defense. 22 Mr. Calderon, does the defense wish to call its 23 first witness? 24 MR. CALDERON: Yes, Your Honor. At this time the 25 defense will call Maria Teresa Castro Barrientos.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 Ladies and gentleman, we have a different 3 translator. This is Mr. de la Mora, as I understand it. Mr. de la Mora, could you raise your right hand 4 5 and be sworn, please. 6 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. 7 (Interpreter sworn.) 8 THE INTERPRETER: I do so swear. 9 My name is Aqustin de la Mora, D-E, L-A, M-O-R-A. 10 Federally certified court interpreter of Spanish. 11 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. de la Mora. 12 Would you ask the witness please to step forward 13 and raise her right hand and be sworn. 14 (Witness sworn.) 15 THE WITNESS: I do so swear. 16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please take the witness stand. 17 THE COURT: Mr. de la Mora, once the witness is 18 seated, if you could pull up your chair next to her. I'm 19 going to give you a handheld microphone to use so we can 20 hear your translation. 21 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. De la Mora, would you 23 ask the witness to state her full name and spell her 24 surname, please. 25 THE WITNESS: Maria Teresa Castro Barrientos,

-	
1	C-A-S-T-R-O.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
3	You may inquire.
4	MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. CALDERON:
7	Q Good afternoon, Miss Castro.
8	A Good afternoon.
9	Q What is your current occupation?
10	A I'm retired.
11	Q And prior to being retired, what was your occupation?
12	A I work for 43 years in the state bank in Chile.
13	Q What year did you begin working there?
14	A May the 1st, 1969.
15	Q In what capacity did you work at the state bank?
16	A When I started, I was in customer service.
17	Q And in 1973, where were you working?
18	A I work in a branch in San Antonio, in the customer
19	service in different capacities. Savings, credit,
20	checking, et cetera.
21	Q And how old were you in September of 1973?
22	A Twenty-one.
23	Q And where did you live in September of 1973?
24	A I lived in a town of a military school for officers,
25	in Tejas Verdes. I lived in a housing for a housing for

1	officers at the rank of lieutenant.
2	Q And where is Tejas Verdes in the country of Chile?
3	A Tejas Verdes is very close to San Antonio. It's a
4	place that is called like that because it's at the river
5	end. And during the months of rain, the roofs of the house
6	turn green because of the musty.
7	Q So is this a specific area in San Antonio?
8	A Yes. It's called Tejas Verdes. It's at the
9	riverside.
10	Q And is the military installation, is that named after
11	the region?
12	A Yes. It is called military school for engineering of
13	Tejas Verdes.
14	Q And is your house or was your house in 1973, in
15	September of 1973, in Tejas Verdes?
16	A Inside the complex of Tejas Verdes.
17	Q And just real quickly, Tejas Verdes, that refers to
18	the color of the tiles of the roofs in that area?
19	A Yes. And that's the name of the region.
20	Q Who did you live with in Tejas Verdes in September of
21	1973?
22	A With my husband, Lieutenant Barrientos, and my baby
23	who at the time in September was 15 months of age.
24	Q Now, in the months leading up to September, did you
25	leave the military complex?

1	A No.
2	Q Did you ever leave the premises for a short period of
3	time to run errands?
4	A I don't understand.
5	MR. BECKETT: Object to the question. It's a
6	leading question, Judge. Also object on the grounds of
7	relevance.
8	THE COURT: Well, it is leading. I don't know if
9	it's relevant or not.
10	Let's restate the question, and I'll give you an
11	opportunity to address the relevance.
12	MR. CALDERON: Sure. And, Your Honor, if we could
13	just briefly approach. Very quickly.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	(Discussion at sidebar on the record.)
16	THE COURT: While I have you up here, it's, of
17	course, always important not to lead your witness,
18	especially an important witness. It's particularly
19	important when we have translation issues. I want you to
20	be mindful to ask short, direct questions that are capable
21	of a succinct response.
22	Anticipating, tell me where you're going with
23	this.
24	MR. CALDERON: Judge, we're going to talk about
25	some of the things that were discussed by the expert.

1But what I wanted to bring to the Court's2attention is that sometimes, with the translation, it's not3exactly the question that I'm asking.4So in this situation, I said if she ever left the5compound, and the question that was interpreted was did she6ever abandon the compound.7So my follow-up question was not to lead but to8just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon,9that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do10errands.11I don't know if there's a and this may happen12from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a13question because it's not being interpreted with the14connotation that it's being asked.15MR. BECKETI: Well, I think there should be a16separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation17problem. Perhaps we can flag it.18As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk19about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a20Iayperson.21She can certainly talk about what she observed at23the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that24THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her25perception of what was happening in the countryside		
 exactly the question that I'm asking. So in this situation, I said if she ever left the compound, and the question that was interpreted was did she ever abandon the compound. So my follow-up question was not to lead but to just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon, that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do errands. I don't know if there's a and this may happen from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a question because it's not being interpreted with the connotation that it's being asked. MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her 	1	But what I wanted to bring to the Court's
4So in this situation, I said if she ever left the5compound, and the question that was interpreted was did she6ever abandon the compound.7So my follow-up question was not to lead but to8just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon,9that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do10errands.11I don't know if there's a and this may happen12from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a13question because it's not being interpreted with the14connotation that it's being asked.15MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a16separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation17problem. Perhaps we can flag it.18As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk19about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a20layperson.21She can certainly talk about what she observed at22the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that23is relevant to this particular case, however.24THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	2	attention is that sometimes, with the translation, it's not
compound, and the question that was interpreted was did she ever abandon the compound. So my follow-up question was not to lead but to just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon, that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do errands. In don't know if there's a and this may happen from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a question because it's not being interpreted with the connotation that it's being asked. MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	3	exactly the question that I'm asking.
 ever abandon the compound. So my follow-up question was not to lead but to just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon, that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do errands. I don't know if there's a and this may happen from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a question because it's not being interpreted with the connotation that it's being asked. MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her 	4	So in this situation, I said if she ever left the
 So my follow-up question was not to lead but to just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon, that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do errands. I don't know if there's a and this may happen from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a question because it's not being interpreted with the connotation that it's being asked. MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her 	5	compound, and the question that was interpreted was did she
3 just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon, 9 that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do 10 errands. 11 I don't know if there's a and this may happen 12 from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a 13 question because it's not being interpreted with the 14 connotation that it's being asked. 15 MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a 16 separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation 17 problem. Perhaps we can flag it. 18 As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk 19 about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a 10 layperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	6	ever abandon the compound.
9 that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do errands. 11 I don't know if there's a and this may happen from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a question because it's not being interpreted with the connotation that it's being asked. 15 MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. 18 As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	7	So my follow-up question was not to lead but to
10 errands. 11 I don't know if there's a and this may happen 12 from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a 13 question because it's not being interpreted with the 14 connotation that it's being asked. 15 MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a 16 separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation 17 problem. Perhaps we can flag it. 18 As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk 19 about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a 10 layperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	8	just explain to the interpreter that I didn't mean abandon,
I don't know if there's a and this may happen from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a question because it's not being interpreted with the connotation that it's being asked. MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	9	that I meant to leave for a short while, as in to do
12from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a13question because it's not being interpreted with the14connotation that it's being asked.15MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a16separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation17problem. Perhaps we can flag it.18As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk19about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a20layperson.21She can certainly talk about what she observed at22the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that23is relevant to this particular case, however.24THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	10	errands.
13 question because it's not being interpreted with the 14 connotation that it's being asked. 15 MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a 16 separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation 17 problem. Perhaps we can flag it. 18 As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk 19 about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a 1ayperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	11	I don't know if there's a and this may happen
14 connotation that it's being asked. 15 MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a 16 separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation 17 problem. Perhaps we can flag it. 18 As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk 19 about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a 20 layperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	12	from time to time where I'm kind of following up on a
 MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her 	13	question because it's not being interpreted with the
16 separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation 17 problem. Perhaps we can flag it. 18 As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk 19 about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a 1ayperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	14	connotation that it's being asked.
17 problem. Perhaps we can flag it. As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. 1 She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	15	MR. BECKETT: Well, I think there should be a
As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a layperson. She can certainly talk about what she observed at the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that is relevant to this particular case, however. THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	16	separate way of addressing if there's an interpretation
<pre>19 about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a 20 layperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her</pre>	17	problem. Perhaps we can flag it.
20 layperson. 21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	18	As to relevance, Judge, we had an expert talk
21 She can certainly talk about what she observed at 22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	19	about that. This woman isn't an expert. She's a
22 the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that 23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	20	layperson.
23 is relevant to this particular case, however. 24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	21	She can certainly talk about what she observed at
24 THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her	22	the time at the particular locale. I fail to see how that
, <u>,</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	23	is relevant to this particular case, however.
25 perception of what was happening in the countryside	24	THE COURT: Well, why is it relevant? Why is her
	25	perception of what was happening in the countryside

germane? 1 2 MR. CALDERON: Judge, first of all, it's 3 establishing whether or not she was there when the troops departed from the base. She was actually there. 4 5 In addition, what Professor Stern's testimony was about, it came back it was actually based on sources of 6 7 information that were living there at the time, I assume were living there at the time they gave the accounting of 8 9 what happened. 10 We actually have the person who was there who gave 11 a firsthand account of the situation in the country as she 12 experienced it. 13 THE COURT: Are you talking about numbers of 14 I'm -troops? 15 MR. CALDERON: Just basically what she witnessed 16 as the troops were going, the things that she saw, the 17 information that she was aware of at the time the events 18 were going on. It goes to the state of Mr. Barrientos when 19 he left Tejas Verdes and what his mission was. 20 MR. BECKETT: Judge, sorry. 21 THE COURT: I don't find any of that particularly 22 relevant. I'll give you a little bit of leeway to 23 establish a little bit about her living circumstances, the area in which she lived. 24 25 But I think Mr. -- I think the plaintiffs'

argument is well taken with respect to the more 1 2 comprehensive description of what was transpiring. 3 I just -- I confess I don't see how it connects up with the issues the jury is going to be asked to resolve in 4 5 this case. So I'll give you a little bit of leeway on it. 6 7 But then let's move on to something that is substantively on point. 8 9 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Judge. 10 (End of discussion at sidebar.) 11 THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled 12 with my comments to you at sidebar. 13 Mr. Calderon, you can proceed. 14 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 How far was your house from where the troops were 0 located? 17 18 We're close to each other because it was a small town. А 19 There was a main street and three other side streets. And 20 the patio for the regiment was about ten meters from my house. 21 22 Could you see where the regiment would get into Q 23 formation from your house? 24 Yes, correct. It was less than ten meters. А 25 Do you recall September 10th of 1973? Q

-	
1	A Perfectly. Just as if it were today.
2	Q And why does that date resonate with you?
3	A Because it marked all the Chilean people from both
4	sides, civilians and military.
5	Q Did anything particular occur that day that sticks out
6	in your memory?
7	A The end of the day.
8	Q Now, can I ask you, how did that day begin?
9	A Like any other normal day.
10	Q And was Mr. Barrientos with you when you woke up that
11	morning?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And what time did he leave the house?
14	A 7:30, like every other day.
15	Q And can you describe how he was dressed?
16	A With normal combat fatigues like every other day.
17	Q Can you please describe with a little more specificity
18	exactly what the uniform comprised of?
19	A Hat, cap. Boots, black. Pants and a shirt that were
20	green, olive green. Undershirt, a white one under the
21	green shirt. And a belt.
22	On the right-hand side, the pistol. On the left-hand
23	side, the knife, Corvo. And a military hat.
24	Q Now, was this a regular hat or was it a different kind
25	of hat?

-	
1	A It was a normal one, just green with a shade in the
2	front.
3	Q And how is it that you were so familiar with this
4	uniform?
5	A Because it was my husband, and I used to wash the
6	uniform.
7	Q Now, after he left the house at 7:30, when was the
8	next time you saw him?
9	A About 2:30 or 1:00 to have lunch.
10	Q Did anything happen between the time he left and when
11	he returned for lunch?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What happened?
14	A A helicopter from the Navy got there. I saw it
15	because it came to the patio, and it said Chile's Navy.
16	And it was dark.
17	Q And upon him arriving home, did you ask him what was
18	going on?
19	MR. BECKETT: Objection. Hearsay.
20	THE COURT: Do you want to be heard?
21	MR. CALDERON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm not asking
22	her to repeat anything that's being asked of Mr. Barrientos
23	back in 1973. I just asked her if he informed her.
24	THE COURT: Well, I understand what you're asking.
25	But do you want to be heard on the hearsay

_	
1	objection?
2	MR. CALDERON: Yes, Judge. I don't think it's
3	hearsay.
4	THE COURT: Why not?
5	MR. CALDERON: Because I'm not asking for it's
6	not for the truth of the matter asserted.
7	First, it goes to her state of mind. But I'm not
8	asking him whether or not or, I'm sorry, I'm not asking
9	her what he said but merely whether she asked him.
10	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
11	THE WITNESS: About the helicopter?
12	BY MR. CALDERON:
13	Q Yes. Did he tell you what was going on?
14	A Well, when he came back to lunch, I asked him what was
15	going on, what was the helicopter there?
16	Q And at that time, did you know what was happening?
17	A No.
18	Q When was the next time you saw oh, I apologize.
19	Did Mr. Barrientos leave the home after lunch?
20	A Yeah. He went back to the regiment.
21	Q And when was the next time you saw him?
22	A 1830 hours, more or less.
23	Q And is that, that's military time?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q Did anything happen in between lunchtime and 6:30?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And upon his return that evening, did you at that
3	point, were you informed of what was going on?
4	A Yes. The helicopter came back two or three times
5	during the whole afternoon.
6	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm going to renew my
7	objection on relevance grounds at this time.
8	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
9	You can proceed.
10	MR. CALDERON: Okay.
11	BY MR. CALDERON:
12	Q And what was your understanding of what was going on
13	at that time?
14	A Something unusual, strange.
15	Q And why was it strange?
16	A Because I live there for four years and never in that
17	time had a helicopter been there, not even a military one.
18	Q And after dinner, did Mr. Barrientos leave the home
19	again?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Was that normal?
22	A No, that was not normal.
23	Q When did you see him again?
24	A 2300 hours.
25	Q And what did you see him do when he came back?

First, he got there. He had a fiber helmet, a steel 1 А 2 helmet, and a SIG rifle. 3 Just to be clear, he no longer had his original hat, \cap the one that you saw him --4 MR. BECKETT: Objection. Objection. Objection to 5 leading questions. 6 7 THE COURT: Objection is leading? MR. BECKETT: Yes, Judge. 8 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 BY MR. CALDERON: 11 Okay. Was he wearing a different hat than what he had 0 left the house with? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 And what was he doing when he returned? Q 15 He tells me he has to leave. He doesn't know where Α 16 And he asked me to help him put together a sleeping to. 17 baq. 18 And did he tell you where he was going? Q 19 А He didn't know himself. 20 When was the next time you saw Mr. Barrientos? Q 21 The first weekend after September 11th. Α 22 And did you know that September -- or did you know Q 23 what happened on September 11th? 24 Because of the news on the radio the next morning. А 25 Now, where did you see Mr. Barrientos after the Q

10th? Where was it that you saw him?
A That weekend that I don't remember if it was a
Saturday or Sunday. At my parents' house in Santiago.
Q And do you recall what time it was when you saw him?
A He got there around 10:00, 10:30 at night.
Q Did he arrive alone?
A No, in a patrol car with soldiers.
Q And what was the reason for him going to your parents'
house on that day?
A Because he was in a terrible need of taking a shower
and shaving because he was wearing the same clothing that
he had worn since the 10th of September.
And he found me there. To his surprise, I was there.
Q And how was he dressed?
A He had different, he had something different here.
Over here he had something that was orange, and he had a
bracelet on his left arm.

18 Now, with respect to your prior response, was there Q 19 anything besides his uniform and his neck scarf that was 20 different about his uniform?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21 MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm going to object to that 22 question before the answer is given. I think that is a 23 leading question.

24 THE COURT: Objection is overruled. 25 Under the circumstances, I think it's necessary in

1	order to understand the witness' response. So I'm going to
2	allow the question to stand.
3	You can answer.
4	THE WITNESS: No. It was the same.
5	BY MR. CALDERON:
6	Q Okay. Earlier when you were giving your response, you
7	pointed to the collar. What were you referring to?
8	A Excuse me?
9	Q Earlier in your prior response, you were pointing to
10	the collar as if to indicate something on the neck.
11	A He didn't have his rank on.
12	Q And could you describe what those markings looked
13	like?
14	A The different rankings: sublieutenant, lieutenant,
15	captain, colonel, general.
16	Q And which were with respect to his uniform, which
17	ones was he missing?
18	A What happened is that normally when he left Tejas
19	Verdes he had on him the markings of a lieutenant.
20	Q And are those, could you describe the shape and color
21	of those markings?
22	A It's metallic, two stars of lieutenant.
23	Q And after that first encounter at your parents' house,
24	did he return?
25	A It was always around 10:00 at night when he would be

1	sometimes two days in between or sometimes all night; and
2	sometimes he couldn't, he wouldn't come back in a couple of
3	days.
4	THE INTERPRETER: Two days. Correction by the
5	interpreter.
6	BY MR. CALDERON:
7	Q And were you familiar with the music or the New Song
8	movement in 1973 in Chile?
9	A Yes. That was called neo-folklore. I used to play
10	the guitar. And I liked it myself.
11	Q And with respect to that music, was that, with respect
12	to that music, was there any difference among the artists?
13	A Yeah. There was some difference.
14	Q Could you explain?
15	A Well, the differences were politics. There was
16	neo-folklore from the Right and neo-folklore from the Left.
17	Q And did you listen to music from both sides?
18	A From both sides.
19	Q And in 1973, were you aware of who Victor Jara was?
20	A No.
21	Q Had you ever seen him on television or his music on
22	the radio?
23	A At that time, no.
24	Q Okay. When did you and Mr. Barrientos first meet?
25	A When I was in school, he was a cadet at the military

-	
1	school, around 1965, more or less.
2	Q Okay. And what school did he attend?
3	A Military School Bernardo O'Higgins.
4	Q And where did you live when you met Mr. Barrientos?
5	A In Las Condes. The community of Las Condes in
6	Santiago.
7	Q And how long did you live in Santiago?
8	A From 1964 to '71 when I got married.
9	Q And after meeting Mr. Barrientos in approximately
10	1965, was he with you in Santiago until you got married?
11	A No. He was there until he graduated as an officer.
12	And then he was assigned to Copiapo.
13	Q Now, during your courtship, did you ever go to the
14	O'Higgins Academy?
15	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm going to object again on
16	grounds of relevance. I don't see how this is relevant to
17	the case.
18	THE COURT: Let me see the lawyers briefly at
19	sidebar.
20	(Discussion at sidebar on the record.)
21	THE COURT: I called you up here just so you have
22	some guidance on my assessment of the testimony.
23	I gather that Mr. Calderon is trying to develop
24	some information about Mr. Barrientos' lifestyle, a little
25	window into the individual since he's on trial here for

1	having either directed or participated directly in the
2	murder of Mr. Jara.
3	I think the jury is entitled to a little bit of a
4	window into Mr. Barrientos to make an assessment as to
5	whether or not that type of conduct is consistent with the
6	individual.
7	I don't know that that's where Mr. Calderon is
8	going. But that's why I wanted to get you up here and see
9	if that's
10	MR. CALDERON: Judge, I think to some extent
11	that's true. But this specifically is with regards to him
12	being in Santiago.
13	One of the questions or one of the designations
14	that was played for the jury, he was kind of questioned
15	with regards to having lived in Santiago and not having
16	known or been to the Estadio Chile.
17	And I think this not only gives us a little window
18	into Mr. Barrientos but why he would not have been familiar
19	with the Estadio Chile.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right. That seems
21	reasonable to me.
22	I'm going to overrule your objection.
23	MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Judge.
24	(End of discussion at sidebar.)
25	THE COURT: All right. Thanks for your patience,

1	ladies and gentleman.
2	Objection is overruled.
3	You can proceed, Mr. Calderon.
4	BY MR. CALDERON:
5	Q And Miss Castro, did you ever go to the Bernardo
6	O'Higgins Academy with Mr. Barrientos?
7	A Many times.
8	Q And is there a reason why?
9	A Because he was a student there. And I was his
10	girlfriend. And as he was going up in rank and there was
11	parties there from the time he was a cadet all the way to
12	going up in rank, I would go to the parties with him.
13	I also used to go watch him because he would compete
14	in swimming.
15	Q And where is the O'Higgins Academy in relation to the
16	center of the city?
17	A Far away from him.
18	Q And would you ever go in that time, would you ever
19	go with Mr. Barrientos to the center of Santiago?
20	A No, we never went there. We never got out of the
21	community where my parents lived.
22	Q Is the Estadio Chile located near the city center?
23	A Yeah, from the city center, some blocks to the south.
24	Q And did you ever go with Mr. Barrientos to the Estadio
25	Chile?

-			
1	A Neither one of us knew that place.		
2	MR. BECKETT: Objection as to the defendant's		
3	state of mind, Judge.		
4	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.		
5	BY MR. CALDERON:		
6	Q And what area did you live in?		
7	A Las Condes.		
8	Q And what was was there anything of note in		
9	Las Condes?		
10	A Well, Las Condes, being like a small city, you had		
11	everything. You had cinemas, theater, stores. You had		
12	everything.		
13	Q And when you went with Mr. Barrientos, did you ever go		
14	to Santiago or the center of the city?		
15	A No. Never.		
16	Q Do you recall where you lived in 1978?		
17	A Yes. I lived in the city of Arica, which is a border		
18	town between Chile and Peru.		
19	Q And who did you live there with?		
20	A With my husband and two babies.		
21	Q Where in Arica did you live?		
22	A I lived in two different houses.		
23	Q In 1978, where in Arica did you live?		
24	A We lived in a military installation in a town, a		
25	military town of the Sector El Quidray (phonetic.) It's		
	•		

called Fort Azapa. 1 2 And did you live in housing provided by the military? Q 3 Yes. It was inside the Fort. The regiment was. Α The houses were in the regiment, inside the Fort. 4 5 0 And did you belong to a casino? 6 А Yes. 7 Which casino? 0 8 Yeah, the one that belonged to that regiment number А It was in Arica. It was called Pynas (phonetic) with 9 six. 10 аY. 11 Was there more than one casino in Arica? 0 12 Yes. The casino called El Morro, that belonged to two Α 13 sectors --14 THE INTERPRETER: May the interpreter inquire the 15 names again, Your Honor? 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: It belonged to two regiments. 18 First, the Rancagua and then the regiment Huamancucho with 19 an H. 20 BY MR. CALDERON: 21 What did you do at the casino? Q 22 Which one? А 23 Q In 1978. 24 Latinos, on the weekends, on Saturdays and Sundays, we А 25 would go there to have lunch with the children, just like

1	all the officers did. The ones that were married.	
2	Q And was this a social club?	
3	A Yes. There was even a swimming pool there.	
4	Q And was entry into the casino limited in any fashion?	
5	A Yes. There was a main entrance that had at the	
6	entrance two military police.	
7	Q And was there any limit on who could attend or who	
8	could go to the casino?	
9	A It was exclusively, exclusively for the officers on	
10	regiment number six, and were able to attend there, whether	
11	they were single; or if they were married, they could bring	
12	their families.	
13	Q Did you ever meet an official or an officer named	
14	Jorge Smith Gumucio?	
15	A Yes, of course. In Tejas Verdes.	
16	Q And what year was that?	
17	A I arrived at Tejas Verdes in the summer of 1972.	
18	Q And when was the last time you saw Jorge Smith	
19	Gumucio?	
20	A Physically, near me, it was the day that we found out	
21	that Rodrigo Rodriguez Fuschloger had died.	
22	Q And do you recall what year that was?	
23	A Yes. That had to be the last quarter of 1974.	
24	Q And do you know where Jorge Smith Gumucio was in 1978?	
25	A Yes.	

1 Q Where was he? 2 He was an officer, a staff officer on the school of А 3 paratroopers in from the Army in Santiago. And how did you know that? 4 Q 5 А The group of engineers from the Army is very, very 6 small. (Speaking.) 7 MR. BECKETT: Judge --8 THE WITNESS: And --9 THE COURT: Hang on. 10 MR. BECKETT: Judge, object. The basis for the 11 answer is hearsay. She's reporting what other people have 12 told her. 13 THE COURT: Can you help me understand where this 14 is going, Mr. Calderon? 15 MR. BECKETT: Can we do this outside the presence 16 of the jury, Judge? 17 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me see the lawyers at 18 sidebar. It's too early for a break. 19 (Discussion at sidebar on the record.) 20 THE COURT: Where is this going? I'm trying to 21 get some context. 22 There's a conscript by the MR. CALDERON: Sure. 23 name of Jose Navarrete who claims that Mr. Barrientos 24 confessed to killing Victor Jara in 1978 or 1979 at a 25 social club in the region that we're discussing right now.

He claims that he was accompanied by the individual that 1 2 I'm asking about. 3 And so we're just getting information regarding that individual and where they lived, where they were, and 4 5 who else might have been there. MR. BECKETT: The basis for the response was that 6 7 she had heard from other people about this. That's how she 8 knew. It's based on hearsay. She said it herself. 9 She began to say that. When it will be 10 translated, that's what she said. 11 THE COURT: So what do you say to the hearsay 12 objection, Mr. Calderon? 13 MR. CALDERON: Judge, I think it goes to the state 14 of mind. I can ask it a different way. But it goes to her 15 state of mind as to whether she knew of him being in the 16 city during 1978. 17 MR. BECKETT: She has no independent personal 18 knowledge of this opinion. It's based on rank hearsay and 19 rumors from other people. 20 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. 21 (End of discussion at sidebar.) 22 THE COURT: Again, I apologize for the 23 interruptions, ladies and gentleman. 24 The objection is sustained. 25 MR. CALDERON: May I inquire?

-			
1	THE COURT: Yes, sir. You may inquire.		
2	BY MR. CALDERON:		
3	Q Did you ever see Mr. Jorge Smith Gumucio in Arica in		
4	1978?		
5	A Negative. No, never.		
6	Q The housing in which you lived in, did all the		
7	officers and their wives who are assigned to that location,		
8	did they reside there?		
9	A In '78?		
10	Q Yes.		
11	A Everybody. Everyone.		
12	Q And did you ever see Jorge Smith Gumucio in that		
13	housing complex?		
14	A He was single and he didn't live in Arica.		
15	Q Now, did you move in 1979?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q Where?		
18	A We moved to a private residence in the civilian area		
19	because my husband was transferred to a division in Matia		
20	(phonetic), Number 24 in Huamachuco.		
21	Q And where was this house located?		
22	A It was right around the corner of the Garcia North,		
23	the North University. It was on a corner. It was a very		
24	beautiful house.		
25	Q And did you belong to a casino at that point in time,		

1	in 1979?	
2	A Well, we were assigned there to the casino El Morro,	
3	which had was assigned to Rancagua and Huamachuco.	
4	Q And this was different than the casino that you	
5	attended in 1978?	
6	A Different.	
7	Q Did you ever go back to the casino named Tinos?	
8	A You couldn't do it because it belonged to a different	
9	regiment.	
10	Q Now, when you did attend the casino in Tinos, who	
11	would serve the officers?	
12	A The cook was a lady. There was some lady waitresses.	
13	And the only man there was Don Mario who tended the bar and	
14	gave drinks to the children.	
15	Q In 1978, did you ever see any soldiers serving the	
16	officers at Tinos?	
17	A No. That didn't exist. It wasn't like that.	
18	Q Now, was Tinos referred to as anything else?	
19	A Hotel Tinos until the Army bought it. And then it	
20	became Casino Tinos.	
21	Q Was any of the other casinos referred to as a hotel?	
22	A No.	
23	Q In 1979, you stated that Mr. Barrientos had been	
24	reassigned. Was he living in the home with you?	
25	A He would come down every 15 days.	
-		

r		
1	Q And where was he coming from?	
2	A Can I explain?	
3	Q Sure. Please explain where he was or where he was	
4	coming from.	
5	A The Huamachuco regiment was super big. He had	
6	different headquarters on all the lowlands highlands of	
7	Chile, almost all the way to Bolivia.	
8	Q And about how far was it where he was stationed?	
9	A Headquarters Buitre (phonetic) was the one that	
10	corresponded to my ex-husband was about 150 kilometers.	
11	But at an altitude of 4,380 meters. And it was a dirt	
12	road with gravel and very deep, steep cliffs.	
13	Q Did you ever visit him when he was stationed there?	
14	A I was there I went there three times. I was the	
15	only woman who dared to drive all the way over there.	
16	Q How long did it take you to drive there?	
17	A Three and a half hours. May I explain?	
18	Q Sure.	
19	MR. BECKETT: Objection, Judge. This calls for a	
20	narrative answer.	
21	THE COURT: Let's do it by Q-and-A, Mr. Calderon.	
22	MR. CALDERON: Sure, Your Honor.	
23	BY MR. CALDERON:	
24	Q Can you explain well, let me ask it this way.	
25	How long did it take to get back?	

r				
1	A Well, the same time, the same amount of time because			
2	it's as difficult to go up than to come down from those			
3	cliffs.			
4	Q Now, in 1980, did you move?			
5	MR. BECKETT: Objection. Leading.			
6	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.			
7	THE WITNESS: Yes. Because my husband was			
8	transferred from the regiment of Tacna (phonetic) because			
9	my husband had been transferred.			
10	BY MR. CALDERON:			
11	Q And where is that? I won't try to repeat it.			
12	A The second region of our country.			
13	Q And about how far is that from Arica?			
14	A Well, more than ten hours.			
15	Q And were you, did you have to or did you attend a			
16	casino when you were living there?			
17	A Okay. That casino was so small, the officers' casino			
18	was so small. The only ones that were allowed to sleep			
19	there were the single people and some single and the			
20	married ones.			
21	And we used to go to Chile. It was a casino that was			
22	left there by the company, Chile Exploration Company, that			
23	was after while they were after they were working			
24	there in Chile.			
25	Q Now, in 1978, did you ever see Mr. Barrientos			

r			
1	accompanied by bodyguards?		
2	A May I explain?		
3	Q I'm going to ask you just to answer the question.		
4	A At that time, there were no bodyguards for anybody,		
5	the whole country.		
6	MR. BECKETT: Objection, Judge, on the basis of		
7	lack of personal knowledge. The question		
8	THE COURT: Objection is sustained.		
9	The jury will disregard the witness' response to		
10	the last question.		
11	You can recast the question, Mr. Calderon.		
12	BY MR. CALDERON:		
13	Q With respect to Mr. Barrientos and only		
14	Mr. Barrientos, did you ever see him accompanied by		
15	bodyguards in 1978?		
16	A No, never. They didn't exist.		
17	Q Did you ever see him with bodyguards, and with respect		
18	to Mr. Barrientos and only Mr. Barrientos, any bodyguards		
19	in 1979?		
20	A No.		
21	Q Now, with respect to 1980, did you ever see		
22	Mr. Barrientos with any bodyguards?		
23	A They didn't exist.		
24	THE COURT: Again, ladies and gentleman, disregard		
25	the witness' response to the last question.		
L			

1 Mr. De la Mora. 2 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Ask the witness, please, to be responsive only to the question. The question was as to 4 5 Mr. Barrientos, not to anyone else. THE WITNESS: No. 6 7 BY MR. CALDERON: 8 When was the last time that you personally saw Q 9 Mr. Barrientos accompanied by bodyquards? 10 When the thing in September finished, when he got back Α 11 to Tejas Verdes in November, that's where the bodyguards 12 ended, in November. 13 Q When you lived in Arica, did you meet all the 14 commanders of the regiment? Of course, the regiment we belonged to? 15 Α 16 With respect to the Tejas Verdes regiment. 0 '73, '72. 17 А 18 In 19 -- in all of the years that you lived there, so Q 19 1973 through 1979. 20 А (Speaking.) 21 MR. BECKETT: Judge, objection. The objection is 22 whether she knew the officers, yes or no. It calls for a 23 yes-or-no question. It's nonresponsive. 24 THE COURT: Well, you have me at a disadvantage, 25 Mr. Beckett, because you understood the response. I did

1	not understand the response.	
2	So, Mr. de la Mora, ask the witness if she can	
3	confine her answer to the question that was asked, did you	
4	meet all the commanders of the regiment during the years	
5	that you lived in Tejas Verdes?	
6	THE WITNESS: Well, the thing is that I lived in	
7	Tejas Verdes from '75. After that I was '75. After	
8	that, I lived in Arica.	
9	THE COURT: I was confused by the question as	
10	well. So let's see if we can clear that up, Mr. Calderon.	
11	MR. CALDERON: I apologize, Your Honor.	
12	BY MR. CALDERON:	
13	Q Did you meet the commanders of the regiment when you	
14	lived in Arica?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q And what commanders did you meet?	
17	A Eugerio Albornoz (phonetic.) Eugerio Albornoz and his	
18	wife, Lulu. And Jorge Nunez Magallanes (phonetic) and his	
19	wife, Silvia.	
20	Q Did you ever meet someone named Jorge Barra Lama?	
21	A He was not a commander in the regiment when I was	
22	there, and I didn't meet him.	
23	Q In 1979, did you ever see Jorge Smith Gumucio?	
24	A No.	
25	Q During the years of 1978 to 1980, did you ever see	

r		
1	Mr. Barrientos drink alcohol?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Did you ever see him get intoxicated?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And at any point during the years 1970 through 1980,	
6	did he ever confess or admit to having any involvement in	
7	the death of Victor Jara?	
8	A No.	
9	Q When did you divorce Mr. Barrientos?	
10	A In 1985, over 30 years ago, a little bit over 30 years	
11	ago.	
12	Q When did you first hear about the atrocities that took	
13	place in 1973 in Chile?	
14	A First time, it was the year 1987.	
15	Q And how did you find out?	
16	A (Speaking.)	
17	Q And how did that impact you?	
18	THE INTERPRETER: May I ask for the interpreter to	
19	answer?	
20	MR. CALDERON: Sorry.	
21	THE INTERPRETER: Sorry.	
22	THE WITNESS: Because of a book called "Los	
23	Zarpazos del Puma," the clawing of the puma, from a	
24	newspaper lady by the name of Verdugo, a very serious	
25	newspaper lady.	
-		

1	BY MR. CALDERON:	
2	Q And how did that impact you?	
3	A A lot. Should I explain?	
4	Q Please explain how it impacted you.	
5	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I object on grounds of	
6	relevance, the impact on this witness.	
7	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.	
8	BY MR. CALDERON:	
9	Q Please explain how this book impacted you.	
10	A I started reading it at my lunch hour at the bank.	
11	And it was so much that I have to ask permission from my	
12	boss at the bank to leave right away because I had to	
13	leave.	
14	Q Did the book describe graphic accounts of atrocities	
15	that took place in 1973?	
16	A No. '80, '86, different years.	
17	Q Did you doubt the accuracy of the book?	
18	A No, not that book. I was sure it was true.	
19	Q Why?	
20	A Because that female newspaper reporter is a very	
21	serious one. And the names would come out and the police	
22	reports, and the names on them would come out.	
23	Q And did you recognize those names?	
24	A He was not in the book. But I did for many names	
25	that were in the book, I did know three of the officers	

1	that were mentioned in the book.		
2	Q So what did you do when you finished the book?		
3	A I took a deep breath. I picked up the phone. And I		
4	called him immediately to Antofagasta, which is where he		
5	lived with his new wife.		
6	Q And did you confront him with what you had read in the		
7	book?		
8	A Can I explain?		
9	Q Just if you could please just answer the question.		
10	A I confronted him very seriously.		
11	Q And what was his reaction?		
12	A Can I tell you what I asked him?		
13	Q I prefer if you just describe what his reaction was.		
14	A He told me to be cool about it, to rest assured that		
15	his hands were clean because he had been in the regiment		
16	with the soldiers only.		
17	THE COURT: Mr. Calderon, let's take our break		
18	here.		
19	Ladies and gentleman, it's a little past our		
20	90 minute mark. If I could ask you to be back at 3:30,		
21	we'll resume with the examination of this witness.		
22	(Jury exited the courtroom at 3:14 p.m.)		
23	THE COURT: Please be seated.		
24	Mr. de la Mora, if you would instruct the witness		
25	while she's in the midst of her testimony it's not		

appropriate for her to discuss it with any of the lawyers or any of their representatives. We'll be in recess until 3:30. (Recess at 3:15 p.m. to 3:31 p.m.) MR. BECKETT: Judge, before the jury comes in, if it pleases the Court, we just have a question. THE COURT: Back on the record in Jara versus Barrientos Nunez, 6:13-civil-1426. Waiting for Mr. Calderon. There he is. Standing

10 at the podium.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

MR. CALDERON: I'm short, but I'm not that short.
THE COURT: My bad.

13 All the parties and counsel are present. Yes, sir, you have something you wanted to raise? 14 15 MR. BECKETT: Judge, yes. We would like to change 16 interpreters. No disrespect at all to the present 17 interpreter. But for cross-examination, I just wanted to 18 flag that for the Court and counsel at this point. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to use Mr. Icaza? 20 MR. BECKETT: Yes. Francis, yes.

21 MR. CALDERON: Judge, if we want to do that now 22 since we did take a break. I know Mr. de la Mora has been 23 at it for a little bit. If you want to do it now, make it 24 seem like a casual transition.

THE COURT: That's fine. It might give Mr. de la

Mora a little bit of a rest. 1 2 Mr. Icaza, why don't you come forward and take 3 over. 4 Ready to proceed? Let's bring them in, please. 5 MR. CALDERON: Do you want the witness to be 6 seated? 7 THE COURT: Yes, please. 8 (Jury entered the courtroom at 3:33 p.m.) 9 THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentleman. 10 Mr. Calderon, you may inquire. 11 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 BY MR. CALDERON: 13 Q Miss Castro, after you confronted Mr. Barrientos in 14 1987, did you communicate with him after that? 15 Α Yes. 16 Was that communication pretty regular? Q 17 Very regular. А 18 What did you communicate with regards to --Q 19 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests the 20 attorney repeat the question. BY MR. CALDERON: 21 22 What did you communicate -- with regards to what did Q 23 you communicate? 24 The children. А 25 When did you first learn about this suit? Q

-	-	
1	A	The one in the United States, at the beginning of
2	2013.	
3	Q	And when did you first learn about the death of Victor
4	Jara	?
5	A	I believe it was in 2008 through the "La Nacion"
6	news	paper.
7	Q	And since 2003, have you yourself been involved in
8	inve	stigating on his behalf?
9	A	2003 or 2013?
10	Q	2013.
11	A	Investigating?
12	Q	Yes.
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	How?
15	A	First, I located Lieutenant Smith Gumucio. And then I
16	loca	ted the attorney for Colonel Sanchez.
17	Q	And did the attorney for Colonel Sanchez give you any
18	info	rmation?
19	A	All of it.
20	Q	What information did he give you?
21	A	Twenty-two volumes had existed at that time of the
22	case	of Victor Jara.
23	Q	And did you review them all?
24	А	Page by page from Volume One until the last page of
25	Volu	me Twenty-Two.

And what steps did you take after reading those 1 Q 2 documents? 3 I selected everything that had to do with Tejas Α Verdes. 4 5 And in doing that, what did you do with that Ο 6 information? 7 MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm going to object at this point. May we approach? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 (Discussion at sidebar on the record.) 11 MR. BECKETT: I'm sorry to make this objection. 12 But this witness is now testifying about volumes. 13 THE COURT: She can't hear you. 14 MR. BECKETT: This witness is now testifying about 15 volumes and volumes of materials that are in the criminal 16 case file in Chile. 17 And she's now being asked questions, well, what 18 did you do with this information? 19 While they may be artfully avoiding the hearsay of 20 personal knowledge objection, what they are, in fact, doing 21 is putting this record that is not in this case before this 22 jury. 23 And a lot of materials she may talk about are not 24 exhibits here. There are very few exhibits. We want the 25 case tried on the evidence.

THE COURT: You're asking me to anticipate what 1 2 the witness is going to say. I don't know what she's going 3 to say. The question is, what did you do with this 4 5 information? There's nothing objectionable about that, at least 6 7 at this point in time. MR. CALDERON: Judge, she was asked to basically 8 9 take the declarations of several soldiers, one of his is 10 Duarte. I want to establish for the jury she didn't pick 11 these names out of thin air. 12 MR. BECKETT: These declarations aren't in the 13 case. 14 MR. CALDERON: Well, that's not true. I mean, in 15 Gustavo Baez's deposition, there was some testimony from 16 one she was confronted with. There's that and many of the 17 soldiers gave declarations during this time with respect to 18 the Tomos they were provided in discovery. 19 MR. BECKETT: They're not part of the case. 20 MR. CALDERON: We're seeking to admit them. 21 THE COURT: I'm not going to let her testify with respect to hearsay information. And that's obvious, I 22 23 hope. 24 If this witness, I think, would be permitted to 25 testify with respect to, you know, where did you get the

information that led you to engage in your investigation? 1 2 If that came from the -- I don't know if that's where it 3 came from or not. But if that's where it came from, Mr. Beckett's 4 5 concern I think is well founded to the extent that this 6 witness that you're going to either ask her or she's going 7 to go of her own volition into offering information that 8 comes out of these files. 9 That's not appropriate. 10 MR. CALDERON: And, Judge, I've explained --11 THE COURT: Let me finish. 12 MR. CALDERON: I'm sorry. 13 THE COURT: My difficulty, of course, is that with 14 the interpretation lag, it's difficult for me to intervene 15 in time for me to stop her because I don't speak Spanish. 16 So I can't understand her response until it's interpreted. 17 MR. CALDERON: And, Judge, I've explained to the 18 witness the hearsay rules. And I hope that she understands 19 at this point that what was actually read in the Tomos is 20 not fair game in her testimony. I've explained that to 21 her. We're trying to establish where these names came 22 from. 23 THE COURT: Why don't you ask her that? 24 MR. CALDERON: Sure. 25 THE COURT: Why don't you ask where did you get

the information that led you to speak to whoever it is she 1 2 spoke to. 3 MR. BECKETT: She's already given that information. She's already said she got it from Tomos. 4 Ι 5 don't see what you need to ask. MR. CALDERON: Where she got the information. 6 7 I'll ask it like that. 8 THE COURT: That's fine. You can ask that 9 question. 10 (End of discussion at sidebar.) 11 THE COURT: Excuse the interruption, ladies and 12 gentleman. 13 You may inquire, Mr. Calderon. 14 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 BY MR. CALDERON: 16 Miss Castro, was it through this information that you Ο 17 were able to single out the names of individuals that you 18 wanted to interview? 19 THE COURT: That's not what I asked you to ask 20 her. I'm going to ask the question myself. 21 Ma'am, where did you obtain the information that 22 provided you with individuals that you believed you wanted 23 to talk to in connection with this incident? 24 THE WITNESS: From the police statements of the 25 personnel of Tejas Verdes.

r	
1	THE COURT: Let me stop you and ask you a new
2	question.
3	Did you get this information from the materials
4	that were provided to you by the lawyer that you mentioned?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	New question.
8	BY MR. CALDERON:
9	Q And how many individuals were you able to identify?
10	A Many.
11	Q And you believe that all of the individuals you
12	identified or did you believe that all of the
13	individuals that you identified would be helpful to your
14	investigation?
15	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm terribly sorry, but I
16	need to object. This is not consistent with the
17	stipulation in this case and the pretrial order that was
18	signed by Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the
20	objection.
21	Mr. Calderon, you can ask the witness what she did
22	and why she did it. I mean, let's see if we can proceed.
23	I'm a little bit concerned as well about our time
24	constraints. But we'll take as long as is necessary,
25	obviously, in order to get the case resolved.
L	

1	But if you just ask the witness direct questions
2	about what did she do and why did she do it, I think we can
3	move along in a way that's not going to run afoul of
4	Mr. Beckett's concerns.
5	So the objection is sustained.
6	BY MR. CALDERON:
7	Q Miss Castro, the names that you identified, did you
8	have an occasion to meet with any of the, of those
9	individuals?
10	A Yes, with Quiroz firstly.
11	Q And did you believe that Mr. Quiroz was willing to
12	help you based on your contact with him?
13	A Because he told me so.
14	MR. BECKETT: Judge, objection. That was not
15	responsive.
16	Move to strike as nonresponsive and as hearsay.
17	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
18	BY MR. CALDERON:
19	Q Did you meet with Mr. Quiroz?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Can you describe how that initial meeting took place?
22	A I located him by phone. I called him to an
23	appointment. We were at my apartment.
24	And I asked him if he would help me.
25	He told me yes.

F	
1	And that's how we, over the weekends, located some of
2	the names that I had selected to consult with them once I
3	had located them to see if they could serve as witnesses
4	for open cases.
5	Q Regarding, open cases regarding who?
6	A To see if he could help.
7	THE COURT: Now, the question was, open cases
8	regarding whom?
9	THE WITNESS: With Mr. Barrientos.
10	BY MR. CALDERON:
11	Q Thank you.
12	Now, did Mr. Quiroz offer to give a declaration?
13	MR. BECKETT: Objection, Judge. Calls for a
14	hearsay response.
15	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
16	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests to repeat
17	the question.
18	BY MR. CALDERON:
19	Q Did Mr. Quiroz offer to give a declaration?
20	A I asked him for it, and he said yes.
21	Q Now, can you please describe the way that the
22	declaration was formatted?
23	MR. BECKETT: Judge, I'm sorry.
24	THE COURT: Ladies and gentleman, let me ask you
25	to step out for just a minute. This is going to take a
-	

little bit more conversation than we can do at sidebar. 1 2 (Jury exited the courtroom at 3:46 p.m.) 3 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Calderon, why don't you walk me through how you intend to elicit this testimony 4 5 so that I can try to stay on top of it. MR. CALDERON: Absolutely, Judge. 6 7 So basically what I'm asking -- and I'm trying not to lead -- is that the way the declaration was set up was 8 9 in a question-and-answer format. 10 If I can lead the witness a little bit, I can kind 11 of narrow the scope of what the response will be. 12 But it's mainly to show a pattern, because when we 13 get to Gustavo Baez's declaration, we want to show that 14 it's consistent with all the way the declarations were 15 done. Nothing was different. The same pattern was 16 followed. 17 We're not trying to elicit what was actually the 18 information that was in these declarations because both of 19 these witnesses will testify as to what their testimony is. 20 However, we want to show and demonstrate to the 21 jury that what Mr. Baez Duarte claims that things were 22 changed that we have a format that was followed 23 consistently among all the witnesses who met with 24 Mr. Barrientos and Miss Castro. 25 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Beckett?

MR. BECKETT: The declaration about which the 1 2 witness is speaking is not in evidence. Mr. Quiroz will be 3 a witness here. He's going to be called as the next witness. So we're having a lot of testimony about a 4 5 statement that isn't in evidence and that won't be in evidence. 6 7 And I think that the prejudicial effect of this is the idea that there are these other statements out there 8 9 and her talking about them. And, frankly, she's giving a 10 lot of narrative responses that aren't responses to the 11 answer. 12 The contents of that is going to come in or it's 13 going to be implied. So under 403, I don't see the relevance of this. 14 He's called it pattern evidence as if it's almost 15 16 404(b) evidence. 17 THE COURT: Well, what is the -- I have a lot of 18 concerns about the way the questioning is going which I'll 19 get to in just a minute. 20 What is the evidentiary objection to asking the 21 witness as to whether or not a declaration was obtained 22 from Mr. Quiroz; and if it was, having her describe the format? What is the evidentiary objection to that? 23 24 MR. BECKETT: That declaration is not in evidence. 25 It's not relevant to this proceeding.

1	THE COURT: What rule of evidence?
2	MR. BECKETT: Rule 404.
3	THE COURT: What rule of evidence would preclude
4	this witness from answering a direct question, did you meet
5	with this witness; did he provide you with a declaration;
6	what was the format of the declaration?
7	MR. BECKETT: It's extrinsic evidence of an
8	out-of-court statement, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Okay. That's overruled.
10	Now, let me tell you what my concern is about the
11	way the questions are being asked, Mr. Calderon.
12	MR. CALDERON: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: Ask the witness direct questions that
14	are not leading in nature. It's particularly important
15	because of the translation required here.
16	So ask the witness specific questions: Did you
17	meet with Mr. Quiroz? Did Mr. Quiroz provide a
18	declaration? Can you describe the format of the
19	declaration? What was the format of the declaration?
20	Because I do not want this witness volunteering
21	information from the declaration or that constitutes
22	hearsay or that otherwise communicates to the jury what
23	Mr. Quiroz told her because that's not permissible.
24	Understood?
25	MR. CALDERON: Understood, Your Honor.
_	

THE COURT: All right. Let's bring our jury back, 1 2 please, Mr. Carter. 3 (Jury entered the courtroom at 3:50 p.m.) THE COURT: Pardon the interruption, ladies and 4 5 gentleman. Welcome back. 6 You may inquire, Mr. Calderon. 7 BY MR. CALDERON: 8 Did Mr. Quiroz give you a declaration? Q 9 А Yes. 10 Ο What format was the declaration in? 11 It was a format of questions and answers. А 12 Who formulated the question? 0 13 Α It was sent to me by Mr. Kubicz from the Baez Law Firm 14 who is doing the defense here in the United States. 15 And did you read the questions to Mr. Quiroz? Q 16 А Yes. 17 And did he give a verbal response? Q 18 А Verbal. 19 0 And did you record or write down those responses? 20 А I would repeat them --21 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests a moment to 22 ask the witness to explain. 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 THE WITNESS: I would repeat them. He would 25 respond. And then I would write them down.

1	BY M	IR. CALDERON:
2	Q	And did you do that for each and every question?
3	A	With all of them, with all 18.
4	Q	Did you do that with respect to the responses?
5	А	What they would answer me?
6	Q	Yes.
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And did you formulate those questions and answers into
9	a do	cument?
10	А	I wrote them down in a notebook.
11	Q	And did you then transfer that information to a
12	docu	ment?
13	А	To the computer, and I printed it.
14	Q	And with that document, did you have it notarized?
15	A	I went with them to the notary.
16	Q	Again, we're talking with respect to Mr. Quiroz.
17	A	Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Yes. Yes.
18	Q	What is a notary?
19	A	In Chile, a notary is an attorney with many years as
20	an a	ttorney, and he's appointed by the judicial branch much
21	like	the judges.
22	Q	Did you go there with Mr. Quiroz?
23	A	Yes, but I went the day before as well.
24	Q	Why did you go there the day before?
25	A	Because I went to tell him that I was the ex-wife to

ſ		
1	Mr Lieutenant Barrientos. And the attorneys for	
2	Mr. Barrientos from the United States asked me for	
3	assistance, and I was doing that.	
4	And I needed a sworn statement before a notary.	
5	Q Was that the first time that you met with that notary?	
6	A That's the first time I saw the notary.	
7	Q And was the second time when you were with Mr. Quiroz?	
8	A In fact.	
9	Q And can you please describe what the notary did with	
10	the document?	
11	A He made us go upstairs and wait in his office. He had	
12	us come into his office, Quiroz and myself. He greeted us.	
13	He read the document. He asked him whether or not he was	
14	aware what was written there. He remarked upon that. And	
15	he said, okay, go down to one of the employees.	
16	He asked for the Chilean national identity card. He	
17	had him sign and place his fingerprint there.	
18	Q Did the notary stamp each page of that document?	
19	A A rubber stamp, the watermark stamp, and his	
20	signature.	
21	Q Who is the next person you met with?	
22	A Hinojosa.	
23	Q And did you take his declaration?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And did he do that or did he offer to do that, to	

1	do t	hat declaration?
2	A	Of course.
3	Q	Were the same questions asked of him?
4	A	Exactly the same.
5	Q	And where was the declaration done?
6	A	At my apartment again, but it was written down by his
7	wife	, Mrs. Hinojosa.
8	Q	Did you read every question to him out loud?
9	А	Of course.
10	Q	Did he respond to every question out loud?
11	A	All of the questions were answered by him.
12	Q	Was it Mr. Hinojosa's wife who recorded the responses?
13	A	Yes. All of them.
14	Q	Were the responses then read back to Mr. Hinojosa?
15	А	Of course.
16	Q	Was this done for every question?
17	А	With all of the questions, all 18.
18	Q	Was this done with each response?
19	A	With all of the answers.
20	Q	And upon finishing the declaration, did you put all of
21	this	information into a document?
22	A	I transcribed it just as I did with the previous one,
23	whic	h would come out to four pages or five pages, depending
24	on w	hat their statement was.
25	Q	Did you then go with Mr. Hinojosa to the notary?

r	
1	THE COURT: Mr. Calderon, don't
2	THE WITNESS: (Speaking.)
3	THE COURT: Hang on just a moment.
4	Don't lead your witness. What did you do next?
5	What did you do with the information you accumulated?
6	Direct questions.
7	BY MR. CALDERON:
8	Q What did you do with the document?
9	A I went on the Monday morning with Hinojosa to the
10	notary public.
11	Q And what did you do when you got there?
12	A We went up the stairs. We waited for the notary. He
13	had us come in. He greeted us. And the same thing that
14	happened with Quiroz happened again.
15	Q Was this the same notary?
16	A The same notary.
17	Q And could you describe you said it was the same
18	way. Could you just describe what that means?
19	A With the wait, was for waiting for his personnel to
20	sign things, five minutes.
21	Q Who was the next person that you met with?
22	A Baez.
23	Q And did that person give you a declaration?
24	A Yes. Okay.
25	Q Where was that declaration made?

1	A Exactly all the same, in the dining room of my
2	apartment in Las Condes.
3	Q Was anyone present?
4	A Quiroz.
5	Q Did Mr. Quiroz say anything or strike that.
6	Were the questions asked was the declaration in
7	what format was the declaration?
8	A Exactly the same, questions and answers.
9	Q And was the question read to Mr. Baez?
10	A The same as all of them. It was read to him. He
11	responded. It was read to him. He responded. It was
12	written.
13	Q And was this done with the responses as well?
14	A With the responses as well.
15	Q If there were any errors in the document, did you
16	correct them?
17	A No.
18	Q What did you do with that information?
19	A Just as it had been dictated, I transcribed it to the
20	computer. And I made four copies.
21	Q What did you do with those documents?
22	A We went with Baez to the notary, along with Quiroz as
23	well.
24	Q And what did you do at the notary?
25	A The same thing. We went up the stairs. We waited for
L	

the notary. The notary greeted us. We spoke to him. 1 He 2 gave us a sheet. 3 And were all the documents notarized? 0 All of them. 4 Α 5 Did you give Mr. Baez a copy? Q 6 To all of them, a copy. А 7 Oh, but specifically with Mr. Baez, did you give him a 0 8 copy? 9 А Yes. 10 0 And would you recognize that document if you saw it 11 today? 12 Α Of course. 13 MR. CALDERON: Your Honor, may I approach the 14 witness? 15 THE COURT: Yes. THE WITNESS: In fact, this is the very same 16 17 statement. 18 BY MR. CALDERON: 19 And has anything been altered or changed in that 0 20 document? 21 А No, nothing. 22 MR. CALDERON: Your Honor, at this time I would 23 ask to move Defense Exhibit 1 into evidence. 24 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Beckett? 25 MR. BECKETT: We object to this for the reasons

1	previously stated. Just renew our objection.
2	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
3	It will be admitted over the defense objections
4	previously articulated.
5	(Defendant's Exhibit 1 was received
6	in evidence.)
7	MR. CALDERON: Your Honor, could we briefly
8	approach on this exhibit before I publish it?
9	THE COURT: Yes, I guess.
10	(Discussion at sidebar on the record.)
11	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
12	MR. CALDERON: I apologize, Your Honor. It was
13	brought to my attention in reviewing our translations that
14	I believe that the document, translated document runs afoul
15	of our agreement with regards to Mr. Paredes.
16	I've prepared a redacted version. I'm asking to
17	publish to the jury, which removes the responses in which
18	Paredes' name is mentioned. I just didn't want to run
19	afoul.
20	I realize that part is not redacted. I can
21	publish the document as a whole, but I think this
22	MR. BECKETT: I'm not sure which is better, Judge.
23	But that is consistent with our it is consistent with
24	our case. That's consistent with our order.
25	THE COURT: Well, you all refresh my recollection

about the Paredes stipulation. 1 2 MR. BECKETT: There was a stipulation signed as an 3 order that there wasn't to be reference to witnesses and what they might say, potential witnesses. And Paredes 4 5 would be one such person. THE COURT: Well, I'm at a disadvantage in terms 6 7 of you all's discussions with respect to how the documents 8 are going to be marked. 9 If Mr. Beckett is in agreement that what you want 10 to publish in redacted form is acceptable, then I won't get 11 in the middle of that. 12 MR. BECKETT: Having just looked at this, can I 13 have one second with co-counsel? THE COURT: Sure you can. 14 15 (End of discussion at sidebar.) 16 THE COURT: Mr. Beckett, have you had a chance to review the document? 17 18 MR. BECKETT: No objection to the form of the 19 document, Judge. 20 THE COURT: All right. You may publish the 21 document, Mr. Calderon. 22 MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 If I could just have the ELMO. 24 THE COURT: Lest it slip my mind, please let me 25 remind the lawyers to be sure that the documents that are

in evidence are consistent with what's been displayed. So 1 2 if you need to swap any of those out to use the correct 3 ones, then please make sure you remember to do that. I'll try to remind you, but I may forget as well. 4 5 MR. CALDERON: Thanks, Judge. BY MR. CALDERON: 6 7 Now, Miss Castro, you have before you the Spanish Ο version of this document. 8 9 А Okay. 10 0 I'm going to ask you to turn your attention to 11 question number one. 12 And if you'd like, you can read the question to 13 yourself, as well as the response. 14 With regards to that first question and that first 15 response, is that your recollection of the question you 16 asked and his response? MR. BECKETT: Judge, objection. This is now 17 18 another out-of-court statement that's being introduced 19 under 613. If that is, indeed, what it is. We have the 20 statement before us. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The 21 22 document speaks for itself. 23 BY MR. CALDERON: 24 Have you altered the question or the response in any Q 25 way?

-	
1	A Never.
2	Q Please turn your attention to question number two and
3	response number two.
4	Please feel free to read the question and the answer.
5	A (Complying.)
6	Q And can you do the same for number three?
7	A (Complying.)
8	Q And I'm going to ask you to do the same thing with
9	number four.
10	A (Complying.)
11	Q Now, if you could read 5, 6, and 7, and those
12	responses.
13	A (Complying.)
14	Q Now, finally, if you could read question 8.
15	A (Complying.)
16	Q And I'm going to direct your attention to the final
17	page of the document.
18	When was this document executed?
19	A June 11.
20	Q Of what year?
21	A 2015.
22	Q And have any of the responses that you read, number
23	two through number eight, have they been altered in any way
24	since that date?
25	MR. BECKETT: Objection, Judge. Asked and

1	answered.
2	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
3	THE WITNESS: No.
4	BY MR. CALDERON:
5	Q At any point during your time with your meeting with
6	Mr. Baez, did he ever indicate to you that he could not
7	read?
8	A No. He never said that.
9	Q Did he ever indicate to you that one of his answers
10	was incorrect?
11	A No. Never.
12	Q When you went with Mr. Baez to the notary, how many
13	times had you been there prior to that?
14	A Hinojosa, with him, it would have been the fourth
15	time.
16	Q Do you know Mr. Barrientos' family?
17	A Yes, of course.
18	Q Are they a military family?
19	A No. The only military man is him. His father died.
20	He was a retired military man, but he's dead. I didn't
21	meet him. He was already dead.
22	MR. CALDERON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Beckett?
24	CROSS EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. BECKETT:

1QGood afternoon, Mrs. Barrientos.2AGood afternoon, sir.3QI can call you Mrs. Barrientos, right?4AIt's all the same to me.5QYou worked at Chile Bank for about 40 years, the Bank6of Chile, correct?7ANo. The Bank of the State of Chile.8QAnd the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile;9is that right?10AIt is a state bank.11QAnd at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a12manager at that bank, right?13AI retired in 2011, not 2009.14QAnd at the time of your retirement, you were a manager15of the bank, correct?16ANo. I was an agent.17QTell us briefly what an agent is.18AIt is a number one boss of a branch office, which in19my case I had five department chiefs under me and20So you were a pretty important person at that bank at21QSo you were a pretty important. Hard working.22L the time of your retirement, correct?23AI don't know if it was important. Hard working.24Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak25to Mrs. Barrientos, they would connect me to you, correct?		
 3 Q I can call you Mrs. Barrientos, right? 4 A It's all the same to me. 5 Q You worked at Chile Bank for about 40 years, the Bank 6 of Chile, correct? 7 A No. The Bank of the State of Chile. 8 Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile; 9 is that right? 10 A It is a state bank. 11 Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a 12 manager at that bank, right? 13 A I retired in 2011, not 2009. 14 Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager 15 of the bank, correct? 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. 10 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 12 the time of your retirement. Hard working. 13 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 14 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	1	Q Good afternoon, Mrs. Barrientos.
 A It's all the same to me. Q You worked at Chile Bank for about 40 years, the Bank of Chile, correct? A No. The Bank of the State of Chile. Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile; is that right? A It is a state bank. Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a manager at that bank, right? A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	2	A Good afternoon, sir.
 S Q You worked at Chile Bank for about 40 years, the Bank of Chile, correct? A No. The Bank of the State of Chile. Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile; 9 is that right? 10 A It is a state bank. 11 Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a 12 manager at that bank, right? 13 A I retired in 2011, not 2009. 14 Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager 15 of the bank, correct? 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 12 the time of your retirement, Correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	3	Q I can call you Mrs. Barrientos, right?
 of Chile, correct? A No. The Bank of the State of Chile. Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile; is that right? A It is a state bank. Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a manager at that bank, right? A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	4	A It's all the same to me.
 A No. The Bank of the State of Chile. Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile; is that right? A It is a state bank. Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a manager at that bank, right? A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	5	Q You worked at Chile Bank for about 40 years, the Bank
 8 Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile; 9 is that right? 10 A It is a state bank. 11 Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a 12 manager at that bank, right? 13 A I retired in 2011, not 2009. 14 Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager 15 of the bank, correct? 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20 -something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	6	of Chile, correct?
 9 is that right? A It is a state bank. Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a manager at that bank, right? A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20 So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement, Correct? A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	7	A No. The Bank of the State of Chile.
 A It is a state bank. Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a manager at that bank, right? A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement, correct? A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	8	Q And the Bank of the State of Chile is owned by Chile;
 11 Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a 12 manager at that bank, right? 13 A I retired in 2011, not 2009. 14 Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager 15 of the bank, correct? 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	9	is that right?
 manager at that bank, right? A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement, correct? A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	10	A It is a state bank.
 A I retired in 2011, not 2009. Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager of the bank, correct? A No. I was an agent. Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at the time of your retirement, correct? A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	11	Q And at the time of your retirement in 2009, you were a
 14 Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager 15 of the bank, correct? 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	12	manager at that bank, right?
<pre>15 of the bank, correct? 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak</pre>	13	A I retired in 2011, not 2009.
 16 A No. I was an agent. 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	14	Q And at the time of your retirement, you were a manager
 17 Q Tell us briefly what an agent is. 18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	15	of the bank, correct?
18 A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in 19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak	16	A No. I was an agent.
19 my case I had five department chiefs under me and 20 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak	17	Q Tell us briefly what an agent is.
20 20-something other employees. 21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak	18	A It is a number one boss of a branch office, which in
21 Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at 22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak	19	my case I had five department chiefs under me and
22 the time of your retirement, correct? 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak	20	20-something other employees.
 23 A I don't know if it was important. Hard working. 24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak 	21	Q So you were a pretty important person at that bank at
24 Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak	22	the time of your retirement, correct?
	23	A I don't know if it was important. Hard working.
25 to Mrs. Barrientos, they would connect me to you, correct?	24	Q Uh-huh. And if I called the bank and asked to speak
	25	to Mrs. Barrientos, they would connect me to you, correct?

1	A Obvious, yes.
2	
	Q That's how you identified yourself, as
3	Mrs. Barrientos, right?
4	A No. In Santiago, Maria Teresa Castro.
5	Q You're presenting herself here today as Maria Teresa
6	Castro Barrientos. Was that introduction by your
7	ex-husband's lawyer incorrect?
8	A No. When they asked me, I said I am Maria Teresa
9	Castro Barrientos, what they made me say when I came here.
10	Q Oh. So the lawyers for your husband made you say that
11	you were Maria Teresa Castro Barrientos?
12	MR. CALDERON: I'm going to object as to
13	argumentative.
14	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
15	You can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: I am a Barrientos because my mother
17	held the name Barrientos. My mother, Juana Cunte
18	(phonetic.) I am Castro Barrientos from my mother. He is
19	Castro he is Barrientos Nunez.
20	BY MR. BECKETT:
21	Q You and Mr. Barrientos had four children together,
22	correct?
23	A No.
24	Q How many children do you have with Mr. Barrientos?
25	A Three.

1	Q And they have grandchildren you have grandchildren
2	through those children?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you keep Mr. Barrientos updated about the
5	activities of his children and grandchildren in Santiago,
6	in South America generally, correct?
7	A Always.
8	Q And you frequently text him, correct?
9	A Photos, videos.
10	Q You use WhatsApp?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And sometimes when you're texting him on WhatsApp, you
13	use the term yoohoo, right?
14	MR. CALDERON: I'm going to object as to hearsay.
15	THE WITNESS: When he doesn't answer.
16	THE COURT: Can you stop for just a second?
17	I didn't hear the objection.
18	MR. CALDERON: Hearsay.
19	THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
20	THE WITNESS: When he doesn't answer me.
21	BY MR. BECKETT:
22	Q So when you became impatient with his failure to
23	answer, you WhatsApp him yoohoo, right?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Because you want to hear from him quickly, right?

r	
1	A I'm like that.
2	Q I can see. Now, you update him, I take it, about
3	family events like soccer games and the achievements of
4	your children and grandchildren, correct?
5	A Football? No.
6	Q Okay. Other sporting events, though?
7	A None. I'm not a sports person.
8	Q No. I mean the sporting events of the grandchildren,
9	the achievements of the grandchildren. That's what you
10	update him on?
11	A They're babies.
12	Q They're babies. Okay. So they're still little. You
13	send him pictures of the babies, right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And although you're formally divorced, you have taken
16	family vacations together with Mr. Barrientos, correct?
17	A Yes, of course.
18	Q And you've gone with him to places like the Bahamas,
19	correct?
20	A Yes, of course.
21	Q Okay. You and Mr. Barrientos have a shared history,
22	right?
23	A Of course.
24	Q And, of course, you have a shared family?
25	A Children, grandchildren.

-	
1	Q Right. He is and he will always be the father of your
2	children, the grandfather of your grandchildren?
3	A Even after he's dead.
4	Q Exactly. You still care about him?
5	A I believe so.
6	Q Well, you have a close relationship with him through
7	your shared family, right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Now, you love your children as every mother does,
10	right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You wouldn't want any bad thing to happen to your
13	children, correct?
14	A Of course.
15	Q You wouldn't want them to be held up to any form of
16	public ridicule, would you?
17	A Of course. It would not be it would be untoward.
18	They haven't done anything.
19	Q Yeah. And that's just the case, isn't it, where a
20	father or strike that.
21	Children of a father who has done something wrong can
22	inherit a negative reputation through no fault of their
23	own, correct?
24	A No. In Chile it's individual.
25	Q Okay. So in Chile, in Chile, there is no concept of

1	family reputation. Is that what you are telling the jury?
2	A No. It is individual.
3	Q So no one in Chile says, Oh, his father was a great
4	man? Or his father was a criminal?
5	No one says anything like that?
6	MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor.
7	Argumentive.
8	THE COURT: Overruled.
9	THE WITNESS: No.
10	BY MR. BECKETT:
11	Q You would do anything you could to prevent your
12	children and your grandchildren from being known as the
13	descendants of the man who tortured and killed Victor Jara,
14	correct?
15	A No, I would not because that is not right.
16	Q Do you agree with me that if Mr. Barrientos were,
17	indeed, the man who tortured and killed Victor Jara, that
18	you would be ashamed for the reputation that would create
19	for your family?
20	A That isn't going to happen because that's not right.
21	Q You say that's not right. Let's talk about that.
22	You told us in response to questions from Mr. Calderon
23	that you read a certain book. And I'm searching for the
24	name. I think it's called it has to do with a puma,
25	the

-	
1	A It's Zarpazos del Puma.
2	Q And zarpazos means, I think, clawing of the puma,
3	correct?
4	A Yes. Of course.
5	Q And you told us you had read this book in 1987,
6	correct?
7	A Yes. Okay.
8	Q And this book was about some of the atrocities that
9	had been committed during the military dictatorship in
10	Chile, correct?
11	A Yes, of course.
12	Q You were horrified about what you read?
13	A It impacted me greatly.
14	Q In fact, you told us you were so horrified, you were
15	sick to your stomach. You had to leave work, right?
16	A Not sick to my stomach. I became very upset.
17	Q You became emotionally distraught, correct?
18	A Yes, of course.
19	Q So upset that you had to leave work that day, correct?
20	A I asked for permission to leave.
21	Q Did you get permission to leave?
22	A Obviously.
23	Q Well, I'm not sure it would be obvious. But okay.
24	Then you went and you made a phone call. But before
25	we get to the phone call, you were emotionally distraught
-	

r	
1	because you thought, having read this book, that it was
2	very possible that your husband had been involved in
3	similar atrocities, correct?
4	A No. I can explain.
5	Q In fact, what you did is you, you went home and you
6	called him on the phone, correct?
7	A Yes, of course.
8	Q And you told us you had a very serious confrontation
9	with him, correct?
10	A Yes, of course.
11	Q And the confrontation was serious because you wanted
12	to hear from him, from his lips, that he wasn't involved in
13	these atrocities, correct?
14	A Of course.
15	Q Because up to that moment, before you made that phone
16	call, you thought it was possible that he was involved in
17	the types of things that were described in that book,
18	correct?
19	A No.
20	Q So you called him up and you wanted to hear his words
21	telling you he had nothing to do with anything like this,
22	correct?
23	A Exactly. Exactly.
24	Q And it was at that point that you accepted what he
25	told you, correct?

1	A Of course. Right.
2	Q From that moment right then, as you say, onward, you
3	knew that your husband could not have been involved with
4	anything like what was described in that terrible book that
5	you read, correct?
6	A Of course.
7	Q You took him at his word, correct?
8	A Of course.
9	Q Now, you have been very involved in assisting
10	Mr. Barrientos in his defense, correct?
11	A No. To assist the Baez firm.
12	Q You've been involved in obtaining information in Chile
13	that you believe would be helpful to the defense of your
14	ex-husband's case here in the United States, correct?
15	A Of course, yes.
16	Q And you were in touch with people as you describe.
17	And one of the people that you were in touch with was
18	Lieutenant Smith I'm going to murder his last name
19	Gumucio, correct?
20	A Smith Gumucio, yes.
21	Q Now, you have been in touch with Mr. Smith Gumucio in
22	the past several years, correct?
23	A Not over the last several years. I've seen him twice
24	lately.
25	Q You have been in touch with him by means other than

r	
1	seeing him personally in the last several years, correct?
2	A No. Over the last several years, no.
3	Q You described in response to questions from
4	Mr. Calderon the criminal file that you have obtained. In
5	fact, you had obtained elements from that file through
6	Lieutenant Smith, correct?
7	A No. It was through the attorney of Colonel Sanchez.
8	Q Could you give me Colonel Sanchez's full name, please?
9	A I have no idea. Colonel Sanchez. I don't know.
10	Q So you wrote how did you find the name of Colonel
11	Sanchez's lawyer?
12	A Because over the phone, Lieutenant Smith gave me the
13	telephone number for his attorney.
14	Q Okay. And when did Lieutenant Smith give you that
15	telephone number over the phone?
16	A It must have been at the beginning of 2013.
17	Q So you were in touch with Lieutenant Smith in 2013,
18	correct?
19	A Yes, of course.
20	Q You told us a moment ago you hadn't been in touch with
21	him for the last several years, correct?
22	A No. I was asked if I, was it the last time that I saw
23	him before '78.
24	Q You told Mr. Calderon that you hadn't seen him since
25	1978. But you're telling us now that you have been in

1	touch with him in 2013, correct?
2	A No. That's not the way it was.
3	Q You had a phone I just want to be clear about this,
4	Mrs. Barrientos. You had a phone call with Lieutenant
5	Smith in 2013, correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q How many phone calls did you have with Lieutenant
8	Smith in 2013?
9	A Several.
10	Q Okay. How many phone calls did you have with
11	Lieutenant Smith in 2014?
12	A I don't know how many calls in 2014.
13	Q Well, you had a series of phone calls within 2013 and
14	2014, correct?
15	A Of course.
16	Q And you were coordinating legal positions with
17	Lieutenant Smith in those phone calls, correct?
18	A No. If you want, I can explain.
19	Q Isn't it true that Lieutenant Smith and Mr. Barrientos
20	were very good friends?
21	A No. They were superior and subordinate.
22	Q Well, that wasn't really an answer to my question. I
23	asked you, isn't it true that they were very good friends?
24	A No. No. Cordial just as any other officer or all
25	officers.

1	Q So you weren't aware that they had nicknames for each
2	other?
3	A (Speaking.)
4	Q You weren't aware that they had nicknames for each
5	other?
6	A No. It's not to each other.
7	Q You never heard that Lieutenant Smith called
8	Mr. Barrientos El Negro?
9	MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay.
10	That's not in evidence.
11	THE COURT: Objection is overruled. I'm sorry.
12	Objection is overruled.
13	You may answer.
14	THE WITNESS: To him directly he calls him Major.
15	He calls me El Negro. He calls him Major even though he
16	went as far as colonel.
17	BY MR. BECKETT:
18	Q I think we're missing who's calling whom what.
19	So it may be that Mr. Barrientos in a formal command
20	situation would refer to Mr. Smith in a formal way. But
21	you're very well aware that after hours sharing a drink
22	that Smith would call Barrientos El Negro, correct?
23	A Not in front of him, no.
24	Q Not in front of him.
25	A No. He would call him El Negro in front of me.
L	-

ſ	
1	Q You don't really know
2	A (Speaking.)
3	Q Who are you looking at over here?
4	A And he would call the other guy El Choche.
5	Q Who would call who El Choche?
6	A He would. Pedro Pablo would call Choche, Choche Smith
7	because he is older.
8	Q He would call Smith Choche, I think, is what you said,
9	correct?
10	A And everybody else.
11	Q What does Choche mean?
12	A Jorge, Choche.
13	Q So it's a nickname for Jorge; is that correct?
14	A In his case.
15	Q Is Choche a common nickname for Jorge, or is it a
16	special nickname for Lieutenant Smith?
17	A No. No, just for him.
18	Q It was a term of endearment for him. A term that
19	friends use, right?
20	A Yes, of course.
21	Q You have been so committed to the defense of this
22	case, although you're not a lawyer, that you have viewed
23	yourself as the lawyer for Mr. Barrientos, correct?
24	THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests repeat the
25	question.

1	BY MR. BECKETT:
2	Q You have viewed yourself as the lawyer for
3	Mr. Barrientos in this case because you're so committed to
4	the cause, correct?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q You don't know? You never recall referring to
7	yourself sorry?
8	A I'm not an attorney.
9	Q I agree you're not an attorney. But my question is,
10	you feel so committed to his case that you're acting as an
11	attorney with a zealousness and ferocity of an attorney,
12	correct?
13	A I am like that. That's my character. I am passioned.
14	Q And you have referred to yourself in text messages
15	with Mr. Barrientos as the attorney, correct?
16	MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	THE WITNESS: No. What I said is that what I'm
19	trying to do since we don't have the money to pay the
20	attorney in Chile, I have tried to find what an attorney
21	would have done.
22	BY MR. BECKETT:
23	Q Yes. I appreciate what you're saying. My question is
24	a specific one.
25	You have referred in your discussions with

Mr. Barrientos to yourself as the attorney for him, 1 2 correct? 3 I don't recall. Α 4 Q Okay. Let's -- let me show you a document. 5 MR. BECKETT: Unfortunately, there are various translations of this. 6 7 Is there any way in which we can show the document 8 without publishing it to the jury just to this screen? 9 Just to this screen? 10 THE COURT: Yes, if you turn the projector off. 11 Can you turn the big projector off? 12 Ladies and gentleman, are your screens fine? JURY: Yes. 13 14 THE COURT: Put some other piece of paper up there 15 that's in evidence so that I can see whether the displays 16 are working. Something that is in evidence. Put something 17 that's in evidence on the computer so we can test it. 18 Mr. Icaza, can you see the witness' screen? 19 THE INTERPRETER: I can, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Is there something displayed on it? 21 THE INTERPRETER: It appears to be a Word 22 document, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Ladies and gentleman, is your screen 24 blank? 25 Yes. JURY:

Okay. I think we have it set. 1 THE COURT: 2 You can proceed, Mr. Beckett. 3 BY MR. BECKETT: 4 Ο Let me refer you to the text message that should be on 5 your screen that's dated 11/25/2015 at 10:00 p.m. 6 THE COURT: Can I stop you a second, Mr. Beckett. 7 Mr. Calderon, do you not have it on your screen? I don't, Your Honor. 8 MR. CALDERON: 9 THE COURT: How about now? 10 MR. BECKETT: We can take the computer up there. 11 THE COURT: Do you have it in paper form? 12 MR. BECKETT: We have it in English translation 13 paper form. We can show the computer to the witness. Ι 14 think that's acceptable under the rules. It's the same 15 document, just digital. 16 THE COURT: I can get it up on the screen. I was 17 trying to accommodate Mr. Calderon so he can see it while 18 the examination is taking place, which I know we can do. 19 So I'm going to ask my courtroom deputy to see if 20 she can figure it out because I know we can do it. 21 Do you have it now, Mr. Calderon? 22 I do, Your Honor. MR. CALDERON: 23 THE COURT: Do you have it, Mr. Icaza, on the 24 witness' screen? 25 THE WITNESS: I have a blank Word document.

THE COURT: That's fine. Ladies and gentleman, your screen is blank? JURY: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. BECKETT: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BECKETT: And we refer to a text message from 11/25 at 0 10:00 p.m. Okay. November 25, yes? А 0 Yes. At 10:00 p.m. I can, I can indicate. Yes, that's the one. Are you at that message, Mrs. Barrientos? А Yes. Q So Marite, that's you, Maria Teresa, correct? It is my nickname. Α Your nickname. And it's the name by which Q Mr. Barrientos refers to you, correct? А No. Pedro Pablo calls me Maria Teresa. Okay. Well, in the WhatsApp, you're coming up as Q Marite, correct? THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests repeat the question.

24 BY MR. BECKETT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q In the WhatsApp application, you're being shown here

-	
1	as Marite. That's you?
2	A Yeah. Maybe that's who comes up in WhatsApp. But I
3	am called Maria Teresa.
4	Q Well, you're aware that WhatsApp allows you to
5	determine not to go into a description of WhatsApp. But
6	it allows you to determine what your name is.
7	So you chose to be called Marite, correct?
8	A Regularly, I haven't really I don't know. I
9	haven't checked. But yes, I am called by that nickname.
10	MR. BECKETT: And then, Mr. Interpreter, could you
11	just read so there's no dispute about the
12	interpretation, could you read the entry for that time?
13	MR. CALDERON: I'm going to object, Your Honor.
14	This document is not in evidence.
15	THE COURT: Lay a predicate for it, Mr. Beckett.
16	BY MR. BECKETT:
17	Q Let me ask you, isn't it true that you referred to
18	Lieutenant Smith Gumucio as Choche, by his nickname,
19	correct?
20	A Yes. For me he is El Choche.
21	Q Okay. And that's the name that Mr. Barrientos
22	referred to him as well, correct?
23	A For him, yes, yes.
24	Q Okay. And then if you just go down to look at entry
25	for 11/26 at 12:00 p.m., could you just read that to

yourself in Spanish? 1 2 So does this refresh your recollection as to the 3 fact that you --THE COURT: Hold on. Time-out. 4 5 Mr. Icaza, ask the witness to please not speak until Mr. Beckett finishes his question and you've 6 7 translated it. 8 She's apparently understanding enough of the 9 question to begin her answer before it's finished. 10 And, Mr. Beckett, you wait until the witness' 11 translation has been provided before you ask the next 12 question. 13 MR. BECKETT: Yes, Judge. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: All right. Let's try a new question. 15 BY MR. BECKETT: 16 So does this refresh your recollection as to the fact 0 17 that you would refer to yourself in discussions with 18 Mr. Barrientos as the lawyer, I am the lawyer? 19 Α Yes. 20 Because as I was saying a moment ago, you were very Q 21 committed to his cause, correct? 22 А Okay. 23 Q By okay, do you mean yes, you agree; or not? 24 А Yes. 25 Now, you told us that in 1973 that you liked folk -- I Q

think you referred to it as folkloric music. 1 2 MR. BECKETT: We can take this down now. 3 THE WITNESS: Neo-folkloric, yes. BY MR. BECKETT: 4 5 And you said that in your view, there is music in the Ο neo-folkloric movement that was on the Right and the Left 6 7 of the political spectrum, correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 And you said that you listened to a lot of this music, Q 10 correct? 11 Α Yes. 12 And that you listened to all types of it, both on the 0 13 Right and on the Left, correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 And you would have listened to this music -- well, Q 16 strike that. 17 So you were an aficionado of this music and knew all 18 different types of this music and yet your testimony is 19 that you never heard of Victor Jara in 1973, correct? 20 А Yes. 21 Are you aware of the fact that Victor Jara was a Q 22 prominent artist within what you are referring to as the 23 neo-folkloric movement? 24 I did not know of him. А 25 Tell us again when the first time, the first time that Q

r	
1	you became aware of the existence of Victor Jara?
2	A 2008 through "La Nacion" newspaper.
3	Q So you did not hear about Victor Jara my math isn't
4	real good but 35 years after his death; is that right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q You talked about the fact that you were dating your
7	soon-to-be husband or your future husband, let's put it
8	that way, in Santiago when he was at the O'Higgins School;
9	is that correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And the O'Higgins School is a military school, right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay. And you said that you, on weekends you went to
14	go see him at the school, correct?
15	A That is not correct.
16	Q So I got it wrong then. I thought you mentioned there
17	were dances. You went to dances with him on the weekends,
18	correct?
19	A Sometimes it could be, but maybe once or twice a year.
20	Q Really? Did he ever take you on dates?
21	A My father would not allow me.
22	Q Oh, okay. So perhaps I misunderstood, but I thought
23	on your direct testimony you said that you went to visit
24	him in you went to visit him in at the O'Higgins
25	Military School, correct?
L	

1	A Correct, yes.
2	Q Now, your father didn't go with you when you went to
3	visit him at the military school, did he?
4	A He would drop me off and pick me up.
5	Q Oh, okay. But he'd let you go up and see
6	Mr. Barrientos in the school. He wouldn't chaperone you
7	there?
8	A It depends. If it was a swim meet, then the entire,
9	the pool would be full of people watching the swim meet.
10	And at the dances, he would drop me off and he would
11	pick me up right there.
12	Q Okay. Can I get a sense of how old Mr. Barrientos was
13	at this time?
14	A Eighteen, nineteen, twenty.
15	Q Okay. And how old were you?
16	A Fifteen, sixteen, seventeen.
17	Q Uh-huh. And so you and Mr. Barrientos never went on
18	what I would call a date into downtown Santiago?
19	A Never.
20	Q Never?
21	A No. He would come to my house. And it was my mom, my
22	dad, my grandma, my brothers and sisters. And that's the
23	way it went.
24	Q Okay. And your house was in Santiago, wasn't it?
25	A Santiago, in Las Condes. My parents' house.

Q Your parents' house. And it's the house that you
lived at, correct?
A Where I lived.
Q And you mentioned Las Condes, C-O-N-D-E-S. Las Condes
is a neighborhood in Santiago, correct?
A Yes. It's a large housing development, yes.
Q Yeah. So Mr. Barrientos would come to visit you at
your family house in Santiago while he was at the O'Higgins
Military School, correct?
A Yes. Okay.
Q I must have misunderstood because I thought you said
in response to questions from Mr. Calderon that he never
went to Santiago. But he did, right?
MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor.
Mischaracterizes testimony.
THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
THE WITNESS: I don't understand.
BY MR. BECKETT:
Q We'll move on.
Where did you live in 2004, you lived in Santiago,
correct?
A 2004, yes, in Santiago.
Q Okay. And you mentioned some newspapers. You're a
regular reader of newspapers, correct?
A Yeah. Sometimes.

1	O Co you must have been even of the fact that on a
1	Q So you must have been aware of the fact that as a
2	resident of Santiago then, in 2004, Chile Stadium was
3	renamed Victor Jara Stadium. It was named after Victor
4	Jara.
5	Do you remember that?
6	A Yes, of course. I know that it was renamed Victor
7	Jara, yes.
8	Q And you knew that it was renamed in 2004, correct?
9	A I don't remember if it was 2004.
10	Q Well, when the stadium was renamed after Victor Jara,
11	this was a news event. It was covered in the news.
12	Correct?
13	MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor. Counsel is
14	testifying.
15	I'm sorry.
16	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
17	Ask a new question, please, Mr. Beckett.
18	BY MR. BECKETT:
19	Q It was covered in the media, wasn't it?
20	A Yes, of course.
21	Q Okay. But you told us that you only learned about the
22	existence of Victor Jara four years after this stadium was
23	renamed after him and this renaming was covered in the
24	media, correct?
25	A Correct.

I think you told us earlier that you had read -- well, 1 2 let me go back to the book. You read the book, the puma 3 book? Yes, of course. 4 А 5 Ο A puma was the type of helicopter that was used? MR. CALDERON: Objection, Your Honor. Counsel is 6 7 testifying. 8 THE COURT: It's on cross-examination, 9 Mr. Calderon. So he's entitled to pose the questions in a nondirect way. 10 11 I can't -- when you object in the middle of the 12 question, it's hard for me to assess where it's going to. 13 So I'm going to overrule your objection. 14 Let me ask you this, Mr. Beckett. We're a little 15 bit after 5:00. Is this a good stopping point? And actually, whether it's good or not, I think 16 we're going to stop. 17 18 MR. BECKETT: Very well, Judge. Good idea. 19 THE COURT: Well, I'll take that up with you after 20 we let our jury go. 21 Ladies and gentleman, I'm going to excuse you for 22 the evening with my thanks for your attention and patience. 23 I'll see you back here in the morning at 9:00, and we'll 24 resume with the examination of this witness. 25 Ladies and gentleman, just to let you know on your

1	way out, I have a criminal proceeding, a sentencing in the
2	morning at 8:30, which I should be finished with by 9:00.
3	But if we're a little bit late, just know it's my fault and
4	I'll get finished with it just as quickly as I can.
5	But if I can count on you to be here ready to go
6	at 9:00, I'll try to get finished on time and resume in a
7	timely way. But I'll just ask your forbearance if I'm a
8	few minutes late.
9	Remember not to discuss the case amongst
10	yourselves or with anyone else. I'll see you back in the
11	morning.
12	MR. BECKETT: Judge, before the witness is
13	released, may I ask that she be instructed?
14	THE COURT: Mr. Icaza, would you mention to the
15	witness that it is not appropriate for her to discuss her
16	testimony. So that means no conversations with any of the
17	lawyers or their representatives or anyone else about the
18	substance of your testimony.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	THE COURT: I'm going to ask you in the morning
21	whether or not you've been able to abide by that
22	instruction. It's very important.
23	Understood?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We'll need you
L	

back here in the morning at 9:00. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Of course. 3 THE COURT: Anything else that we need to take up before we adjourn for the evening? 4 5 MR. BECKETT: I don't think so, sir. THE COURT: I am -- I checked with my clerk 6 7 earlier this afternoon about where we are on the jury instructions. I'll hopefully have those for you sometime 8 9 in the morning. Hopefully maybe by the break, if not 10 before. 11 And you all take a look at those at your first 12 opportunity, because I'm going to want to be able to make 13 sure we get our charge conference in. 14 Because as I said, I'm a little concerned about 15 our time constraints. So I want to make sure we get 16 everything out of the way that we can get out of the way 17 outside the jury's presence. 18 I had hoped to get them to you tonight. But, 19 obviously, I don't have them. 20 MR. BECKETT: We'll be busy with other things 21 tonight, Judge. 22 Can I raise another issue? And I haven't -- we 23 haven't recently talked about the timing. I think we were 24 envisioning still that the case would get to the jury on 25 Wednesday.

And has Your Honor given any thought, then, to the 1 2 mechanics, given the long weekend? 3 Are you thinking, Judge, of giving them a four-day weekend, or as less desirable as it might be, allow another 4 5 judge to potentially take the verdict? THE COURT: The answer is yes, I've given it 6 7 thought, but I've not decided what I'm going to do. I've 8 put my colleagues on alert that I may require one of them 9 to assist me in taking the verdict. It's not my first 10 choice. I'd like to try to handle the case myself through 11 its completion. 12 But a lot of that is going to depend on what time 13 we get the case to them on Wednesday. 14 And so the answer to your question is I haven't 15 decided, but I have begun working on some various plans to 16 account for my absence. 17 So my preference would be obviously to get it done 18 and get the jury -- get it to the jury and to be able to 19 stay here myself until the verdict is received. 20 So we'll just have to see where we are, what time 21 it is when we get to closing. 22 MR. BECKETT: Very good, Judge. 23 THE COURT: All right. If there's nothing else, 24 then we'll be in recess until 9:00 tomorrow morning. 25 Did you have something, Mr. Calderon?

MR. CALDERON: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. (Proceedings adjourned at 5:05 p.m. until Tuesday, June 21, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.) **** CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s\Amie R. First, RDR, CRR, CRC, CPE

-	
1	INDEX
2	
3	PLAINTIFF WITNESSES
4	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
5	STEVE STERN 5 46 72
6	STEVE STERN 9 HECTOR HERRERA OLGUIN 78 101
7	AMANDA JARA 108 120
8	
9	DEFENDANT WITNESSES
10	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
11	MARIA TERESA CASTRO BARRIENTOS 128 184
12	
13	
14	EXHIBITS
15	ADMITTED
16	Defendant's Exhibit 1 180
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
L	